

9/11 and The New World Order

Mujahid Kamran

ALSO BY MUJAHID KAMRAN

9/11 & The New World Order (2013)

*The Inspiring Life of
Abdus Salam* (2013)

*The Grand Deception:
Corporate America and Perpetual War* (2011)

Einstein and Germany (2009)

Pas e Parda: Almi Siasat Kay Makhfi Haqaiq (2008)

*Relativistic Quantum Mechanics:
A Quick Introduction* (2005)

*Dr. A.Q. Khan on Science,
Education and Technology, Editor* (2004)

Jadeed Tabiyat Kay Bani (1997)

*Dr. A.Q. Khan on Science and Education
Editor with S. Shabbir Hussain* (1997)

Jadeed Tabiyat kay Mashaheer (1988)

All rights reserved
No part of this publication may be reproduced
or transmitted in any way without the written
permission of the copyright owner.

9/11 and The New World Order
by Mujahid Kamran

Copyright© 2013 Mujahid Kamran

Date of Publication: September 11, 2013

Published by the University of the Punjab, Lahore
www.pu.edu.pk

Printed and bound at the University of the Punjab Press

First Edition

To

all those who seek

the truth about 9/11

and the assassination of JFK

Not a Pax Americana enforced on the world by American weapons of war. Not the peace of the grave or the security of the slave. I am talking about genuine peace, the kind of peace that makes life on earth worth living, the kind that enables men and nations to grow and to hope and to build a better life for their children - not merely peace for Americans but peace for all men and women - not merely peace in our time but peace for all time.

John F. Kennedy, June 10, 1963.

On November 22, 1963 JFK was shot dead in broad daylight in Dallas, Texas, in front of crowds that had lined up to greet their highly popular President. After he was hit by the first bullet in his neck, not one of his body guards from the U.S. Secret Service threw themselves on him to protect him as specified in SOPs. His car, instead of speeding, as laid down in SOPs, slowed down to a virtual halt until 5.6 seconds later a second shot from a different direction, literally blew his brains out. After he had been killed the car speeded up. No one has ever been held accountable either by the U.S. government or punished by the U.S. judiciary.

Contents

Preface	ix
1 9/11 and The New World Order	1
1.1 Coverup	2
1.2 Mind Control - World Control	10
1.3 The Elite and False Flag Operations . . .	16
1.3.1 Operation Gladio	22
1.3.2 Osama bin Laden and 9/11	26
1.4 9/11 and Pearl Harbor Deceptions	34
1.5 Why 9/11?	45
1.6 9/11 Wars and Lies	55
1.7 Elite Agenda and Strategy	59
1.7.1 The Elite and the Bolshevik Rev-	
olution	71
1.8 Impoverishment, Dictatorships & Wars . .	77
1.9 “Think Tanks” and NWO	93
1.9.1 Operation Gladio B	110
1.10 The Molding of the American Mind . . .	115
1.11 Poverty, Debt Bondage and Dictatorship .	141

2	The Truth About Pearl Harbor	171
3	The Mysteries of 9/11	199
3.1	Flight AA 11	203
3.2	Flight UA 175	206
3.3	Flight AA 77	208
3.3.1	What Happened to Anti-Aircraft Anti-Missile Systems?	218
3.3.2	Did Bombs Explode First at the Pentagon?	222
3.4	Flight UA 93	230
3.5	Failure of SOPs	243
3.5.1	Cheney's Role	251
3.6	The Destruction of WTC Towers	258
3.6.1	WTC Survivors' Testimony	265
3.7	Destruction of WTC 7	279
3.7.1	WTC 7 Eyewitness Testimony	289
3.7.2	The Mysterious Death of Jennings	297
3.8	Whistleblowers, Harassments & Deaths	302
3.9	Foreknowledge	318
3.10	Miscellaneous Facts and OCT	334
	Index	357

Preface

This book has grown out of a lecture that I gave on September 11, 2012 to an audience comprising faculty members of the University of the Punjab. The lecture had been organized by the Punjab University Academic Staff Association. It was an off the cuff lecture as I did not have the time to sit down and prepare a write up before hand. Subsequently I wrote an article based on that talk and put it up on my web site www.mujaahidkamran.com with the title “9/11 - An Analysis”.

However I felt the need to elaborate many of the things I had stated in the lecture. As a result of this attempt the write up became a fairly long essay, long enough to be published as a small book. 9/11 bears some important similarities to the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor in December 1941. These similarities were noted by 9/11 researchers very early. I then realized that the average reader would not know much about what was really behind the Pearl Harbor attack and that led me to add a chapter on Pearl Harbor.

In my lecture I had not discussed the unacceptability of the “official” 9/11 explanation. I assumed that many of those attending were aware of these. In particular the internet documentary *Loose Change*, aired by the Geo channel in Pakistan, had been watched by many people who had then become aware that the official version of

events was not acceptable.¹ However I realized that in a book of this sort there is a need to summarize the facts about 9/11 and the “holes” in the official version that have been pointed out by 9/11 researchers. This led me to add the final chapter *The Mysteries of 9/11*. The chapters on Pearl Harbor and on the Mysteries of 9/11 may be regarded as appendices to the long essay titled *9/11 and the New World Order*. The chapter on the Mysteries of 9/11 however became a long essay in its own right in view of the enormous information and analysis that had to be compressed in it. Although intended as an appendix the chapter on the Mysteries of 9/11 in itself became the equivalent of a small book.

I have repeatedly stated in my previous writings that the American people, with their wonderful qualities of the head and heart, are the finest group among mankind at the present stage of human evolution. It were American researchers and scholars who were among the very first to point out that 9/11 was a false flag operation. More than any other group, and there are groups of scholars outside the U.S., who have valiantly pointed out the criminal nature of 9/11, it are the Americans who have led the movement to get to the bottom of 9/11. They have fought the Elite controlled government, the corporate media, and the conditioning of the masses by the educational system. The tenacity of the 9/11 Truth

¹This 90-minute internet movie, incidentally, was made by three young Americans by spending a mere 2000 U.S. dollars. It was watched by so many people that it was referred to as the first internet blockbuster.

Movement, which includes not just the families of the victims, but increasingly Americans from various professions, such as Actors and Artists for 9/11 Truth, Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth, Former Intelligence Officers for 9/11 Truth, Scholars for 9/11 Truth, Scientists for 9/11 Truth, etc., is a tribute to the strength of character of Americans. The extensive literature on the subject, books and articles posted on various websites owned by patriotic Americans, is proof that many Americans are deeply troubled by the actions of their government, and the direction towards which their nation is headed.

The tenacity of the 9/11 Truth Movement, and the increasing disillusionment of the American people with the official 9/11 position, is the most significant ray of hope in an increasingly darkened world where the “elected” governments lie without batting an eyelid to secure the interests of their corporate masters. The main problem, perhaps the only problem, that the U.S. faces is the deep, hidden and sustained control of its institutions by a group of unimaginably rich families. These families are inter-related by business interests, marriage and blood. These families control global politics by controlling the money line of countries like the U.S. and the U.K. They can do this because, among others, they own the U.S. Federal Reserve and the Bank of England, banks which have the power to issue currency. Most of these families remain in the background, and many members of such families do not even reside in the U.S. This group, the Elite, or international bankers,

as it is called, owns most of the wealth of the world and is driving the agenda for the One World Government, the New World Order (NWO) as it is euphemistically called. The NWO will be a dictatorship of international bankers where thought control will be employed to the fullest possible extent.

Throughout the writing of this book I had the support and invaluable help of many people. First and foremost I must thank my wife Shazia Qureshi Ph.D. for her continual input and many valuable suggestions. She read almost everything I wrote, and despite her academic commitments, always came up with original assessments and advice. Many of the highly important books that I needed to understand 9/11 and allied issues were bought by her during her studies at Lancaster University. I am grateful to her for this too. Professor Dr. Mansoor Sarwar continually pushed me to write this book. I also wish to thank the elected representatives of the Punjab University Academic Staff Association, in particular Dr. Ahsan Sharif, Mr. Javed Sami, Prof. Dr. Shaukat Ali and Ms Sonia Umar, as well as elected syndic Shamaila Gull, for having arranged the lecture that led to this work. I also wish to thank Mr. Shahid Kamal for his continual interest in the work and advice about its design and layout.

I am thankful to Mr. Amjad Parvez, Director of the Punjab University Press and Publications Department, and a faculty member of the Punjab University College of Art and Design, and Raja Munawar, for having jointly designed the cover, and for help with the

various stages of publication of this book. I wish to especially thank Chaudhry Mohammad Hanif, Chief Librarian of the University of the Punjab, who purchased books on 9/11 and related matters for the Main Library on my request several times. These invaluable books are not published by mainstream publishers, which in itself says something about how the mainstream publishers play their role in suppressing or distorting truth. It will not be wrong to say that there is a sort of “Establishment of Publishers” too.

I have typed the book in L^AT_EX and remain responsible for all errors that may have escaped scrutiny.

Mujahid Kamran
August 14, 2013

Chapter 1

9/11 and The New World Order

It takes a great deal of boldness, mixed with a vast deal of caution to acquire a great fortune; and then it takes ten times as much wit to keep it after you have got it, as it took to make it. Mayer A. Rothschild¹

*It is difficult to get a man to understand when his salary depends upon his **not** understanding it.* Upton Sinclair

The bandits of the World Order have succeeded in robbing the whole world through the technique of the bal masque, the disguise that enables them to carry on their

¹Quoted by Deanna Spingola: *The Ruling Elite: A Study in Imperial Genocide and Emancipation*; Trafford Publishing, 2011; p 8.

Satanic work without being identified and prosecuted. The bal masque is the ideal vehicle for this program, because the World Order gained its present power in Europe of the nineteenth century. . . . The reward of being a guest at a bal masque is to be one of the Knowing Ones, those who know which masque hid the face of the King, which costume is that of the Grand Vizier. The other guests never know whether they are talking to a mere courtier, or to a powerful personage. The masses, with their faces pressed against the windows of the ballroom, know none of the celebrants and will never know. This is the technique of the World Order, to be masked in mystery, with its hierarchy protected by its anonymity and their masks, so that those who revolt will strike out against the wrong targets, insignificant officials who are expendable.

Eustace Mullins²

1.1 Coverup

The event known as 9/11 is a watershed of human history. What were the powers that carried out 9/11? And what were their targets and goals? These questions are not easy to answer. This is partly because, according to highly reputed analysts and writers, the Government of

²Eustace Mullins: *The World Order: A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton, VA; 1984; quoted from the second foreword.

the United States of America is engaged in an aggressive coverup³ of the truth about 9/11 from the very moment this event began unfolding. One may quote John Farmer, senior counsel to the *official* 9/11 Commission:⁴

In the course of our investigation into the national response to the attacks, the 9/11 Commission staff discovered that the official version of what had occurred [the morning of September 11, 2001] - that is *what government and military officials had told the Congress, the Commission, the media and the public about who knew what when - was almost entirely and inexplicably untrue.*⁵

Since John Farmer was a senior counsel of the official 9/11 Commission, his statements are authoritative - he had access to whatever happened during the proceedings

³In an op-ed, *Stonewalled by the C.I.A.*, that appeared in the *New York Times* on January 2, 2008 Thomas H. Kean and Lee H. Hamilton, Chair and Vice-Chair respectively of the 9-11 Commission, also known as Kean Commission, stated:

What we do know is that government officials decided not to inform a lawfully constituted body, created by Congress and the president, to investigate one of the greatest tragedies to confront this country. We call that obstruction.

⁴Quoted by Jim Marrs in his book *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened On 9/11 And Why We're Still Paying the Price*; The Disinformation Co Ltd, New York, 2011, p vii.

⁵Emphasis added.

of the Commission. Farmer further states:⁶

The public had been seriously misled about what occurred during the morning of the attacks ... at some level of the government, at some point in time ... there was an agreement *not* to tell the truth about what happened.⁷

Former member of the official 9/11 Commission Max Cleland resigned from the Commission as a protest. He stated:⁸

It is a national scandal ... This investigation is now compromised ... One of these days we will have to get the full story because the 9-11 issue is so important to America. But this White House wants to cover it up.

As Larry Chin wrote for Global Research in 2004:⁹

The 9/11 Independent Commission is an orchestrated coverup, controlled by the Bush White House and Bush Justice Department, headed by Bush-appointed directors, and run

⁶*Ibid*, p xiv.

⁷Emphasis added.

⁸Quoted by Paul Joseph Watson: The 9/11 Whistleblowers; September 28, 2010; <http://www.infowars.com/the-911-whistleblowers/>

⁹Larry Chin: *Cover-up and Propaganda: The 9/11 Commission Finishes its Dirty Work*; 27 June, 2004. <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/CHI406A.html>

by legendary Washington fixers and veteran war criminals with direct ties to the most likely 9/11 planners, operatives and beneficiaries. This fact has been amplified, as the Commission has begun to wrap up its final report, burying the truth about 9/11, under new piles of spin, distortion, theater, unsupported allegations, warmed-over Bush administration lies, and lurid new fabrications.

One may also quote Lt. Col. Shelton F. Lankford, retired fighter pilot of the U.S. Marine Corps:¹⁰

Your countrymen have been murdered and the more you delve into it the more it looks as though they were murdered by our government, who used it as an excuse to murder other people thousands of miles away.

U.S. Air Force officer Lt. Col. Robert Bowman Ph.D. clearly stated:

Scholars and professionals . . . have established beyond any reasonable doubt that the official account of 9/11 is false and that, therefore, the official “investigations” have really been cover-up operations.

It may help to remember that Lt. Col. Bowman “flew 101 combat missions in Vietnam. He is the recipient

¹⁰<http://patriotsquestion911.com/Statement%20Lankford.html>;

of the Eisenhower Medal, the George F. Kennan Peace Prize, the Presidents Medal of Veterans for Peace, the Society of Military Engineers Gold Medal (twice), six Air Medals, and dozens of other awards and honors. His Ph.D. is in Aeronautics and Nuclear Engineering from Caltech. He ... is one of the country's foremost experts on National Security."¹¹ He headed the Star Wars missile defense program during the Ford and Carter regimes. Therefore what Lt. Col. Bowman says cannot be dismissed lightly.

FBI translator with top security clearance, Sibel Edmonds, had uncovered contacts between al Qaeda, NATO and U.S. agencies right up to 9/11. All her efforts to bring the matter to the attention of the superior authorities in FBI, the 9/11 Commission and the Congress were thwarted. When she threatened to go public she was bound and gagged by the court. She then sent the following statement for the media to her attorney on 21st May 2004:¹²

Attorney General John Ashcroft, the Department of Justice, and the FBI have engaged in covering up my reports and investigations into my allegations for over two years now: They have blocked the release of all documents related to my case that were requested under FOIA for over two years. They have

¹¹http://www.infowars.com/articles/us/former_head_of_star_wars_say_cheney_main_911_suspect.htm

¹²Sibel Edmonds: *Classified Woman - The Sibel Edmonds Story*; published by Sibel Edmonds, 2012; pp 254, 255.

asserted the rarely invoked State Secrets Privilege in my court proceedings. They have blocked the release of the DOJ-IG¹³ report of its investigations into my reports and allegations. They have quashed a subpoena for my deposition on information regarding 911. *And now they are gagging the United States Congress.*¹⁴

They are not protecting the “national security” of the United States. On the contrary, they are endangering our national security by covering up facts and information related to criminal activities against this country and its citizens. To this date American people have not heard the real facts of these criminal activities, nor of the involved semi-legit organizations, nor of connected officials. The Department of Justice and this administration are fully aware that making this information public will bring about the question of accountability. And they do not want to be held accountable. It is for these reasons that I have been striving to get the Congress to hold its own public hearings regarding these issues. I no longer intend to go behind their secured-closed doors to testify. I intend to testify openly, publicly, and under oath.

¹³Department of Justice Inspector General.

¹⁴Emphasis in original.

What more evidence of a coverup can one want?

The coverup has another dimension - to brainwash the people and to repress those who espouse views that challenge the official version of 9/11. This proposal, known as “cognitive infiltration” was suggested by a White House official belonging to the academia. Professor Cass R. Sunstein, a former Professor of Chicago Law School as well as Harvard Law School was appointed by Obama as administrator of the White House Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. In an article he coauthored with Professor Adrian Vermeule of Harvard Law School, it was suggested that *the U.S. Government agents should not only engage in “cognitive infiltration” of the 9/11 Truth Movement, the government might also “ban conspiracy theories”*. By “conspiracy theories” the authors essentially meant theories that challenge the official 9/11 version. After all the official version also asserted that 9/11 itself was a conspiracy involving Al Qaeda - that too is a conspiracy theory! The article, titled *Conspiracy Theories*, was posted on the web in 2008 and, a shorter version of it published in the June 2009 issue of *Journal of Political Philosophy* with an altered title: *Conspiracy Theories: Causes and Cures*. The views expressed by authors, one of whom occupied a highly responsible position in the U.S. government, drew many responses from the 9/11 Truth Movement. Professor David Ray Griffin has devoted an entire book to a careful and detailed refutation of this dangerous

concept.¹⁵ Glenn Greenwald, who challenged the arguments put forward by these authors, also remarked that *banning of conspiracy theories would require doing away with the First Amendment*.¹⁶ Greenwald also remarked that any one holding views espoused by the authors “should be barred from any meaningful government position.” However there is every likelihood that cognitive infiltration has not been given up. Keeping in view the legislation and the growing influence and aggressiveness and pervasiveness of the security apparatus in American life, the possibility of serious restrictions on freedom of speech on the alternate media being enforced cannot be ruled out. The “mainstream” media itself is not free on account of its ownership by a mere half dozen corporations.

It is of interest to quote Servando’s Conspiracy Law:¹⁷

Human-caused events of a certain type - particularly the ones detrimental to a large segment of the population, but beneficial to a small, powerful clique - which are consistently repeated over and over, are most likely not the result of chance, stupidity, or incompetence, but of a well organized conspiracy.

¹⁵David Ray Griffin: *Cognitive Infiltration: An Obama Appointee’s Plan to Undermine the 9/11 Conspiracy Theory*; Olive Branch Press 2011.

¹⁶Glenn Greenwald: *Obama Confidant’s Spine-Chilling Proposal* www.salon.com January 15, 2010.

¹⁷Servando Gonzalez: *Psychological Warfare and the New World Order*; www.psywarandnwo.com; 2010, p xi.

Apart from the coverup, the deep difficulty in comprehending 9/11 also arises because the world of today is a world dominated by the media and a world in which scientific knowledge is employed in molding perceptions and creating mindsets.

1.2 Mind Control - World Control

In order to be able to employ the people of the U.S. for the achievement of the NWO, a sustained strategy of mind control has been employed by the U.S. agencies and media on Elite directions for almost a century. In order to understand how the psychological sciences have been employed for this purpose by those who want to set up a One World Government under their control, one may begin by quoting Jim Keith from his book on mass control.¹⁸ Talking of a transformation in Europe during the second half of the nineteenth century, “idolatry of power” as he calls it, and of its impact on psychology, Jim Keith writes:¹⁹

One aspect of this transformation, this “idolatry of power” was a negative transformation of the psychological sciences. In the late nineteenth century, earlier more humanistic approaches to understanding mankind were replaced by a scientific philosophy that

¹⁸Jim Keith: *Mass Control: Engineering Human Consciousness*; Adventures Unlimited Press, 1999, 2003.

¹⁹*Ibid* p 10.

would be employed less for the understanding of man than as justification for a new feudalism and a mechanism of pure control.

It is instructive to read what Edward Bernays, a nephew of Freud on both sides, has to say on the issue of public mind control. He once wrote:²⁰

If we understand the mechanism and motives of the group mind, it is now possible to control and regiment the masses according to our will without them knowing it.

Public and individual mind control has been a sophisticated subject, particularly since 1913, when the Rothschilds, Rockefellers and the British monarchy provided funds to a group of men with the target of molding British public opinion in favor of war against Germany. The British public, at that time, had no desire to go to war with Germany, but, to use Churchill's terminology, a "High Cabal" wanted a great war.²¹ The "High Cabal" is a set of the wealthiest families on the planet, tied

²⁰Edward L. Bernays: *Propaganda: The Public Mind in the Making*; New York Horace Liveright 1928. This book can be downloaded from the internet too.

²¹L. Fletcher Prouty: *The Secret Team: The CIA and its Allies in Control of the World*; Col. Prouty was the briefing officer to the President of the United States during the period 1954-63. According to one reviewer, Luc Reyneart, (on amazon.com): "This is an extremely important book. The proof of it is that even the official copy in the Library of Congress disappeared (!). Moreover, even after his death, the author continues to be the object of a smear campaign (see internet)." Col. Prouty has described the

by blood, business interests and inter-marriages. These families are also known as the international bankers or the Elite. Their success in bringing about World War I led to the setting up of the Tavistock Institute of Human Relations in London, and later in Sussex University, an institute devoted to mind and behavior control, and to brain washing the public.²² Tavistock has spawned similar institutes in the advanced world, in particular in the United States of America.

For over a century the Elite has been funding psychiatry and eugenics and other scientific research aimed at controlling and “improving” mankind! The funding provided by the international bankers to institutions dedicated to controlling and manipulating the human mind and body was not restricted to U.K. or the U.S. Funds were provided on a grand scale to institutions in Germany before and during the Nazi era, and programs initiated there were taken over after WW II. For instance, in 1925, the Rockefellers provided \$ 2.5 m to the Kaiser Wilhelm Institute of Psychiatry. Grants were provided to the Institute even during the Hitler era. This Institute was initially funded by the munition manufacturing

incident where Churchill, upon hearing of the allied attack on Rotterdam, muttered: “Unrestricted submarine warfare, unrestricted air bombing - this is total war. Time and the Ocean and some guiding star and High Cabal have made us what we are.”

²²John Coleman: *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations: Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Cultural, Political and Economic Decline of the United States of America*; the book is available from John Coleman’s website coleman300.com as well as from amazon.com.

Krupp family as well by the banker James Loeb, brother in law of Paul Warburg, author of the diabolical Federal Reserve scheme. The funding of such research by the Elite has to be looked at in the light of the Rothschild quote at the opening of the book stating that it requires ten times more wit to hold on to huge wealth, than is required to amass it.

In his book on mass control Jim Keith describes the mission and influence of Tavistock in the following words:²³

One prominent locus of world control - its influence spreading through the media, the scientific establishment, corporations, governments, and the military - is the Tavistock Institute. Tavistock, a collaborative effort of the British military intelligence and psychiatric establishment, was created in 1921 reportedly on the orders of members of the Royal Institute of International Affairs (also known as Chatham House). The RIIA is an arm of the British Rhodes Round Table Group, founded by British imperialist and Freemason Cecil Rhodes. The Round Table, functioning through a myriad of offshoots, has been the century's most effective proponent for the creation of the one world government. Tavistock relies on grants for its

²³Jim Keith: *Mass Control: Engineering Human Consciousness*; Adventures Unlimited Press, 1999, 2003, p 30.

operations from the Rockefellers, Carnegies, the British Home Office and large anonymous grants.

Jim Keith adds that:²⁴

Initially run by British military intelligence officer Major John Rawlings, from its inception Tavistock was intended as a coordinating center for planetary social control using “psychological shock troops”, a term coined by Reese. These shock troops in white lab coats have fanned out across the planet, infiltrating organizations in order to implement policies deemed productive by the organization’s strategists.

At core Tavistock consists of Freemasonic British intelligence agents collaborating with the hydra heads of world psychiatry to achieve two goals:

- (1) The one world order where the nation state has been abolished and a single totalitarian control center established.
- (2) The simultaneous psychological control of the world . . .

Edward Bernays was a member of the 1913 group tasked with the responsibility of breaking the British public resistance to war. Astonishingly the said group also included Arnold Toynbee, destined to become a famed

²⁴ *Ibid*, pp 30-31.

historian, as well as Walter Lippmann, later a renowned political commentator and writer in USA.²⁵ Bernays emigrated to the U.S. after the war to launch a new area by the name of Engineering Consent. He defines Engineering of Consent and its scope in the following words:²⁶

This phrase quite simply means the use of an engineering approach - that is, action based only on thorough knowledge of the situation and on the application of scientific principles and tried practices of getting people to support ideas and programs. . . .

The technique can be subverted; demagogues can utilize the technique for anti-democratic purposes with as much success as can those who employ them for socially desirable ends.

In his book *Propaganda*²⁷ he writes:

Those who manipulate the unseen mechanism of society constitute an invisible government which is the true ruling power of our country. We are governed, our minds

²⁵John Coleman: *The Tavistock Institute of Human Relations: Shaping the Moral, Spiritual, Cultural, Political and Economic Decline of the United States of America*.

²⁶Edward L. Bernays: *The Engineering of Consent*; Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, No 250, March 1947 p 113-120.

²⁷Edward L. Bernays: *Propaganda: The Public Mind in the Making*; New York Horace Liveright 1928. This book can be downloaded from the internet too.

molded, our tastes formed, our ideas suggested largely by men we have never heard of ... In almost every act of our lives whether in the sphere of politics or business, in our social conduct or our ethical thinking, we are dominated by the relatively small number of persons who understand the mental processes and social patterns of the masses. It is they who pull the wires that control the public mind.

Pulling the wires of the public mind is an essential ingredient of the Elite strategy for setting up the NWO. It is by pulling such strings that they are able to conceal their intention and enhance their control quietly and ruthlessly. It is precisely to be able to hold the public in a controlled and manipulated mindset that the Elite patronizes scientists and researchers and funds their research. Only by deceiving the people can the Elite carry out its operations aimed at owning the entire planet and ruling it. The Elite has the distinction of being able to deceive the vast majority of mankind all the time.

1.3 The Elite and False Flag Operations

The Elite, or the High Cabal of Churchill is, as stated earlier, a set of immensely rich families tied by blood, business interests and intermarriages. Most of these families remain in the background by design as they

have learned the value of anonymity in enhancing their control of the globe. One may also do well to remember what Robert Gaylon Ross Sr. writes in his book *Who's Who of the Elite*²⁸ i.e. the “High Cabal” of Churchill:

It is my opinion that they own the U.S. military, NATO, the Secret Service, the CIA, the Supreme Court, and many of the lower courts. They appear to control, either directly or indirectly, most of the state, county, and local law enforcement agencies. To ignore this is pure lunacy.

In addition, these families own all major banks (e.g. Bank of America, Citigroup, J.P. Morgan-Chase, Wells Fargo) as well as the U.S. Federal Reserve and the Bank of England which have the power to issue currency. These families own all major oil companies such as BP Amoco, Chevron Texaco, Exxon Mobil and Royal Dutch / Shell. As pointed out by Dean Henderson,²⁹ *these very families are also among the top ten stock holders*

²⁸Robert Gaylon Ross Sr: *Who's Who of the Elite: Members of the Bilderbergs, Council on Foreign Relations & Trilateral Commission*; Published by RIE website www.4rie.com; first published 1995. I possess the 2003 reprint. It has been repeatedly reprinted, and also augmented, even after 2003.

²⁹Dean Henderson: *The Federal Reserve Cartel: Part I: The Eight Families*

<http://deanhenderson.wordpress.com/2011/06/01/the-federal-reserve-cartel-part-i-the-eight-families/>

Also see Dean Henderson: *Big Oil & Their Bankers in the Persian Gulf: Four Horsemen, Eight Families & Their Global Intelligence, Narcotics & Terror Networks*; 3rd Edition 2010, Bridger House

in each of the top 500 corporations, the so called Fortune 500 companies. Interestingly when Dean Henderson made a request, under the Freedom of Information Act, about the top 25 U.S. bank holding companies, his request was denied on grounds of national security! This is despite the fact that many of these stock holders do not reside in the U.S. Currently 95% of the U.S. media is owned by only six corporations,³⁰ whose top echelons

Publishing. Henderson refers to the following four oil behemoths as the Four Horsemen: BP Amoco, Chevron Texaco, Exxon Mobil and Royal Dutch/Shell. The Four Horsemen have now replaced the seven oil companies known as Seven Sisters previously.

³⁰The interest of the international bankers in the media is quite old. No less than a Mayor of New York, John Francis Hylan, stated the following in a speech during March 1927 (reported by *New York Times* on March 26, 1927; emphasis added below):

The real menace of our Republic is the invisible government, which like a giant octopus sprawls its slimy legs over our cities, states and nation. To depart from mere generalizations, let me say that at the head of this octopus are the Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests and a small group of powerful banking houses generally referred to as the international bankers. The little coterie of powerful international bankers virtually run the United States government for their own selfish purposes.

They practically control both parties, write political platforms, make catspaws of party leaders, use the leading men of private organizations, and resort to every device to place in nomination for high public office only such candidates as will be amenable to the dictates of corrupt big business.

These international bankers and Rockefeller-Standard Oil interests control the majority of the

are dominated by Zionists allied with the banking cabal. In fact Zionism is a creation and tool of the “international” bankers. It takes a little while to appreciate the fact that these families exercise deep control over the academia, particularly with regard to social sciences. In fact they have recruited or purchased the academia in order to harness their intellect and inventions for the purpose of global control.

A study by Swiss researchers that appeared in the *New Scientist* magazine in 2011 revealed that the bankers do indeed control the world. The researchers drew on a 2007 Orbis database that lists 37 million companies and investors worldwide. They focussed on 43000 transnational companies and the share ownership that connected them. The study revealed that a core of companies, most in the banking sector, exerted a highly disproportionate influence on the global economy. They also found that of these 1318 companies were intertwined with 20 others on an average. The top 25 included Barclays, Bank of America, J.P. Morgan Chase, Morgan Stanley, Goldman Sachs, Merrill Lynch, Deutsche Bank

newspapers and magazines in this country. They use the columns of these papers to club into submission or drive out of office public officials who refuse to do the bidding of the powerful corrupt cliques which compose the invisible government. It operates under cover of a self-created screen [and] seizes our executive officers, legislative bodies, schools, courts, newspapers and every agency created for the public protection.

AG, Credit Suisse, Bank of New York Mellon Group, Societe Generale, etc.³¹

Writers like Eustace Mullins and Dean Henderson have pointed out that systematic international espionage was a contribution of the international bankers. As Eustace Mullins wrote:³²

International intelligence, or, as it was formerly known, espionage, was not founded by either Donovan or Dulles, who were merely employees of the World Order. The Order founded international espionage to protect their far reaching investments and dealings in slaves, drugs and gold, the commodities on which their wealth was built.

Allen F. Dulles, a CIA Director had once referred to Gen. Donovan, the first Head of OSS (Office of Strategic Services), precursor of CIA, as “the father of Central Intelligence.” Donovan was actually a British agent while Dulles worked with his brother for a Wall Street law firm Sullivan and Cromwell before joining the CIA. This firm represented the BP in U.S., the Warburg owned Hamburg Bank that bankrolled the rise of Hitler, as well as J. Henry Schroeder Bank. To this day intelligence

³¹Andy Coghlan and Debora MacKenzie: *Revealed - the capitalist network that runs the world*; 24 October 2011; www.newscientist.com/article/mg21228345.500-revealed-the-capitalist-network-that-runs-the-world.html

³²Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

agencies of Western powers perform the function of protecting the worldwide legal and illicit enterprises of the Elite, including drug trafficking, gun running, etc. War itself is a major business of the Elite.

It may be of interest to quote from Mullins:³³

In Feb. 1981, OSS veterans held a gala reunion in New York. Present were Margaret Thatcher, Prime Minister of England, Julia Child, Beverly Woodner, Hollywood designer, John Shaheen, who had been chief of OSS Special Projects, now a wealthy oil man; Ernest Cuneo, who had been liaison between OSS and FDR, Arthur Goldberg, labor leader and lawyer, later Supreme Court Justice and U.S. ambassador to UN, Bill Colby, later Chief of CIA . . . One of the most famous OSS agents who did not show up was Ho Chi Minh.

With the U.S. military and intelligence apparatus in their control, with their ownership of the media, and with their control of academia and the courts, it is easy for the Elite to direct assassinations and false flag operations world wide, operations such as the murder of JFK, Oklahoma City bombing and 9/11 in the U.S., Bologna railway station bombing in Italy, the murder of the former Italian Prime Minister Aldo Moro, the murder of

³³Eustace Mullins: *The World Order: A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton, VA; 1984; quoted from the second foreword.

the Swedish Prime Minister Olaf Palme, etc. with impunity.

1.3.1 Operation Gladio

Richard Cottrell's devastating book on the secret operation Gladio, published only recently, has thoroughly exposed false flag operations carried out by the CIA, MI 6 and NATO's foot soldiers in Europe.³⁴ Page after page of his book exposes the most terrible false flag operations, unleashed against the ordinary people of Europe as well as against prominent leaders who refused to tow the line of those who control the U.S., U.K. and NATO. Cottrell reveals that every NATO country had a 'stay behind' secret army. These secret armies had been organized at the end of WW II in order to offer resistance to any possible invasion of the countries by Soviet Union. The Italian 'stay behind' army was named Gladio.

These "sleeping soldiers" were directed to unleash a reign of terror in NATO countries in order to keep the public scared of the Communist threat. This "synthetic terror" haunted Europe and Turkey for two decades. In case of Turkey the operations appeared to continue even after they subsided in Europe. Countries that faced this terror included Italy, Sweden, Germany, Britain, Belgium, Greece and many others. Even Pope John Paul II was attacked by these foot soldiers. So many inno-

³⁴Richard Cottrell: *Gladio: NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe - The Pentagon-Nazi-Mafia Terror Axis*; Progressive Press, May 2012.

cent people were killed and so much confusion prevailed that people, to date, do not really know what hit their countries. These stay behind networks became a subversive force of great ruthlessness. As the architect of this terrorism, the “elusive and extremely dangerous” Frenchman Yves Guérin-Sèrac, put it: “*This destruction must be carried out under cover of ‘communist activities’. Popular opinion must be polarized ... that we are the only instrument capable of saving the nation.*”³⁵ All one has to do is to replace the word ‘communist’ in the above quote by al Qaeda to understand the present day scenario - the tiger does not change its stripes, nor the leopard its spots.

As Professor Peter Dale Scott writes in his September 2012 article:³⁶

From an American standpoint, it is easy to see clearly how Italian history was systematically destabilized in the second half of the 20th century, by a series of what I call structural deep events. I have defined these as “events, like the JFK assassination, the Watergate break-in, or 9/11, which violate the ... social structure, have a major impact on

³⁵Quoted by Richard Cottrell in his book, p 13.

³⁶Peter Dale Scott: *Systemic Destabilization as “A Strategy of Tension: 9/11, the JFK Assassination, and the Oklahoma City Bombing*; September 24, 2012; Center for Global Research <http://www.globalresearch.ca/systemic-destabilization-as-a-strategy-of-tension-911-the-jfk-assassination-and-the-oklahoma-city-bombing/5305884>

society, repeatedly involve law-breaking or violence, and in many cases *proceed from an unknown dark force*.”³⁷

The examples in Italy, well known to Italians, include the Piazza Fontana bombing of 1969, the Piazza della Loggia bombing of 1974, and the Bologna railway bombing of 1980.

These bombings, in which over one hundred civilians were killed and many more wounded, were attributed at the time to marginal left-wing elements of society. However, thanks chiefly to a series of investigations and judicial proceedings, it is now clearly established that the bombings were the work of right-wing elements in collusion with Italian military intelligence, as part of an on-going “strategy of tension” to discredit the Italian left, encourage support for a corrupt status quo, and perhaps move beyond democracy altogether. As one of the conspirators, Vincenzo Vinciguerra, later stated, “The December 1969 explosion was supposed to be the detonator which would have convinced the political and military authorities to declare a state of emergency.”

Vinciguerra also revealed that he and others had also been members of a paramilitary

³⁷Emphasis added.

“stay-behind” network originally organized at the end of World War II by the CIA and NATO as “Operation Gladio.”

Through the media that it owns, and through psychological tactics, the Elite controlled agencies have been able to deceive the people. Even to date very few Europeans are aware that it was NATO that was stabbing them right in their heart for over two decades. This ignorance is causing great harm not only to the general public of Europe and the U.S., but has endangered the entire world. Most likely these foot soldiers or their successors are still active, except that Islamophobia has replaced the communist threat. Anyone who has awareness about these matters automatically understands that the bloody “Strategy of Tension”, outlined by the ruthless Frenchman Yves Guérin-Sèrac, continues to operate in a large number of countries worldwide under the garb of “terrorism” and under the aegis of an Elite controlled NATO and its agencies like the CIA, MI 6, etc. Innocent men, women and children are being blown to bits everyday in one or the other country of the globe.

It is important to note at this stage that a new operation, “Gladio B”, was begun in 1997 to destabilize Central Asian states and perhaps subsequently adjoining regions including Pakistan. Gladio B is still an ongoing operation. It will be described later in the course of this chapter. The existence of Gladio B was revealed by the FBI whistleblower Sibel Edmonds, whom we will meet in subsequent pages. The attempts to gag and silence

and intimidate her have failed and her book *Classified Woman*, which she published herself, has been a hit. It has a 5 star rating on amazon.com with 173 reviews (as checked on August 1, 2013)!

1.3.2 Osama bin Laden and 9/11

I will not go into the details of unanswered questions about 9/11 as there is consensus among 9/11 scholars and researchers, whose views are deliberately suppressed by the “mainstream” media, that 9/11 was an inside job.³⁸ It was not carried out by Osama Bin Laden or al Qaeda as announced instantly, and by design, by the corporate media in 2001, and as falsely propagated by the U.S. authorities.

It is extremely important to note that the name of Osama bin Laden never appeared in the Most Wanted FBI list of 9/11 perpetrators. Why? On June 5, 2006, an investigative reporter asked this question of Rex Tomb, Chief of Investigative Publicity for FBI.³⁹ Rex Tomb replied:

The reason why 9/11 is not mentioned on Osama Bin Laden’s Most Wanted page is because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11 . . . The FBI gath-

³⁸However a fairly detailed chapter has been added at the end of this book which addresses some of the “mysteries” of 9/11.

³⁹Ed Haas: *FBI says, it has “No hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11”*; www.informationclearinghouse.info/article13664.htm

ers evidence. Once evidence is gathered, it is turned over to the Department of Justice. The Department of Justice then decides whether it has enough evidence to present to a federal grand jury. In the case of the 1998 United States Embassies being bombed, Bin Laden has been formally indicted and charged by a grand jury. He has not been formally indicted and charged in connection with 9/11 because the FBI has no hard evidence connecting Bin Laden to 9/11.

This is a statement from a very responsible person. Why then did the U.S. attack Afghanistan on the pretext of linking bin Laden to 9/11? It was a war based on a most blatant lie. One would have expected Rex Tomb's revelation to have made headlines. The "mainstream" media, however, maintained a complete silence on this explosive revelation. This is enough to show the complicity of the "mainstream" media in covering up the criminal actions of their governments, carried out at the behest of the very same Elite that owns this media. An alleged bin Laden video, released in 2001, was given much projection on the media. In this tape bin Laden admitted responsibility for planning the 9/11 attacks. While the Western leaders pounced on this tape as establishing bin Laden's guilt, its authenticity has been questioned. I remember looking at the video and asking myself about the nose of bin Laden in the video - it was different, much wider and flatter. Bin Laden had a very sharp nose. Fortunately experts have looked

at it and pointed out⁴⁰ that “the big guy [in the video] clearly was not bin Laden. He was at least 40 to 50 pounds heavier, and his facial features were obviously different.” Further the *Guardian* pointed out that the man in the video was wearing a ring in his right hand whereas in a previous video bin Laden wore no jewelry.

Mike Ruppert had accidentally discovered that the CIA was bringing and selling drugs in U.S. The earnings from drug sales were being used to buy arms to be shipped to Iran during the Iran-Iraq war. He tried to expose this illegal and immoral activity. This made him unpopular with the CIA which had earlier tried to recruit him. He was shot at a couple of times and was forced to resign from LAPD, started a web journal, *From the Wilderness*, and set up his website www.copvicia.com. His writings on U.S. politics and its control by the richest families on the planet earth are eye opening and can be read on the afore-mentioned website.

One may quote from the bestselling book on 9/11 by Michael Ruppert. In the *Introduction* to his remarkable book he writes:⁴¹

With respect to al Qaeda and Osama Bin Laden, that critical litmus test for any murder prosecution - means, motive and oppor-

⁴⁰Kevin Barrett quoted in David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited - 9/11, the Coverup and the Exposé*; Olive Branch Press 2009, p 209.

⁴¹Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil* - New Society Publisher, 2004; p 1.

tunity - has never been fully applied. In a capital case each of these components would require demonstration “beyond a shadow of doubt.” Regardless of whom the suspect(s) turns out to be, these are the basic questions every homicide investigator must seek to answer in the course of investigation. This book will attempt to do that. In the end the only “suspects” found to meet all these criteria will not be al Qaeda or Osama bin Laden. They will instead be a group of people operating within certain government agencies, including the White House, for the benefit of major financial interests in the United States and in other countries. This group will specifically include parts of the administration of George W. Bush and, before it, the administration of William Jefferson Clinton. However, the only possible unifying thread will be the intelligence community and, in particular, the United States Secret Service and Central Intelligence Agency. I realize that this is a frightening statement. I submit that by the end of this book it will be the only statement that encompasses and reasonably explains the facts as documented.

With regard to Osama bin Laden Ruppert states explicitly (the book was published in 2004):⁴²

⁴²*Ibid* p 123.

Osama bin Laden is probably the last witness the United States would like to have interrogated. There is a compelling case to be made that Osama bin Laden has been a well-cultivated, protected and valued asset of the U.S. and British intelligence. It is also probable that he has been used.

It will also help to remember two explicit and contradictory statements by President George Bush in a span of only six months. On September 13, 2001, two days after 9/11, he stated:⁴³

The most important thing for us is to find Bin Laden. It is our number one priority and we will not rest until we find him.

Exactly six months later, on February 13, 2002, President Bush stated:⁴⁴

I don't know where he is. I have no idea and I really don't care. It's not that important. It's not our priority.

It is a remarkable testimony to the power of those who control the public mind, that the disturbing contradiction inherent in these two statements, made before the world's media, has never been allowed to sink in and has never been highlighted by the "mainstream" media.

⁴³ *Ibid* p 123.

⁴⁴ *Ibid* p 123.

It may also be borne in mind that both of Ruppert's parents as well as his relatives on the paternal side worked for the U.S. intelligence services including the Army Security Agency, National Security Agency, the OSS (Office of Strategic Services, the forerunner of the CIA) and CIA. He himself worked for the LAPD (Los Angeles Police Department) before resigning from his position. While at LAPD he worked at various desks, including drug assignments.

The proof of Ruppert's remarkable thesis is provided in the length and breadth of his book. He is not alone in this conclusion, although he had clearly predicted, soon after the Bush election, that the new administration was preparing to take U.S. to war. The extensive coverup of 9/11, and the gaping contradictions in the "official" version, have been established by reputable researchers beyond doubt. In his foreword to David Ray Griffin's book,⁴⁵ British MP Michael Meacher states:⁴⁶

Never in modern history has an event of such cataclysmic significance been shrouded in such mystery. So many of the key facts remain unexplained on any plausible basis, and so many of the key actors have put forward contradictory accounts, only to be forced to re-

⁴⁵David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor; Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11*; Updated second edition Arris Books, 2004

⁴⁶Michael Meacher: Foreword to the book by David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor - Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books, 2004.

tract or cover up later.

Professor David Ray Griffin, Michael Ruppert, Attorney John Loftus, Professor Chossudovsky, Professor Peter Dale Scott, former German Defense Minister Andreas von Buelow, former Assistant Secretary of Treasury Paul Craig Roberts, former Assistant Secretary of Housing and Urban Development Catherine Austin Fitts, writer Dean Henderson, historian Howard Zinn, novelist Gore Vidal, ex Congresswoman Cynthia McKinnon, Sussane Posel, researcher and broadcaster Alex Jones, author and commentator Webster Tarpley, the bestselling writer and investigative journalist Jim Marrs, the remarkable young researcher Nafeez Mossadeq Ahmed, Kurt Nimo, Henry Makow Ph.D., John Pilger, investigative reporter Tom Flocco, award-winning Canadian journalist Barry Zwicker, Professor Thierry Meyssan and a huge number of other highly reputed men and women have not only questioned the official version - they have also thoroughly researched and objectively investigated 9/11. All of them have come to the same conclusion: The “official” explanation of 9/11 is unacceptable. Although some of them do not say so explicitly, their writings lead to one inexorable conclusion: *prima facie* 9/11 was a preplanned event carried out with the full complicity of U.S. agencies and the White House. Some of the writers have been led inexorably to take this position over the years. For instance, in his first books on 9/11, David Ray Griffin did not explicitly state that 9/11 was an inside job, even though his writings implied that. The title of his 2011 book, *9/11 Ten Years Later When State*

Crimes Against Democracy Succeed,⁴⁷ however, clearly shows his position. These writers and researchers have repeatedly called for the institution of a completely independent inquiry so that facts are brought to the knowledge of the public and responsibility for 9/11 fixed. The Kean Commission report is generally considered an eye-wash by all those who are aware of the facts brought thus far to light.⁴⁸

As Cottrell notes in the preface of his book on Gladio:

The modern day manufactured 'war on terror' comes from the same staple of synthetic violence. With the communist bogey exhausted, we are told of an insidious new peril in our midst: the fearful prospects of minarets and Sharia law marching across the European landscape, destroying Christian civilization. But for fear to work in tangible form, as was discovered in years of lead,⁴⁹ we must have the visible impact of terror all around

⁴⁷HAUS Publishing Ltd, London; www.hauspublishing.com

⁴⁸Thomas H. Kean and Lee H. Hamilton have admitted in an article in the *New York Times* on January 2, 2008 that they had been obstructed by the agencies in their investigations. See footnote 3 at the opening of this chapter. However, the adoption of a time line that contradicts many known facts, and the omission of testimonies of numerous eye witnesses and whistle blowers, that indicate complicity of the U.S. government agencies in 9/11 casts serious doubt on the integrity of the 9/11 Commission report.

⁴⁹The Italians refer to the years when their country was subjected to sabotage, bombings, murder and violence as *anno di piombo* - the years of lead.

us. That is where we are now.

1.4 9/11 and Pearl Harbor Deceptions

It will clarify things if, at this stage, we quote Professor Chossudovsky:⁵⁰

What is at stake is human consciousness and comprehension based on concepts and facts. With September 11 there are no verifiable “facts” and “concepts”, because 9/11 as well as Al Qaeda have evolved into a media mythology, a legend, an invented ideological construct, used as an unsubtle tool of war propaganda.

Al Qaeda constitutes a stylized, fake and almost folkloric abstraction of terrorism, which permeates the inner consciousness of millions of people around the World.

Reference to Al Qaeda has become a dogma, a belief, which most people espouse unconditionally. According to the media, “Muslims were behind the attacks”, thereby justifying a war of retribution against Muslim coun-

⁵⁰Michel Chossudovsky: *September 11, 2001: The Crimes of War Committed “in the Name of 9/11” - Initiating a Legal Procedure against the Perpetrators of 9/11*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/september-11-2001-the-crimes-of-war-committed-in-the-name-of-911/5311561>

tries.

Racism and Islamophobia are an integral part of war propaganda.

Is this political indoctrination? Is it brainwashing? If so what is the underlying objective?

People's capacity to independently analyse World events, as well as address causal relationships pertaining to politics and society, is significantly impaired. That is the objective!

The routine use of 9/11 and Al Qaeda to generate blanket explanations of complex political events is meant to create confusion.

It prevents people from thinking. It strikes at the core of human values. In a sense, it destroys civilization. All of these complex Al Qaeda related occurrences are explained by politicians, the corporate media, Hollywood and the Washington think tanks under a single blanket "bad guys" heading, in which Al Qaeda is casually and repeatedly pinpointed as "the cause" of numerous terror events around the World.

Researchers have criticized the deep, sustained and aggressive coverup of facts regarding 9/11 by the U.S. government. Why is the U.S. government covering up? Why this iron determination to stall all attempts at getting to the bottom of 9/11? What is there to be

hidden? In his article⁵¹ on the “U.S. Terror War” Professor Peter Dale Scott writes that CIA was withholding information on a possible attack from FBI investigators who had smelled something. If information is withheld from the FBI, then information will also be withheld from the public. If this withholding is not permanent, it is likely to last until such time as all players responsible for the crime have died naturally or otherwise, and people have forgotten, or have lost interest. But why? Scott writes:⁵²

In 2011 an important book by Kevin Fenton *Disconnecting the Dots*, demonstrated conclusively that the *withholding was purposive, and sustained over a period of eighteen months. This interference and manipulation became particularly blatant and controversial in the days before 9/11*; it led one FBI agent, Steve Bongardt, to predict accurately on August 29, less than two weeks before 9/11, that “someday someone is going to die.” ... The purpose of this essay is to suggest that the *motives for withholding* may have had to do with the much larger neocon objective

⁵¹Peter Dale Scott: *Launching the U.S. Terror war: the CIA, 9/11, Afghanistan, and Central Asia*; The Asia Pacific Journal - Japan Focus, March 20, 2012. The article can be located at numerous places e.g.

<http://www.infowars.com/launching-the-u-s-terror-war-the-cia-911-afghanistan-and-central-asia/>

⁵²Emphasis added.

being imposed on American foreign policy at this time: *the consolidation of U.S. global hegemony by the establishment of U.S. forward-based bases around the fields of Central Asia.*

In short, the withholding of evidence should be seen as part of the larger ominous pattern of the time, including the malperformance of the U.S. Government in response to the 9/11 attacks, and the murderous anthrax letters which helped secure the passage of the Patriot Act.

For fifty eight years the U.S. government conducted a coverup of actual facts about how Japan was led to attack Pearl Harbor. *Documents were concealed from nine congressional inquiries, including a 1995 inquiry held during the Clinton era.* It was only in 1995 that the Freedom of Information Act enabled researcher Robert B. Stinnett to uncover the truth about Pearl Harbor. In the preface to his outstanding book, the definitive work on the intrigue that led to Pearl Harbor, he writes that the documents obtained through the Freedom of Information Act revealed the true story. He states:⁵³

These papers outline deliberate steps that were planned and implemented to elicit the overt action that catapulted America into

⁵³Robert B. Stinnett: *The Day of Deceit; The Truth About FDR and Pearl Harbor*; Free Press 2000, p XIV. See the following chapter for a summary.

war, and devastated military forces at Pearl Harbor and other Pacific bases. Eight steps were suggested to provoke a Japanese attack. After the eighth provocation Japan responded. On November 27 and 28, 1941, U.S. military commanders were given the order: "The United States desires that Japan commit the first overt act." According to Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson the order came directly from President Roosevelt. There has been a controversy over American foreknowledge of the events of December 7, 1941. We have long known that Japanese diplomatic cables - which pointed toward hostilities - were intercepted and decoded. What I have discovered, however, is that we knew much more. Not only did we undertake provocative steps, we intercepted and decoded military cables. We knew the attack was coming.

It is also useful to bear in mind the following lines, contained in a May 1963 report to the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the now infamous Northwoods documents:⁵⁴

⁵⁴These may be seen at numerous websites e.g. Northwoods Documents:

http://www.retakingamerica.com/northwoods_page_1.html

The Northwoods Operation, proposed by the Chairman of the U.S. Joint Chiefs of Staff, was not approved by President John F. Kennedy, but it proposed several false flag operations to create a pretext of invading Cuba, e.g. "We could blow up a U.S. ship

The engineering of a series of provocations to justify military action is feasible and could be accomplished with the resources available.

As Professor David Ray Griffin writes in his tenth book on 9/11:⁵⁵

It appears, therefore, that 9/11 was the most elaborate example yet of a false flag attack, which occurs when a country, wanting to attack another country, orchestrates attacks on its own people while planting evidence to implicate the other country. Hitler did this when he was ready to attack Poland, which started the European part of World War II; Japan did it when it wanted to attack Manchuria, which started the Asian part of that war. In 1962, the Pentagon's Joint Chiefs of Staff proposed false flag attacks killing American citizens to provide a pretext for invading Cuba. This proposal was not put into effect because it was vetoed by President Kennedy. But in 2001, the White House wanted to attack Afghanistan, Iraq and several other predominantly Muslim countries - including Libya, which is the latest country to be attacked by America.

in Guantanamo and blame Cuba." Further "Casualty lists in U.S. newspapers would cause a helpful wave of indignation."

⁵⁵David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Ten Years later When State Crimes Against Democracy Succeed*; Haus Publishing Ltd, 2011, p 30.

And, so it appears, evidence was planted to implicate Muslims.

There is little doubt in the minds of researchers that 9/11 was a repeat Pearl Harbor. It is very clear to anyone who has cared to read the literature on the subject thoroughly, and very few have the time and motivation for doing so, that despite an aggressive, and often shameless, coverup by the U.S. Government, it is prima facie evident that 9/11 was carried out by U.S. agencies in the interest of the wealthiest families on the planet, as concluded by many prominent and highly respectable researchers. It was a deception that was designed to enrage the peace loving American public. This anger was then intensified and exploited through the corporate media in order to launch a series of wars designed to acquire complete control of global energy resources.

One may quote from Dr. William Sargant's book *Battle for the Mind - A Physiology of Conversion and Brain-Washing*.⁵⁶ One may do well to remember that Dr. William Sargant worked on the famous CIA mind control program MKULTRA and was associated with the Tavistock Institute:

Various beliefs can be implanted in many people after brain function has been sufficiently disturbed accidentally or deliberately induced fear, anger, or excitement. Of the results caused by such disturbances, *the most*

⁵⁶Quoted by Jim Keith: *Mass Control: Engineering Human Consciousness*; Adventures Unlimited Press, 1999, 2003, p 31.

*common one is temporarily impaired judgment and heightened suggestibility.*⁵⁷ Its various group manifestations are sometimes classed under the heading ‘herd instinct’, and appear most spectacularly in wartime, during severe epidemics, and in all similar periods of common danger, *which increase anxiety and so individual and mass suggestibility.*⁵⁸

It is here that the role of the media becomes very significant. The people were in a state of shock and awe and were indeed susceptible to suggestions. An editorial in the *Time* magazine, a magazine owned by the Time Warner Inc, one of the six giant media corporations that now own 95% of U.S. media (the fascist-Zionist Jeff Bewkes is the Chairman Board of Governors and CEO of Time Warner Inc.), pronounced soon after 9/11:

For once let us have no fatuous rhetoric about ‘healing’ . . . A day cannot live in infamy without the nourishment of rage. Let’s have rage. What’s needed is a unified, unifying Pearl Harbor sort of purple American fury.

Writings like these and similar comments by TV commentators and prominent personalities, built and made prominent by the Elite, set the direction which the public anger would take. Since, within no time, the corporate media was pointing fingers at “terrorists” and those

⁵⁷Emphasis added.

⁵⁸Emphasis added.

harboring them, and since within hours, Bush and Cheney had committed America to war against al Qaeda and those harboring them, it is not far fetched to infer that the media campaign was predesigned and pre-planned, and intended to drive public opinion towards war. The time line of decision to declare war is described by Professor Chossudovsky in the following words:⁵⁹

At eleven o'clock, on the morning of September 11, the Bush administration had already announced that Al Qaeda was responsible for the attacks on the World Trade Center (WTC) and the Pentagon. This assertion was made prior to the conduct of an in-depth police investigation.

CIA Director George Tenet stated that same morning that Osama bin Laden had the capacity to plan "multiple attacks with little or no warning."

Secretary of State Colin Powell called the attacks "an act of war" and President Bush confirmed in an evening televised address to the Nation that he would "make no distinction between the terrorists who committed these acts and those (foreign governments)

⁵⁹Michel Chossudovsky *September 11, 2001: The Crimes of War Committed "in the Name of 9/11" - Initiating a Legal Procedure against the Perpetrators of 9/11*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/september-11-2001-the-crimes-of-war-committed-in-the-name-of-911/5311561>; November 15, 2012.

who harbor them”.

Former CIA Director James Woolsey, without mentioning Afghanistan, pointed his finger at “state sponsorship,” implying the complicity of one or more foreign governments. In the words of former National Security Adviser, Lawrence Eagleburger, “I think we will show when we get attacked like this, we are terrible in our strength and in our retribution.”

That same evening at 9:30 pm, a “War Cabinet” was formed integrated by a select number of top intelligence and military advisors. And at 11:00 pm, at the end of that historic meeting at the White House, the “War on Terrorism” was officially launched.

The war cabinet had decided to launch an illegal and criminal war on Afghanistan ...

Like 9/11, Pearl Harbor was another grand deception of the U.S. establishment and the High Cabal. The Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor generated shock and rage among the Americans. 9/11 too had the same effect. Pearl Harbor changed the climate of public opinion in favor of war overnight and allowed the U.S. administration to enter World War II. Similarly 9/11 has allowed the High Cabal to push U.S. towards a sequence of wars which will lead up to the final war, the Third World War. The parallelism between Pearl Harbor and 9/11 was pointed out very early by 9/11 writers and ana-

lysts. The U.S. media, the property and tool of the High Cabal, was completely allied with the plan of building public anger to assist in the eruption of U.S. militarism in accordance with the Elite dictates.

It will help to recall that cryptographer, Lieutenant Commander Joseph J. Rochefort, who commanded the intelligence station HYPO at Pearl Harbor, withheld a written alert regarding the impending Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, not only from Admiral Kimmel, who was responsible for defending the U.S. Pacific Fleet, but also from *every* congressional inquiry on Pearl Harbor. By withholding this, and all other information, regarding an impending Japanese attack from Admiral Kimmel, Rochefort allowed the Japanese to catch the U.S. forces in Pearl Harbor by surprise. Thus the U.S. lost about 3000 lives during the attack. This angered the U.S. public. Why did Rochefort do this? Stinnett writes:

In his Oral History he told a U.S. Navy interviewer that the carnage at Pearl Harbor on December 7 was a cheap price to pay for the unification of America.

Rochefort was apparently aware of the White House policy in this regard - White House wanted to provoke Japan and wanted it to attack in order to sway public opinion in favor of entering World War II. The editorial of the *Time* magazine on 9/11 expresses an intent similar to that expressed by Rochefort.

1.5 Why 9/11?

One may quote Professor Chossudovsky, who, from the very beginning, understood 9/11 in all its ramifications and dimensions, and who, from his office, has waged an endless struggle against the wars that the Elite has unleashed on this globe in the wake of 9/11. In an article that appeared on his website on November 15, 2012 he writes:⁶⁰

The tragic events of September 11, 2001 constitute a fundamental landmark in American history, a decisive watershed, a breaking point. Millions of people have been misled regarding the causes and consequences of 9/11. September 11, 2001 opens up an era of crisis, upheaval and militarization of American society. The post September 11, 2001 era is marked by the outright criminalization of the U.S. State, including its judicial, foreign policy, national security and intelligence apparatus. 9/11 marks the onslaught of the “Global War on Terrorism” (GWOT), used as a pretext and a justification by the U.S. and its NATO allies to carry out a “war without borders”, a global war of conquest.

⁶⁰Michel Chossudovsky: *September 11, 2001: The Crimes of War Committed “in the Name of 9/11” - Initiating a Legal Procedure against the Perpetrators of 9/11*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/september-11-2001-the-crimes-of-war-committed-in-the-name-of-911/5311561>

A far-reaching overhaul of U.S. military doctrine was launched in the wake of 9/11. 9/11 was also a stepping stone towards the relentless repeal of civil liberties, the militarization of law enforcement and the inauguration of “Police State USA”.

In order to implement its agenda, the One World or High Cabal, that controls the U.S. and British governments completely, has, from day one, conducted a campaign of intimidating and silencing anyone who questions the official version, or stands in the way of implementation of its heinous program. As we will see, worldwide war for setting up a global dictatorship, and the transformation of U.S. into a police state for the purpose, were the twin aims of 9/11. No opposition was, and would be, allowed to stand in the way of achievement of these objectives. As Ruppert wrote in *The F Word*:⁶¹

On November 9th, Attorney General Ashcroft announced that he was ordering the Justice Department to begin wiretapping and monitoring attorney-client communications in terrorist cases where the suspect was incarcerated. This was not even discussed in HR 3162. That same day Senator Patrick Leahy (D), Vermont wrote to Ashcroft. He had many questions to ask about what the

⁶¹Ruppert, Michael C: *The F Word*; November 20, 2001, www.copvicia.com

Justice Department had been doing by violating the trust of Congress and assuming powers which were not authorized by either law or the Constitution. . . .

Leahy asked Ashcroft by what authority had he decided on his own and without judicial review to nullify the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution. He asked for an explanation and some description of the procedural safeguards that Ashcroft would put in place. He asked Ashcroft to appear before the Judiciary committee and to respond in writing by November 13.

His answer came a little late.

On November 16, Patrick Leahy received an anthrax letter. And, as of this press time, Ashcroft has not responded in writing.

In 2007, Dan Rather, the famous CBS anchor, lost his job for airing a program against President Bush. He had served CBS for 44 years! In 2004, Rather had the following to say of the failure of the press to ask questions that challenged the utterly unsatisfactory official explanation of 9/11:⁶²

There was a time in South Africa that people would put flaming tires around people's necks if they dissented. And in some ways

⁶²Cited in David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor; Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11*; Updated second edition Arris Books, 2004; p XIV.

the fear is that you will be necklaced here, you will have a flaming tire of lack of patriotism put around your neck. Now it is that fear that keeps journalists from asking the toughest of tough questions.

This atmosphere of intimidation was maintained by design by the powers that be. It is the lack of public awareness about 9/11 which has enabled the U.S. authorities to escape public wrath, and allowed them to engage in wars of aggression based on lies. Professor David Ray Griffin has stated that the reason of lack public awareness about 9/11 is a consequence of the policy adopted by the mainstream media. As he put it:⁶³

The public's lack of information about 9/11 was due in large part to the fact that the *Times* and the mainline press had not authorized investigative reports, through which the public's lack of knowledge might have been overcome.

This begs the question as to why did they not do so? After all it was an unprecedented attack on America. Was it because those who own the six giant media corporations, and many of those employed by them, simply wanted to build war hysteria by preventing the public from getting to the bottom of 9/11?

David Ray Griffin has further stated:⁶⁴

⁶³ *Ibid*, pp XII-XIII.

⁶⁴ *Ibid*, p XIII.

Criticisms of the official account are, to be sure, inflammatory, for to reject the official account is to imply that U.S. leaders, including the President, have constructed a massive lie. And if they did construct a false account, they would have done so, most people would assume, in order to cover up their complicity. And that is indeed the conclusion of most critics of the official account. That would certainly be an inflammatory charge. But how can we claim to have a free press - a Fourth Estate - if it fails to investigate serious charges made against a sitting President on the grounds that they are too inflammatory? The charges against President Nixon in the Watergate scandal were inflammatory. The charges against President Reagan in the Iran-Contra affair were inflammatory. The various charges brought against President Clinton were inflammatory. In all these cases, however, the press reported the issues . . .

The most probable reason the media challenged the official account in all the above mentioned cases is likely to be the same: it suited the interests of the High Cabal to dislodge Nixon who had become untrustworthy for Israel,⁶⁵ to paralyze Reagan so that their agent George

⁶⁵A former Minister of the Punjab, Mowahid Hussain Shah, attorney-at-law, writer, well known political analyst, and brother

Herbert Walker Bush could become the defacto President and, in case of Clinton, to bring the neocon cabal to power. The individual who genuinely broke stories on Iran-Contra was the young journalist Gary Webb. Webb paid with his life for exposing the CIA. This outstanding investigative journalist, who had won countless awards, was thrown out of every job and had to commit suicide at the age of 42. This is a poignant illustration of how the Elite eliminates those who expose it.

The 9/11 attacks have made it possible to spread American forces worldwide, and have enabled the passage of dictatorial laws in order to shackle, not only the American public, but also the public of Britain and other Western countries. The United States armed forces, in service of their corporate masters, attacked Afghanistan, Iraq, and Libya. Currently they are fighting proxy wars in Syria, in Iran and in Pakistan. "Terrorism" has become the pretext for destroying hard-earned civil liberties, of expanding domestic and foreign espionage beyond belief, and of justifying the eruption of American militarism after 9/11. All these developments are tied

of former Pakistani Federal Minister Syed Mushahid Hussain, was at one time, a partner in the law firm of Senator James George Abourezk. Mowahid Hussain told this author that he had been told by his friend James Akins, former U.S. ambassador to Saudi Arabia, that during his visit to Saudi Arabia in June 1974, President Nixon had assured King Faisal in a meeting that the Arabs and the Israelis will be treated on an equal footing. During the said meeting, only Akins and Nixon were present with the King. This was unacceptable for the Israelis. On August 9, 1974 Nixon resigned, the only U.S. President in history to do so.

to only one objective - One World Government and the New World Order - an unprecedented global dictatorship. The first step on this route is to capture all energy resources outside China and Russia, encircle them, and then engage in a war with both of them in an attempt to make an Elite controlled “America” pre-eminent permanently.

This purpose, and the preparation for its achievement, had already been identified unambiguously in a report commissioned several years earlier (1997) by Dick Cheney. The report⁶⁶ titled *Rebuilding America's Defenses: Strategy, Forces and Resources For A New Century*, was written for the infamous Project for New American Century, abbreviated as PNAC. It was released shortly before the presidential election in which George Bush was fraudulently “elected” the President of the United States. The signatories of the Statement of Principles for PNAC included Paul Wolfowitz, Donald Rumsfeld, Jeb Bush, Dick Cheney, and other neocons, many of whom came to occupy important positions in the Bush administration soon afterwards.

The report states at the outset:

As the 20th century draws to a close, the United States stands as the world's most pre-eminent power. Having led the West to victory in the Cold War, America faces an op-

⁶⁶<http://www.newamericancentury.org/RebuildingAmericasDefenses.pdf>

Also see Wikipedia article on Project for New American Century for a list of signatories on the Statement of Principles.

portunity and a challenge: Does the United States have the vision to build upon the achievement of past decades? . . .

This report proceeds from the belief that America should seek to preserve and extend its position of global leadership by maintaining the preeminence of U.S. military forces. Today, the United States has an unprecedented strategic opportunity. It faces no immediate great-power challenge; it is blessed with wealthy, powerful and democratic allies in every part of the world; it is in the midst of the longest economic expansion in its history; and its political and economic principles are almost universally embraced. At no time in history has the international security order been as conducive to American interests and ideals.

It identified the following four missions:

- defend the American homeland;
- fight and decisively win multiple, simultaneous major theater wars;
- perform the “constabulary” duties associated with shaping the security environment in critical regions;
- transform U.S. forces to exploit the “revolution in military affairs;”

The British MP and Minister of Environment Michael Meacher wrote in *The Guardian* as early as September

2003 that the war on Terrorism was “bogus”:⁶⁷

We now know that a blueprint for the creation of a global Pax Americana was drawn up for Dick Cheney (now vice-president), Donald Rumsfeld (defence secretary), Paul Wolfowitz (Rumsfeld’s deputy), Jeb Bush (George Bush’s younger brother) and Lewis Libby (Cheney’s chief of staff). The document, entitled *Rebuilding America’s Defences*, was written in September 2000 by the neoconservative think tank, Project for the New American Century (PNAC).

The plan shows Bush’s cabinet intended to take military control of the Gulf region whether or not Saddam Hussein was in power. It says “while the unresolved conflict with Iraq provides the immediate justification, the need for a substantial American force presence in the Gulf transcends the issue of the regime of Saddam Hussein.”

This report, which represented the interests of corporate giants, all owned directly and indirectly by the international bankers and their agents, was a preparation for a preplanned war. The dream of “American Peace” was being sold in this report but in reality America was to be impoverished and transformed into a dictatorship to

⁶⁷Michael Meacher: *This War on Terrorism is Bogus*; The Guardian September 06, 2003;
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2003/sep/06/september11.iraq>

serve the interests of the One World Cabal. Time has established this beyond doubt. Is the New World Order the American ideal? Or is it a goal of the international bankers to be achieved by using the resources, energies and qualities of the American people? As the prescient George Orwell had written:⁶⁸

The war is not meant to be won, it is meant to be continuous. Hierarchical society is only possible on the basis of poverty and ignorance. The new version is the past and no different past can ever have existed. In principle the war effort is always planned to keep society on the brink of starvation. The war is waged by the ruling group against its own subjects and its object is not victory over either Eurasia or East Asia, but to keep the very structure of society intact.

Except that the Elite now thinks that it might be able to rule the entire world after burning Eurasia and East Asia and keeping humanity hungry, poor and homeless. America's descent into poverty, debt bondage, authoritarianism and homelessness is predesigned. And the American people are helpless. Their country has been hijacked by international bankers and their stooges, and every institution, be it financial, military, judicial or legislative, has been captured and corrupted. The United States of America is now held hostage by a cabal of

⁶⁸This quote is from his novel *1984*

crooks and thieves with a couple of centuries of experience in plundering and destroying nations. This cabal is using the people of the U.S. in destroying and plundering other nations for its own purposes, while, at the same time, destroying the U.S. As Peter Dale Scott writes:⁶⁹

America is in a crisis today because of the activities of Banks Too Big to Fail, which, as has been pointed out, were also Banks Too Big to Jail - for to punish them as criminals would endanger America's already threatened financial structure. This essay, though detailed, is dealing with something analogous, what may have been a Crime Too Big to Punish.

This crime is the 9/11 crime.

1.6 9/11 Wars and Lies

These 9/11 wars are based on absolute lies. Iraq was attacked for weapons of mass destruction which were never found and nobody seems to pay heed to the demand that Bush and Blair be prosecuted for war crimes. However Bush and Blair have become virtual captives,

⁶⁹Peter Dale Scott: *Launching the U.S. Terror war: the CIA, 9/11, Afghanistan, and Central Asia*; The Asia Pacific Journal - Japan Focus March 20, 2012. The article can be located at numerous places e.g.
<http://www.infowars.com/launching-the-u-s-terror-war-the-cia-911-afghanistan-and-central-asia/>

confined to their homes or sheltered quarters, because they are jeered at and booed wherever they go, whether in the East or the West. By using 9/11 the U.S. was able to enter Central Asia militarily, a region where it could not have dreamed of sending troops and of establishing bases. It is all about empire, wealth and power. By destroying Iraq this cabal of wealthy families was able to capture the entire oil wealth of Iraq, at the same time destroying a rich Muslim country with a power potential. This also ensured the “security” of the outpost of the international bankers in the oil rich Middle East, the Rothschild state of Israel. To quote Michael Ruppert from his 2006 article *By the Light of a Burning Bridge*:⁷⁰

After the 9/11 attacks the U.S. government
lied to create a war for oil in Iraq telling us
that Saddam Hussain had weapons of mass
destruction, almost-ready atom bombs, poi-

⁷⁰Michael C. Ruppert: *By the Light of a Burning Bridge: A Permanent Goodbye to the United States*; August 16, 2006
http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ww3/081606_burning_bridge.shtml.

On October 6, 2012, I was driven from Lancaster train station in England to my destination at Lancaster University by a taxi driver who had served the British Army for 24 years and had seen combat in Iraq. He told me that Iraq was a “bloodbath” and then added that after having gone into Iraq it appeared to him that “all we were doing there was nothing except looking for Saddam’s gold and pumping out huge quantities of oil from their oil wells.” Gold is a hallmark of the Rothschilds. Gold also went missing from the twin towers after their collapse.

son gas and deadly germs. We were told that he helped execute the 9/11 attacks. It was all lies, and no one was held accountable for hundreds of thousands of deaths (murders) in Iraq and Afghanistan since then. Few have tried to hold the government accountable for 2500 Americans who have died needlessly, and those who have, have been remarkably ineffective.

So many writers have pointed out the lies about 9/11 and the consequent wars that any person, who, in everyday life, is found to be lying so brazenly, would be shunned and condemned by people. An individual holding public office and lying so openly about public and international affairs would be sent to jail ordinarily. But the corporate media and the mind set of a majority of Americans, created through school education and control of the media as well as the academia by the Elite, has allowed the U.S. leaders to go scotfree thus far. Jim Fetzer, founder of the Scholars for 9/11 Truth⁷¹ movement stated in 2008:⁷²

According to the Center for Public Integrity, prominent members of the administration made nearly 1000 lies to induce Americans to support a war in Iraq. These were made by

⁷¹911scholars.org.

⁷²Jim Fetzer: *9/11 and the Neo-Con Agenda*; posted on home page of 911scholars.org; also posted at the following web site: twilightpines.com/911andtheNeoConAgenda.pdf.

George Bush, Richard Cheney, Condoleezza Rice, Donald Rumsfeld, Paul Wolfowitz and others. Why were they lying to us?

In order to get the U.S. into war and simultaneously impoverish it, in addition to destroying its moral standing in the world, these agents of the corporate Elite (which always gets the ones it wants, in power), lied blatantly to the noble-hearted and unsuspecting American public, about Saddam, bin Laden and al Qaeda. These lies were transmitted through the media. To quote Fetzner:

We were told big lies about the war, including, first, that Saddam was responsible for 9/11. But during a press conference, Bush himself had to admit that Saddam had “nothing” to do with 9/11.

We were told, second, that Saddam was in cahoots with al Qaeda. But several investigations have revealed that not only was Saddam not in cahoots with al Qaeda, but he was actively tracking down its leaders to incarcerate or even kill them.

Moreover, honest generals have told us al Qaeda is not responsible for more than 10% of the opposition to U.S. presence in Iraq and that our presence there is the greatest recruiting tool al Qaeda possesses.

In fact, an admiral - who may face imminent demotion - was quoted in *The New York Times* (11 April 2008) observing that no more

than 40-50 foreign fighters were entering the country each month. How great a threat can that represent?

Political hacks tell us differently. Compare what General William Odom, who is retired, has said, with the words of General David Petraeus, who is not.

Third, we were told that Osama bin Laden was responsible for 9/11. But our FBI — our own FBI! — has acknowledged that it has “no hard evidence” connecting Osama bin Laden to the events of 9/11.

1.7 Elite Agenda and Strategy

When Clinton left office, the U.S. government had several hundred billion dollars of surplus in its kitty despite the vast plunder of U.S. initiated by criminal syndicates in the nineteen eighties.⁷³ As a result of the policies

⁷³Former Assistant Secretary for Housing and Urban Development Catherine Austin Fitts wrote in her Foreword to Michael Ruppert’s *Crossing the Rubicon*:

Indeed, during the 1980s the savings and loans industry was stripped of an estimated \$500 billion by syndicates of military, intelligence and private financial interests. The profits were used to buy up banking, industrial and media companies and to finance political campaigns. From a position of political, judicial and economic power in the 1990s the same syndicates then stripped an estimated \$6 trillion of investors’ value in pump and dump stock market and mort-

pursued under the direction of the High Cabal by Bush and his successor, the U.S. government is in debt to the tune of just under 16 trillion dollars! With a GDP of 14 trillion dollars this debt can never be repaid because interest will keep piling on it. Of this about 5 trillion is foreign debt - debt owed to countries like China and Japan. These wars have impoverished the people of U.S. and yet the juggernaut continues, and the people, despite widespread discontent and anger, have not been able to organize a sustained movement towards reversing these trends. This is mainly because of the use of systematic brainwashing techniques and a deliberate destruction of a significant component of the middle class.

It is very clear that the powers behind 9/11 have employed experts with a deep understanding of the human mind, of human psychology, of sociology and of science and technology⁷⁴ to carry forward their heinous mission.

gage market schemes and an estimated \$4 trillion of taxpayer money from U.S. federal government.

⁷⁴How this cabal controls education and how it determines the mind set of the people has been partially described earlier. Some comments will follow later (see section titled *Molding of the American Mind*). With regard to science and technology one may point out that at least 64 drone bases have been uncovered in the U.S. These drones will be used to control and wage war against the people of U.S. whenever they, or a section among them, decide to revolt against the increasing economic hardship and increasing dictatorship of the wealthy elite. See Lorenzo Franceschi-Bicchieri: *Revealed 64 Drone Bases on U.S. soil*; June 13, 2012.
<http://www.wired.com/dangerroom/2012/06/64-drone-bases-on->

By funding research, and by directing social research in a certain direction, these powers utilize the expertise of these men and women to control mankind. As Professor Chossudovsky emphasizes:⁷⁵

Realities are turned upside down. The U.S. and its allies are the victims of foreign aggression. America's crimes of war in Afghanistan and Iraq are committed in the name of 9/11 under a counter terrorism mandate.

In order to understand 9/11 it is crucial to comprehend that the real rulers of the world are not the ones who sit in the White House or in 10 Downing Street. The real controllers of this world are a set of the wealthiest families of the planet who stay behind the curtain and make their moves on the global chess board silently and ruthlessly. According to one historian⁷⁶ these families

us-soil/

One may also find information on the internet on how drone sizes are being reduced; see Rafe Needleman: *Flying Drones Getting Smaller, Smarter, Cheaper, and Scarier*: http://www.cnet.com/8301-30976_1-57472321-10348864/flying-drones-getting-smaller-smarter-cheaper-and-scarier/

One may also see the more recent *DHS drones equipped to eavesdrop on Americans*; March 4, 2013; <http://rt.com/usa/dhs-drones-eavesdrop-americans-811/>

⁷⁵Michel Chossudovsky: *September 11, 2001: The Crimes of War Committed "in the Name of 9/11" - Initiating a Legal Procedure against the Perpetrators of 9/11* Nov 15, 2012; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/september-11-2001-the-crimes-of-war-committed-in-the-name-of-911/5311561>

⁷⁶Alan Brugar cited by John Coleman in *Diplomacy by Deception, An Account of the Treasonous Conduct by the Governments*

earned a profit of 10,000 dollars on every soldier who fell in the battlefield during World War I! These families have learned the value of anonymity, and of instigated and perpetual (but managed) conflict among nations, as a means of enhancing their sinister control over the globe.

Just look at the following quotes from two different Presidents of USA. One led the U.S. into WW I and the other into WW II. These quotes can be found on the internet very easily. In 1913 President Wilson wrote:

Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the United States, in the field of commerce and manufacture, are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked so complete, so pervasive, that they better not speak above

of Britain and the United States, 1998. In his book *A Century of War* William Engdahl writes: "By 1920, Morgan partner Thomas W. Lamont noted with obvious satisfaction that, as a result of four years of war and global devastation, 'the national debts of the world have increased by \$210,000,000,000 or about 475% in the last six years, and as a natural consequence, the variety of government bonds and the number of investors in them have been greatly multiplied...These results have made themselves manifest in all the investment markets of the world but nowhere, perhaps, in greater measure than in the United States.'" It may be noted that in 1913 the U.S. government budget was a mere \$714,000,000 (714 million dollars) while the Rockefeller empire was worth 950 million dollars in 1913.

their breath when they speak in condemnation of it.

In 1933 Franklin Delano Roosevelt wrote:

The real truth of the matter is that a financial element in the large centers has owned the government since the last days of Andrew Jackson.

Andrew Jackson was the 7th President of U.S. (from 1829-1837) and fought a bitter battle against the bankers. He refused to extend the charter of a private central bank, the Second Bank of the United States, when it expired in 1836, and paid off the entire national debt of the U.S., the only time in history, such a feat was achieved. In 1957 the *American Mercury* Magazine wrote:

The invisible Money Power is working to control and enslave mankind. It financed Communism, Fascism, Marxism, Zionism, Socialism. All of these are directed to making the United States a member of a World Government.

These facts would not have been accessible to people like us without the great invention of the internet. The history text books that are taught in the U.S., U.K. and Europe, and by default the rest of the world, promote a certain point of view, a certain myth, which suits these families. This is by design. Facts are either concealed or distorted or presented in a certain manner rendering

text book history full of distortions and mythology. Real history is concealed from the vast majority of mankind. Distorted and false history is a means of controlling mankind and of promoting perpetual conflict and warfare on the face of this globe. It was George Orwell who put it so well in his prescient novel *1984*, a novel that depicts the future shape of industrial societies, where thought control would be exercised and domestic spying enhanced beyond belief:

*Who controls the past controls the future.
Who controls the present controls the past.*

In order to control the writing and teaching of history in schools and colleges the tax exempt foundations established by the Elite created their own “stable of historians.” This intrigue has been revealed by Edward Griffin who interviewed Norman Dodd shortly before the latter’s death.⁷⁷ Norman Dodd was the staff director of the 1954 Congressional Special Committee to Investigate Tax-exempt Foundations. This is also known as the Reece Committee, after its chairman, Congressman Carol Reece. In the course of investigation the Committee stumbled upon the proceedings of the meetings of the trustees of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace and found out that the trustees asked the Guggenheim Foundation to fund about twenty young history students for Ph.D. studies in American history

⁷⁷Ed Griffin: The Hidden Agenda transcript. Read the transcript of the interview at <http://www.realityzone.com/hiddenagenda2.html>

in England. This was agreed to and specially selected students were sent abroad where they were molded and indoctrinated by suitable Professors. Upon return they became the nucleus of the American Historical Association which was granted \$ 400,000 in the 1920s to write history in a manner as to steer the country towards a collectivist system! Apparently it had been agreed that the Rockefeller Foundation would control education in general and the Carnegie Endowment would focus on international education. The American Historical Association was founded by Andrew D. White, a member of the Yale University secret society Skull and Bones, also known as the Brotherhood of Death. George W. Bush and his illustrious father as well as John Kerry were members of this mysterious organization. Recall that John Kerry and George Bush contested the U.S. Presidential elections from the platforms of the Democratic and Republican parties respectively!

Antony C. Sutton writes in his book *America's Secret Establishment*:⁷⁸

During the past one hundred years any theory of history or historical evidence that falls outside a pattern established by the American Historical Association and the major foundations with their grant making power has been attacked or rejected - not on the

⁷⁸Antony C. Sutton: *America's Secret Establishment - An Introduction to the Order of Skull and Bones*; 1983, reprinted 2002, 2004; it can also be downloaded from the web.

basis of any evidence presented, but on the basis of the acceptability of the argument to the so-called Eastern Liberal Establishment and its official historical line.

There is an Establishment history, an official history, which dominates history textbooks, trade publishing, the media and library shelves. The official line always assumes that events such as wars, revolutions, scandals, assassinations, are more or less random unconnected events.

By definition events can NEVER be the result of a conspiracy, they can never result from premeditated planned group action. An excellent example is the Kennedy assassination when, within 9 hours of the Dallas tragedy, TV networks announced the shooting was NOT a conspiracy, regardless of the fact that a negative proposition can never be proven, and that the investigation had barely begun. Woe betide any book or author that falls outside the official guidelines. Foundation support is not there. Publishers get cold feet. Distribution is hit and miss, or non-existent.

One may cite the Former British Secretary of Defense and Chancellor of Exchequer, Dennis Healey:

World events do not occur by accident. They are made to happen, whether it is to do with

national issues or commerce, most of them are staged and managed by those who hold the purse string.

Since at least 1773, or even earlier, these families have been working in a highly organized and secretive fashion towards the goal of a One World Government and a World Order.⁷⁹ The New World Order is a deceptive name for a dictatorship of these families in which there will be no freedom of speech, or even freedom of thought, and where everyone will be micro-chipped and perpetually observed (see Nick Rockefeller's comments to Aaron Russo at the end of this chapter). The real strength of these families lies in the enormous wealth that they have accumulated through usury, in the absolute secrecy and utter ruthlessness of their operations, in successful instigation of wars, in their control of media and the academia, in the ability to assassinate, and in continuity. In his monumental book *Tragedy & Hope*:

⁷⁹Eustace Mullins: *The Federal Reserve Conspiracy: The London Connection*; First published in 1951; the 1991 edition has been published by Bridger House Publishers Inc. A German translation of this book was seized by German government agents, with the understanding of the U.S. ambassador to Germany, in 1955, and all 10,000 copies burnt! This fact is never mentioned in any history or political science textbook! Nor is it ever mentioned by the "free" and "democratic" Western media. Why?

Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

Books by Eustace Mullins can also be seen and downloaded at <http://archive.org/details/EustaceMullins>

A History of the World in Our Time, the historian Carroll Quigley, who had access to secret documents of the Elite, and who initially sympathized with the Elite objective, the spread of the “English” way of life as he initially thought, wrote:⁸⁰

The powers of financial capitalism had another far reaching aim, nothing less than to create a world system of financial capitalism in private hands able to dominate the political system of each country and the economy of the world as a whole. This system was to be controlled in a feudalist fashion by the central banks of the world acting in concert, by secret agreements, arrived at in frequent private meetings and conferences. The apex of the system was the Bank for International Settlements in Basle, Switzerland, a private bank owned and controlled by the worlds’ central banks which are themselves private corporations. The growth of financial capitalism made possible a centralization of world economic control and the use of this power for the direct benefit of financiers and the indirect injury of all other economic groups.

⁸⁰Carroll Quigley: *Tragedy & Hope; A History of the World in Our Times*; 1966, New York MacMillan; Reprinted by Rancho Palos Verdes: GSG & Associates, 1975.

It appears that his book probably revealed more than the powers that engaged him wanted to, and he was afraid for his life. An anonymous post titled *Maverick "Insider" Historians*, at <http://reformed-theology.org/ice/books/conspiracy/html/6.htm>, states:

In the late 1970's, Gary Allen received an unsigned letter. The envelope was postmarked "Washington, D.C." I have seen it and the envelope. The sender said that he had been a friend of Quigley's, and that at the end of his life, Quigley had concluded that the people he had dealt with in the book were not really public benefactors, as he had believed when he wrote it.

Quigley died suddenly at 66 and his death was attributed to "heart failure." He was probably killed.

It was in February 2012 that Pete Papaherakles,⁸¹ writing for American Free Press, pointed out that Credit Suisse had estimated the Rothschild family wealth as \$231 trillion! The U.S. GDP is a mere 14 trillion dollars! The global GDP is around 70 trillion dollars! Despite the fact that Islam and Christianity forbid usury these families have managed to erect a global financial system whose essence lies in usury and fiat money. This system is fraudulent, exploitative and inhuman. An insider, Charles Josiah Stamp, a Director of the Bank of

⁸¹Pete Papaherakles: *Rothschilds want Iran's Banks*; February 10, 2012, American Free Press; <http://americanfreepress.net/?p=2743>

England, made the following revealing statement which may have cost him his life (his residence in a not heavily populated area was apparently destroyed by German bombing and he was killed along with some family members!): ⁸²

The modern banking system manufactures money out of nothing. The process is perhaps the most astounding piece of sleight of hand that was ever invented. Banking was conceived in iniquity and was born in sin. The bankers own the earth. Take it away from them, but leave the power to create money, and with a flick of the pen they will create enough deposits to buy it back again. However take it away from them, and all the great fortunes like mine will disappear and they ought to disappear, for this would be a happier and better world to live in. But, if you wish to remain the slave of bankers and pay the cost of your own slavery, let them continue to create money.

⁸²This quote can be found in numerous places. Just google “Josiah Stamp quotes”. The above quote has been taken from <http://globalistagenda.org/quotes.htm>

It is of interest to note that the international bankers were deeply embedded in the the intelligence set up of Britain, Germany and the U.S. During WW I the German Secret Service was headed by Max Warburg, international banker and brother of Paul Warburg, who had emigrated to the U.S. in the first decade of the 20th century and was instrumental in paving the way for enslavement of the U.S. public by setting up of the Federal Reserve.

1.7.1 The Elite and the Bolshevik Revolution

Through their enormous wealth, control of media and the academia, and through their control of leading governments, the Elite sets up false paradigms to divide mankind and keep it in a state of perpetual conflict and bloodshed. The Bolshevik Revolution in Russia illustrates this point with great force. Such is the ability of these families to deceive and divide mankind that it takes a person of my generation a long time to accept, or even entertain the fact that the Communists were funded and supported by these families and that Communist or Socialist systems were erected to serve their interest. Karl Marx, Trotsky and Lenin were on the pay roll of these families, these so-called international bankers. Our generation was brought up to believe, through the media and the text books, that Communism and Capitalism were two mutually opposed systems and therefore conflict between them was natural and that there was nothing in common between the two. Mankind was thus divided to serve the interests of the High Cabal.

In his remarkable book *The World Order*⁸³ Eustace Mullins writes:

⁸³Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

Books by Eustace Mullins can also be seen and downloaded at <http://archive.org/details/EustaceMullins>

Jacob Schiff's personal agent, George Kennan, had regularly toured Russia during the latter part of the nineteenth century, bringing in money and arms for the Communist revolutionaries (his grandson said that Schiff had spent \$20 million to bring about the Bolshevik Revolution) more concerted effort was called for to support an entire regime . . . In 1915 the American International Corporation was formed in New York. Its principal goal was the coordination of aid, particularly financial assistance to the Bolsheviks which had previously been provided by Schiff and other bankers on an informal basis . . . In their program of aiding the Communists, AIC worked closely with Guaranty Trust of New York (now Morgan Guaranty Trust). Guaranty Trust's directors in 1903 included George F. Baker founder of the First National Bank; August Belmont, representative of Rothschilds; E.H. Harriman founder of the Union Pacific Railroad; former vice-president of the U.S. Levi Morton who was a director of the U.S. Steel and the Union Pacific; Henry H. Rogers, partner of John D. Rockefeller in Standard Oil, also a director of Union Pacific; H.McK Twombly, who married the daughter of William Vanderbilt, and was now director of fifty banks and industries; Frederick W. Vanderbilt, and

Harry Payne Whitney.

No one would seriously believe that bankers of this magnitude would finance an “anti-capitalist” revolution of the Communists, yet this is exactly what happened. These same men financed Woodrow Wilson’s political campaigns . . .

At another point in his book Mullins writes:

The Leninists quickly exhausted the funds advanced by Germans when they reached Russia, and once again the Bolshevik bid for absolute power seemed in doubt. To whom should Lenin turn but his powerful friend in the White House? Wilson promptly sent Elihu Root, Kuhn Loeb lawyer and former Secretary of State, to Russia with \$20 million from the Special War Fund, to be given to the Bolsheviks. This was revealed in Congressional Hearings on Russian Bonds, HJ 8714.U5, which shows the financial statement of Woodrow Wilson’s expenditure of the \$100 million voted him by Congress as a Special War Fund. The statement showing the expenditure of \$20 million in Russia by Roots’ Special War Mission to Russia, is also recorded in the Congressional Record, Sep 2, 1919, as given by Wilson’s secretary, Joseph Tumulty.

Not to be outdone in generosity, J.P. Mor-

gan & Co also rushed financial assistance to the beleaguered Lenin terrorists. Col. Raymond Robins headed a Red Cross Mission to Russia. Henry P. Davison. J.P. Morgan's right hand man (also a member of the Jekyll Island team which secretly wrote the Federal Reserve Act in 1910), had raised \$370 million in cash for the Red Cross during World War I, of which several millions were brought to the Russians by the Robins team. Aiding him in this charitable work were Frank Vanderlip, chairman of American International Corp., and William Boyce Thompson, another director of the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

This false and manipulated Capitalist-Communist dichotomy, this false and manufactured paradigm, was the basis of the cold war between the Socialist or Communist bloc, led by the then Soviet Russia, and the West, led by the U.S. This deeply and clandestinely contrived confrontation spread over seven decades during which the most horrific weapons were developed. At least on one occasion, during the Cuban crisis, the world came to the brink of nuclear war.

It is instructive to quote Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee during the 1920s and 1930s:⁸⁴

⁸⁴Quoted in *XAT₃ & History of Money Part 3*; www.xat.org/workbank.html.

The course of Russian history has, indeed, been greatly affected by the operations of the international bankers . . . The Soviet Government has been given United States Treasury funds by the Federal Reserve Board . . . acting through the Chase Bank . . .

Documented evidence has been uncovered by scholars establishing clearly that the Bolshevik Revolution was brought about by the international bankers. Using U.S. State Department files, Antony Sutton established the existence of an undeniable “*partnership between international monopoly capitalism and international revolutionary Socialism.*” In his remarkable book *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*, he pointed out:⁸⁵

. . . both the extreme right and the extreme left of the conventional political spectrum are absolutely collectivist. The national socialist (for example, the fascist) and the international socialist (for example, the Communist) both recommend totalitarian politico-economic system based on naked, unfettered political power and individual coercion. Both require monopoly control of society.

Sutton quotes from a 1906 book (*Confessions of a Monopolist* by Frederick C. Howe):⁸⁶

⁸⁵ Antony C. Sutton: *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*; Arlington House 1974, p 16.

⁸⁶ *Ibid*, p 16.

These are the rules of big business. They have superseded the teachings of our parents and are reducible to a simple maxim: Get a monopoly; let Society work for you; and remember that *the best of all business is politics, for a legislative grant, franchise, subsidy or tax exemption is worth more than a Kimberly or Comstock lode, since it does not require labor, either mental or physical, for its exploitation.*⁸⁷

The swine in George Orwell's *Animal Farm* are the monopolists - they occupy the best bedroom, enjoy maximal facilities, but do not work. The masses work and toil for them. Soviet Communism was one-party capitalism and the one party was controlled by one politburo and the politburo was controlled by Wall Street bankers, working under Rothschild directions, who acquired a monopoly of all Soviet business with the outside world. Further they obtained massive contracts for oil in addition to contracts for supplying various goods. They also got away with over one billion U.S. dollars that the Russian emperor had deposited in their banks in New York, London and elsewhere. They also took away most of the gold of Russia.⁸⁸ They then set up concentration

⁸⁷Emphasis added.

⁸⁸See e.g. Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

Also see Antony C. Sutton: *Wall Street and the Bolshevik Revolution*; Arlington House 1974.

camps where, in the next half century, an estimated 60 to 70 million people were to die in most miserable conditions. The *Gulag Archipelago* poignantly describes the brutal, frightening and malevolent atmosphere of these camps.

However through their control of the Western governments and parliaments and the Soviet Russian politburo, these families were able to maintain a cold war for more than seven decades. Once the Soviet Union had served its purpose it was destroyed. After the dark night of communist rule that lasted for over seven decades, the people of Russia entered another period of suffering. The population of Russia fell from 160 million to 130 million in a short period of time. As Mike Ruppert mentioned human cadaver was being sold in Moldavia!

1.8 Impoverishment, Dictatorships & Wars

The Elite, the so-called “international bankers”, impoverish nations, create dictatorships and bring about wars. Through repeated application of this strategy they have quietly enhanced their sinister and bloody control over nations during the course of the past two hundred years. The key to their sinister strategy lies in financial manipulation through private control of banks which, through betrayal and deception, have acquired the power to issue currency. The international bankers were behind Napoleon’s rise and fall, and in the course

of war, brought England and France under greater and deeper debt bondage. Building upon the increased power acquired through the Napoleonic wars, the very same bankers ordered Britain to attack the U.S. in 1812, because the Americans had refused to extend the charter of the Bank of the United States, a private bank owned by these bankers. The Americans were forced to grant a charter to the bankers for the bank as a result of this war. The international bankers were also behind the American Civil War, and Lincoln was well aware of this. They also funded and built Hitler ⁸⁹ who talked of a world order and who espoused National Socialism. As Sutton remarks, without help from Wall Street there would have been no Hitler.

⁸⁹Antony C. Sutton: *Wall Street and the Rise of Hitler*; G S G & Associates Pub (June 1976). In the above book Sutton also refers to Professor Quigley's work cited earlier:

Quigley goes a long way to provide evidence for the existence of the power elite, but does not penetrate the *operations* of the elite. Possibly, the papers used by Quigley had been vetted, and did not include documentation on elitist manipulation of such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, Hitler's accession to power, and the election of Roosevelt in 1933. More likely, these political manipulations may not be recorded at all in the files of the power groups. They may have been unrecorded actions by a small ad hoc segment of the elite. It is noteworthy that the documents used by this author came from government sources, recording the day-to-day actions of Trotsky, Lenin, Roosevelt, Hitler, J.P. Morgan and the various firms and banks involved.

These families managed to precipitate, control and prolong the First World War and then, by consolidating Russia and Hitler, laid the basis for the next World War. They deliberately ripped off Germany during the “peace” talks after WW I and caused insufferable hardship to the Germans. The “peace” delegation from the U.S. merely comprised the bankers and their agents. Bernard Baruch, an important agent of the international bankers, who finally prevailed upon President Woodrow Wilson, to sign the Federal Reserve Act, headed the delegation.⁹⁰ Paul Warbug, author of the Federal Reserve scheme, and one of the owners of the Federal Reserve, was there to “represent” America and his brother Max Warburg, who headed the German Secret Service during WW I, “represented” Germany. Benjamin Freedman, a Jewish American, who was also present at Paris, stated

⁹⁰In his book *The Secrets of the Federal Reserve* (Bridger House Publishers, Inc. 1991), Eustace Mullins writes on pages 28, 29:

Baruch, a principal contributor to Wilson’s campaign fund, was stunned when he was informed that Wilson refused to sign the bill. He hurried to the White House and assured Wilson, that this was a minor matter, which could be fixed later through “administrative processes”. The important thing was to get The Federal Reserve Act signed into law at once. With this assurance, Wilson signed the Federal Reserve Act on December 23, 1913. History proved on that day, the Constitution ceased to be the governing covenant of the American people, and our liberties were to be handed over to a small group of international bankers.

in 1961:⁹¹ “When the war ended, and the Germans went to Paris for the Paris Peace Conference in 1919 there were 117 Jews there, as a delegation representing the Jews, headed by Bernard Baruch. I was there: I ought to know.”

Eustace Mullins describes how the international bankers ripped Germany off during and after the “peace” talks in 1919.⁹² This is important as it shows the scale of their ruthlessness and the extent to which they go to destroy nations. There is a lesson for America in this - they are parasites which destroy their host after living off it. He quotes Lloyd George as reported by the N.Y. Journal *American* of June 24, 1924:

The international bankers dictated the Dawes reparations settlement. The Protocol which was signed between the Allies and Associated Powers and Germany is the triumph of the international financier. Agreement would never have been reached without the brusque and brutal intervention of the international bankers. They swept statesmen, politicians and journalists to one side, and

⁹¹Benjamin H. Freedman: *An American Warns His Countrymen*; posted at rensen.com and other websites. This statement is not to be interpreted as anti-Semitism on the part of the author of this book. It has been quoted to indicate the domination of a particular group, profoundly tied to the international bankers, in the negotiations at Paris.

⁹²Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

issued orders with the imperiousness of absolute monarchs, who knew that there was no appeal from their ruthless decrees ... The orders of German financiers to their political representatives were just as preemptory as those of allied bankers to their political representatives.

Note the use of the words “dictated”, “brutal”, “imperiousness”, “absolute monarchs”, etc. Lloyd George was not an ordinary person - he was the British Prime Minister from 1916-1922. His statement clearly shows that the “international bankers” were the real masters. Or else how could they push aside all politicians and statesmen?

In his 1944 book, while referring to an article in the *New Britain Magazine* of London by Arthur Kitson, that appeared on June 20, 1934, Knuth states:⁹³

The eminent British engineer, scientist and inventor, Arthur Kitson ... cited a devastating assertion by David Lloyd George that “Britain is the slave of an international financial bloc;” quoted words written by Lord Bryce that: “Democracy has no more persistent or insidious foe than the money powers ...;” pointed out Mr. Winston Churchill

⁹³E.C.Knuth: *The Empire of “The City” - The Secret History of British Financial Power*; The Book Tree; second edition 1945, p 93.

as one of the supporters of International Finance and stated: "Questions regarding the Bank of England, its conduct and its objects, are not allowed by the Speaker." (of the House of Commons)

Mr Kitson stated further: "Democracy in this country has become a farce! The real governing power is not at Westminster nor at Downing Street, but rests partly in Threadneedle Street and partly in Wall Street, New York! There sits everyday in the Bank of England premises, during banking hours, a representative of the Federal Reserve Board of New York for the purpose of advising and even instructing the Governor of the Bank regarding his policies.

What was then true of Britain was also true of the U.S., and is truer today than ever before. The international bankers have increasingly strangulated, entrapped, enslaved, and chained the U.K. and the U.S. These are the two nations, whose talent and energy has been harnessed by the utterly ruthless international bankers for setting up the One World Empire for them, the NWO, a dictatorship of unprecedented intensity and malevolence on a global scale. Increasingly under a manipulated and fraudulent debt bondage, spellbound and dazed, the two nations do their bidding, wreaking war and havoc on the planet. The people of these countries are mere cannon fodder for the international bankers, who are seeking to enslave mankind in its entirety for all times to come. All

major wars on this planet over the past two centuries are the result of their Machiavellian manipulations. Their ruthlessness and utter disregard for human life is chilling as well as infuriating.

To quote Knuth:⁹⁴

It is said that a few dozen men in the world know the nature of money; and therefore these few men are allowed to practice the manipulation of money and of that mysterious commodity known as credit as a mystic rite, despite the fact that their machinations cause recurrent giant depressions in which many of the life savings of people are lost, and cause recurrent gigantic bloodshed in which people must sacrifice their lives to protect the manipulators from the fury of those nations and peoples who have been their victims; and despite the fact that eminent students of high business, financial and social position, such as Vincent C. Vickers and Arthur Kitson have condemned the money system as a fraud; have condemned the men who manipulate it as super-criminals and traitors to their own lands and peoples, and have condemned the recurring economic depressions and wars as the deliberate products of money power.

⁹⁴E.C. Knuth: *The Empire of "The City" - The Secret History of British Financial Power*; The Book Tree; second edition 1945, p 95.

Jim Marrs states in the introduction to his 2010 book:⁹⁵

As readers of *Rule by Secrecy* and *The Rise of the Fourth Reich* will understand, the global financiers - the global plutocrats of Wall Street, London, and Switzerland - have manipulated Western History for at least the past century, first by creating the Federal Reserve in America by deceitful political machinations, then communism in Russia by funding the Bolsheviks rather than the White Russians, and followed by financing National Socialism (Nazis) in Germany. Now the global financiers have taken control of the United States and are changing it in such ways that we now live in a society unimaginable to citizens of two decades ago.

Mullins has pointed that it was in 1919 that it was decided to set up the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) "to advise the British Government." It was also decided to set up the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) and the Institute of Pacific Relations. Mullins points out in *The World Order*:⁹⁶

⁹⁵Jim Marrs: *The Trillion Dollar Conspiracy: How the New World Order, Man-Made Diseases and Zombie Banks are Destroying America*; HarperCollins Publishers, 2010, p 3.

⁹⁶Eustace Mullins: *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

After having dominated the Paris Peace Conference, Baron Edmond de Rothschild saw the establishment of the World Order through these groups as the crowning achievement of his life. The “founders” of RIIA were, one and all Rothschild men. . . .

During its early years, RIIA was principally funded by the Rothschilds through donations funnelled through Sir Abe Bailey and Sir Alfred Beit, with about 51,00,000 a year; since then it has been funded with many millions of dollars by the Rockefeller Foundation and the Carnegie Corporation.

The Second World War was preceded by the Great Depression in the United States of America that began in 1929 and ended in 1941. This Great Depression was manipulated by the international bankers through the unconstitutional Federal Reserve. However this is not what is taught in textbooks. In his book *The Trillion Dollar Conspiracy*⁹⁷ the fascinating writer Jim Marrs has quoted Krugman as having written that on Milton Friedman’s 90th birthday, Ben Bernanke, current

⁹⁷Jim Marrs: *The Trillion Dollar Conspiracy*; Harper Collins 2010, p 18.

It is of interest to note that in his famous film *JFK*, Oliver Stone used Jim Marrs as his source. The strong public reaction inspired by the film forced the U.S. Government to declassify about two million pages of documents on the Kennedy assassination. Still many documents pertaining to the Kennedy assassination were not, and have not been, declassified to date on national security grounds!

Chairman of the Federal Reserve, stated that the Federal Reserve was responsible for the Great Depression. The U.S. President Herbert Hoover, who was, at one time, involved with the Rothschild deception, the Belgian Relief Commission (through which food supplies were provided to the German army during World War I so that the war could be prolonged), attributed the Great Depression to a decision of the Federal Reserve Board taken in the year 1925. In his memoirs Herbert Hoover writes about the decision of the Board:⁹⁸

It had been led to this action by Governor Benjamin Strong of the New York Federal Reserve Bank upon the urging of Montagu Norman, head of the Bank of England, Hjalmar Schacht of the Reichsbank, and Charles Rist of the Bank of France, who came to New York and Washington to press this expansion. It was direct inflation.

It is fascinating to note that all the men mentioned in this quote were Rothschild allies or agents. It is also staggering to observe that Hjalmar Schacht, a close ally of the international bankers, remained Hitler's banker throughout, right to the end of World War II. Please note that Hitler was nowhere in sight in 1925. The Great Depression was therefore, undoubtedly, caused by

⁹⁸Herbert Hoover: *Memoirs of Herbert Hoover - The Great Depression, 1929-1941*; p 7. Interestingly the reprint that I have, which was bought from Amazon.com, does not mention any publisher, save the year 1955 printed on the inner title page.

the cabal of international bankers. However textbooks taught in U.S. universities do not mention this deep reality.

The Rothschild role in the Great Depression has been elaborated upon by Knuth:⁹⁹

The fact that British capital played an important role in the great crash of the American market in 1929 seems beyond question. That the overextended inflation that brought on the crash could have been controlled and halted dead at any point in its rise by the great balance wheel of the world's credit seems beyond question. That the immense crash and loss in American securities served not only to damage and cripple Britain's the then greatest competitor, but also to discipline a recalcitrant and unfriendly administration seems beyond question. That \$ 1,233,844,000.00 of foreign gold was moved out of country in the election year of 1932 to bring further discredit to that unfriendly administration and to influence the election seems beyond question. That \$ 1,139,672,000.00 of foreign gold was moved into the country in 1935 to influence an election and to recreate "confidence" and to prepare the American

⁹⁹E.C. Knuth: *The Empire of "The City": The Secret History of British Financial Power*; The Book Tree, 2006; originally published 1944; p 71.

investor for further milking in 1937 seems beyond question. The fact that the House of Rothschild made its money in the great crashes of history and the great wars of history, the very period when others lost their money, is beyond question.

The above however is not taught in U.S. universities. American history textbooks also do not teach what was pointed out in 1934 by Louis T. McFadden, Chairman of the House Banking and Currency Committee, about the Depression in one of his speeches:¹⁰⁰

Meanwhile and on account of it, we ourselves are in the midst of the greatest depression we have ever known. From the Atlantic to the Pacific, our Country has been ravaged and laid waste by the evil practices of the Fed and the interests which control them. At no time in our history, has the general welfare of the people been at a lower level or the minds of the people so full of despair.

Recently in one of our States, 60,000 dwelling houses and farms were brought under the hammer in a single day. 71,000 houses and farms in Oakland County, Michigan, were sold and their erstwhile owners dispossessed.

The people who have thus been driven out

¹⁰⁰*Congressman McFadden on the Federal Reserve Corruption - Remarks in Congress 1934*; <http://hiwaay.net/becraft/mcfadden.html>.

are the wastage of the Fed. They are the victims of the Fed. Their children are the new slaves of the auction blocks in the revival of the institution of human slavery.

In his brief but important work, first published in 1944, Knuth¹⁰¹ points out clearly that impoverishing people is a part of the strategy of making people pliable to the demands of the Elite. He writes:

The modern dictators were the deliberate creations of international finance to plunge the world into that chaos of which alone it would be possible to fashion "One World." It was first necessary to make the people of all the world tractable and obedient to these plans in a successive process involving in their planned turn the people of the United States. The method by which this could be achieved was indicated 25 years ago by a leading financial organ in these words: "*When through a process of law, the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed through the influence of the strong arm of Government, applied by the general power of wealth under control of leading financiers.*"¹⁰²

¹⁰¹E.C.Knuth: *The Empire of "The City" - The Secret History of British Financial Power*; The Book Tree, 2006; first published 1944, p 99.

¹⁰²Emphasis added.

Time will prove that the current depression is also the result of policies initiated by the Federal Reserve. Think of the *ten million homes foreclosed* in the U.S. between 2007 and now (December 2012) and the tens of millions driven out of their homes by “due process of law.” There is no end in sight to this process of foreclosures. The people of any country would be out of their mind to accept such a state of affairs. But consent engineering is at work and pliable minds have been prepared right from schools. The “free” media, the property of the international bankers and their stooges, is practically silent on this great tragedy. The eviction of 10% or more of the U.S. population from its homes does not occupy headlines in newspapers or news channels. It is highly significant that in a 1976 article titled *There is a Gulag in Your Future*, Eustace Mullins, who comprehensively exposed the Federal Reserve conspiracy, wrote unambiguously and emphatically:

Despite billions of dollars which Americans are spending on insurance, they are not spending one cent on any insurance against the real threat on the horizon, that is, the certainty that all of their property will be confiscated and they will be placed in forced labor camps to work and to die.

Almost three and a half decades later his predictions are being fulfilled. The systematic destruction of the middle class of U.S., the most precious component of mankind with its great abilities and strength of character, is a

prelude to a great war, and to the imposition of naked, undisguised dictatorship in the U.S. and elsewhere. No human group can impose such suffering on fellow humans unless it subscribes to an ideology that enables it to carry out calculated brutal actions on such a massive scale.

It is important to understand that the central banks of the United States and Britain are private banks owned by these families. The State Bank of Pakistan, for example, is not a private bank but these families ensure their control of the State Bank of Pakistan by getting those associated with the World Bank or IMF etc., appointed as the head of the State Bank. They are therefore able to control the State Bank of Pakistan. The governments obsequiously allow IMF operatives to sit in the Central Board of Revenue (CBR) offices¹⁰³ and dictate policies. As Shahid Hassan, himself a banker, notes:¹⁰⁴

By setting the targets in the realm of budget proposals, the WB / IMF couple practically take over the reins of economy and its management, leaving the government in the role of ‘faithful implementers’ without

¹⁰³Incidentally the American equivalent of CBR, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) is *privately* owned! It belongs to the Elite families. That the Federal Reserve and IRS are private entities is something never taught in U.S. schools and colleges. One may ask why is this so?

¹⁰⁴Shahid Hassan: *Money: Banker's Deception*; Sang-e-Meel Publishers, 2012; pp 12,13.

the ability to question the merits of various propositions and facing the populace and the 'sovereign parliament' as zombies.

Whenever, in obedience to their corporate masters, the forces of U.S. and U.K. occupy a country such as Libya and Iraq, one of the first things they do is to set up a private central bank. The establishment of a central bank is concealed from the world because they, or their stooges, own the media which remains silent about such news items. It has been pointed out that in 2000 there were seven countries that had central banks which were not owned or controlled by the Rothschilds - these were Afghanistan, Cuba, Iran, Iraq, Libya, North Korea and the Sudan. As a consequence of 9/11 only three are left at this point in time (September 2012) - Iran, Cuba and North Korea.

In her outstanding book,¹⁰⁵ *The Ruling Elite*, Deanna Spingola states (*Introduction* p viii):

The money powers control the majority of the world's political leaders, particularly in America and England, two countries that have collaborated in many aggressive campaigns against less developed resource rich countries. These two countries have consistent strategies of conquest where they target and then invade other nations, always under false pretenses. As men and now women

¹⁰⁵Deanna Spingola: *The Ruling Elite: A Study in Imperialism, Genocide and Emancipation*, Trafford Publishers 2011.

fight, kill and obliterate local culture, the ubiquitous bankers and corporate moguls wait until the military has captured, arrested and tried the local political leaders and have adequately subdued the residents. Under the auspices of restoring order, the victors occupy the country, impose a central bank, build military bases to protect the natural resources and assume control of the political apparatus. Well connected corporate interests then mine the gold, silver, and minerals, extract the oil, coal, and other resources using available low-cost labor. People, shaped by the media, soon forget the initial reasons for invasion as other circumstances develop that require a continued occupation.

1.9 “Think Tanks” and NWO

These families have developed a mechanism for formulating and carrying out policies. They have set up “think tanks” like the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), the Trilateral Commission, the Bilderberg Group,¹⁰⁶ etc. The Bilderberg Group, set up in 1954, remains out of sight and out of media by design. David Estulin states:¹⁰⁷

The *American Free Press*’ diplomatic cor-

¹⁰⁶David Estulin: *The True Story of The Bilderberg Group*; TrineDay 2005

¹⁰⁷Ibid, pp 91-92.

respondent at the UN, Christopher Bollen, asked a group of journalists waiting for a routine conference to start, “Why is anything related to Bilderberg edited by the most ‘respectable’ editors of national newspapers?” His question was met with ironic laughter in the pressroom.

“The Bilderbergers have been removed from our assignment list years ago by executive order,” said Anthony Holder, a former UN correspondent for the London *Economist*, the leading international business weekly.

“We are barely aware of the Bilderberger’s existence, and we don’t report on their activities,” asserted William Glasgow, senior writer responsible for covering such international organizations as the *Business Week*.

“One cannot help but be a little suspicious where priorities for the future of mankind are being considered by those who have real influence over the future, in total secret.”

What is true of the Bilderberg is equally true of CFR. David Estulin writes:¹⁰⁸

The State Department Publication 7277, CFR Special Groups/Secret Teams, and its War and Peace Studies have all had a tremendous impact on world geopolitics. Yet, these

¹⁰⁸ *Ibid* p 126.

events and behind-the-scene maneuverings are still not reported. Why is the role of the Council on Foreign Relations in sponsoring and carrying out these actions and operations ignored in modern history texts? Why aren't there any universities - the hub of American liberalism - offering courses on one of America's most influential and oldest private organizations, one molding United States foreign policy to its private agenda? Why haven't Pulitzer Prize-winning investigative reporters, university professors, historians, authors, statesmen, politicians, and researchers noticed the evolution towards a one-world government?

What is true of the Bilderberg Group and CFR also holds true for the RIIA. In fact the origin and funding of the RIIA has been described briefly in the previous section.

These “think” tanks are funded by the banks and corporations and tax-exempt Foundations owned or controlled by the international banking families, the Elite. The men and women employed by these “think” tanks spend their entire time in devising arguments and developing strategies for the expansion and consolidation of the control of the international bankers over the entire globe. They suggest where and how disruption is to be carried out, which countries are to be attacked, which countries are to be broken up, how the public is to be duped or misled, what arguments are to be fed to the

world, who will get the contracts for “reconstruction” work in countries first devastated by them, and so on and so forth. These intellectual slaves of the Elite invent the most specious arguments for their anti-mankind and inhuman objectives. In return for the enormous human misery and bloodshed that they plan for their masters, they are given successful careers and lives of comfort as well as projection on media, if so desired.

The process of buying men of knowledge had begun in Europe very early. As Ferrukh Mir puts it:¹⁰⁹

After embracing modern capitalism as a guiding beacon in the early 20th century, the US corporate elite started a new business, intellect buying. The intellect buying business was aimed at conceptualizing the capitalist philosophy as a source of corporate force necessary to drive state institutions through politics, either directly or indirectly. With the passage of time, modern form of intellectual platforms emerged at the social-political scene under the tags of think tanks and non-profit organizations for intellectual work. In today’s America, scores of so-called think tanks, funded covertly or overtly by different multinational corporations have been spoon feeding different governments, especially over foreign policy issues just after WW II.

¹⁰⁹Ferrukh Mir: *Half Truth: Peace in Afghanistan is Key to Global Peace*; IUUniverse Inc, Bloomington, 2011, p 5.

The Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) was set up to replicate the ideas of, and provide a cover to, the Royal Institute of International Affairs (RIIA) of Britain. The RIIA is the real organization. As pointed out by Eustace Mullins,¹¹⁰ even in 1936, RIIA was a \$400,000 organization funded by:

N.M.Rothschild & Sons, British South Africa Company, Bank of England, Reuters News Agency, and Vickers-Armstrong Ltd, Prudential Assurance Co, Sun Insurance Office Ltd, all of which were known as Rothschild enterprises. Other subscribers were J. Henry Schroeder Co, Lazard Freres, Morgan-Grenfell, Erlangers Ltd, and E.D. Sassoon Co.

The importance of the control of the Rothschild and their allies and front-men over RIIA lies in the fact that it renders even the CFR a “front” organization. Mullins states:¹¹¹

The members of the Council on Foreign Relations have never originated a single item of policy for the U.S. Government. They merely transmit orders to our government officials from the RIIA and the House of Rothschild in London. It is true that the CFR

¹¹⁰ *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA.

¹¹¹ *Ibid*

comprises a ruling elite in the United States, but they are mere colonial governors absolutely responsible to their overseers in the World Order.

In 1993 Richard Harwood wrote of the CFR in the *Washington Post*:¹¹²

The president is a member. So is his secretary of state, the deputy secretary of state, all five of the undersecretaries, several of the assistant secretaries and the department's legal adviser. The president's national security adviser and his deputy are members. The director of Central Intelligence (like all previous directors) and the chairman of the Foreign Intelligence Advisory Board are members. The secretary of defense, three undersecretaries and at least four assistant secretaries are members. The secretaries of the departments of housing and urban development, interior, health and human services and the chief White House public relations man, David Gergen are members, along with the Speaker of the House and the majority leader of the Senate.

This is not a retinue of people who "look like America", as the president once put it, but they very definitely look like people who,

¹¹²Richard Harwood: *Ruling Class Journalists*; Washington Post, October 30, 1993, page A 21.

for more than half a century, have managed our international affairs and our military-industrial complex.

The above list should dispel any impressions one may harbor about the independence of the U.S. government from Elite control. They own the Government of the United States of America.

CFR publishes a journal called *Foreign Affairs*. According to Harwood, writing in 1993, this journal has had only five editors in its 70 year history, the fifth one being appointed in 1993. This means that in seventy years the journal had practically only four editors. This continuity shows the key importance of the journal for articulation of long term policies leading to the NWO.

The thoughts of the intellectual servants of the Elite are first published in such journals. Then, if needed, they are published in book form and these books are given great projection on the Elite owned media. Samuel Huntington, Henry Kissinger, Brzezinski, Khalilzad and many other stalwarts, whose names are known worldwide as great strategists and great “Americans”, are nothing more than willing intellectual stooges of the Elite. Countless American Professors in various American universities and colleges, who possess superior intellect, remain unknown to the outside world because they are unwilling to serve the Elite. In fact some of the aforementioned “strategists” were deliberately planted in the U.S. from Europe. One, now deceased, Elite member, a very close friend of Kissinger, who was a Rothschild partner in various businesses including bank-

ing, told a friend of mine, that Kissinger had unusual abilities and was specifically sent back to the U.S. from Europe by the powers that be. This may well explain the sudden and much advertised (presumably by design) “friendship” between Nelson Rockefeller and Kissinger. It is remarkable that Nelson Rockefeller suddenly gifted \$50,000 to Kissinger in 1955! For what was the gift given? Such a gift so suddenly, and from a Rockefeller! But given the information that Kissinger had been planted by the bankers this becomes clear.¹¹³ The international bankers always remain in the background and wield real power. No member of the Rothschild fam-

¹¹³In his fascinating book *Rule By Secrecy* the writer Jim Marrs states:

In 1955, Kissinger was merely another unknown academic who attended a meeting at the Marine Corps School at Quantico, Virginia hosted by then Presidential Assistant Nelson Rockefeller. This meeting was the start of a lengthy friendship between the two culminating in an \$50,000 outright gift to Kissinger from Rockefeller. Kissinger soon was introduced to David Rockefeller and other prominent CFR members. Through the CFR, Kissinger obtained funding and entree to ranking officials of the Atomic Energy Commission, the three branches of the military, the CIA and the State Department. He used this access to produce a best selling book entitled *Nuclear Weapons and Foreign Policy*, in which he argued that a nuclear war might be “winnable”. By the time of Nixon’s administration, Kissinger was a Secretary of State and a formidable force in World Affairs.

This is a fascinating illustration of how the Elite picks, plants and builds “leaders” who then do their bidding.

ily becomes a member of these organizations. You will find the Rockefellers among CFR or Trilateral Commission members, occasionally a Warburg too, but almost never a Rothschild.

These families have already worked out that setting up a dictatorship inside the U.S. is necessary for setting up of a global empire. Let us hear what Brzezinski has to say in his 1997 book¹¹⁴ *The Grand Chessboard*, a book that grew out of articles published in the mouthpiece of CFR, the journal *Foreign Affairs*. Notice the specious arguments he puts forward to set up a global empire for these families in the name of U.S. hegemony. He writes:

It is also a fact that America is too democratic at home to be autocratic abroad. This limits the use of America’s power, especially its power for military intimidation. Never before has a populist democracy attained international supremacy. But the pursuit of power is not a goal that commands popular passion, except in conditions of a sudden threat to the public’s sense of domestic well-being. The economic self denial (that is defense spending) and human sacrifice (casualties among professional soldiers) required in the effort are uncongenial to imperial mobilization.

¹¹⁴Zbigniew Brzezinski: *The Grand Chessboard, American Primacy and its Geostrategic Imperatives*; Basic Books 1997.

Therefore America must be transformed into a dictatorship and the people coerced into fighting wars. They must be rendered homeless and jobless so that they forget about democratic values and are compelled, for sheer physical survival, to join the armies of conquest. This is what is happening in the length and breadth of the United States of today. Please note the phrase “except in conditions of a sudden threat to the public’s domestic sense of well being”. 9/11 and “terrorism” are, by design, playing this role currently. The all encompassing surveillance of communications by the NSA in the wake of 9/11 has recently been exposed by the young whistleblower Edward Snowden.

And what is the justification for U.S. presence in Central Asia? He gives the answer:

For America, the chief geopolitical prize is Eurasia. For half a millennium, world affairs were dominated by Eurasian powers and peoples who fought with one another for regional domination and reached out for global power. Now a non-Eurasian power is pre-eminent in Eurasia and America’s global primacy is directly dependent on how long and how effectively its preponderance on the Eurasian continent is sustained.

He also comments:

A mere glance at the map suggests that control over Eurasia would almost automatically

entail Africa’s subordination, rendering the Western hemisphere and Oceania geopolitically peripheral to the world’s central continent. About 75% of the world’s people live in Eurasia, and most of the world’s physical wealth is there as well, both in its enterprises and underneath its soil.

Please note that this is not Brzezinski’s personal opinion. He is acting as the mouthpiece of the CFR, a “think tank” that was set up by Rockefellers, but controlled by the Rothschilds through the RIIA, to work out the strategy for setting up a One World dictatorship of the Elite, regardless of the human cost. Many authors, including Webster Tarpley, have persuasively argued that the Elite desires to cut down the global population from its current level of under seven billion to about one to two billion!

It is highly significant that on page 53 of his book Brzezinski has drawn a map with the caption “The Global Zone of Percolating Violence”. The map has a circle which encloses or cuts across various countries, from Kazakhstan in the north to Egypt and Saudi Arabia in the south, from Turkey in the west to Pakistan in the east. It includes Iraq, Syria, Iran and the Central Asian states. How did he, in 1997 (in fact before, because the book was published in 1997 but must have been written, at least in part, a year or two earlier), know that these will be regions of percolating violence when there was no violence in Pakistan and many other countries then? He also states that a threat to American primacy may arise

from Islamic fundamentalism! And then he has a map with the caption “The Eurasian Balkans” where states, whose maps are likely to be redrawn, have been encircled. These are almost the same states that are redrawn in an article *Blood Borders*,¹¹⁵ written by Col. Ralph Peters and published in the *U.S. Armed Forces Journal* in 2006, and subsequently incorporated in the courses of U.S. military academies. The maps in the Ralph Peters article are redrawn according to the oil and gas content of these regions. The effort to break these states is now underway.

How did Brzezinski know all this in 1997 or earlier? Is it that the whole thing was planned this way by the “think” tanks funded by the international bankers and is now being implemented stepwise (see the following section on Operation Gladio B)? Michael Ruppert, who was probably the first writer to draw attention to Brzezinski’s book in the context of 9/11, remarks in his book:¹¹⁶

Given that these maps were drawn and published a full four years before the first plane hit the World Trade Center, they would fall into a category of evidence I learned about at LAPD. We call them “clues”.

¹¹⁵Ralph Peters: *Blood Borders - How a Better Middle East Would Look*; Armed Forces Journal, June 2006; <http://www.armedforcesjournal.com/2006/06/183389>

¹¹⁶Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publishers, 2003, p 86.

All these things, oil wars and percolating Central Asian violence, global destabilization and decimation of the U.S. constitution, intimidation at home and abroad, were made possible by 9/11. Brzezinski states that the American public is “ambivalent” (meaning reluctant) towards the projection of American power abroad (meaning war). He remarks that the “*public supported America’s engagement in World War II largely because of the shock effect of the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor.*” Is 9/11 not a repeat Pearl Harbor? The *Time* magazine editorial cited in the beginning of this article talked of a purple fury engendered by Pearl Harbor which, the editorial emphasized, was desirable in 9/11 too. Is it a mere coincidence that the corporate media and corporate think tanks, the corporate editors and the corporate “strategists”, both on the payroll of the international bankers and their agents, employ the same language and the same intent even though Brzezinski published his book four years earlier? The exact sentence of the *Time* editorial was: “*Let’s have rage. What’s needed is a unified, unifying Pearl Harbor sort of purple American fury.*” Is all this a coincidence? As the FBI whistleblower Sibel Edmonds has revealed, operation Gladio B was put in action in 1997!¹¹⁷

Eustace Mullins wrote¹¹⁸ in 1976:

America in the bicentennial year showed many characteristics of Russia in 1910. The

¹¹⁷See following pages.

¹¹⁸Eustace Mullins: *There is a Gulag in Your Future*; Article 1976; it can be downloaded from various websites also.

white middle class is working hard, saving money, educating their children, and buying real estate and insurance from their earnings. On the surface, it seems a comfortable, predictable world, but terrible forces are at work. Like Russia in 1910, America in 1976 has well organized revolutionary groups infiltrating every part of society, and especially into religious, educational, and governmental institutions. As for the press, one need hardly mention that journalism has always been one of the most sordid types of prostitution, and American journalists have eagerly allied themselves with what they believe to be the great power of the immediate future, the Satanic powers of international Communism.

After having previously divided the world for a greater part of the 20th century through the false paradigm and deception of the Communism-Capitalism dichotomy, the Elite has now devised a new fraud - the "Terrorism- Civilization" dichotomy. Regarding this new paradigm, all serious analysts are very clear that the so-called "terrorist" organizations like al Qaeda are, at the deepest level, CIA assets. These have been used in Afghanistan, Iraq and Libya and are now being used in Syria, Iran and Pakistan. But the "mainstream" U.S. media, the tool and mouthpiece of the Elite, never discusses such realities. No wonder Paul Craig Roberts has devised the term "Presstitutes" for "mainstream" U.S. media.

As Professor Peter Dale Scott wrote in his comprehensively researched and referenced book¹¹⁹ *The Road to 9/11* (published 2007):

What is slowly emerging from the revelations of the al Qaeda’s activities in Central Asia throughout the 1990s is the extent to which the group acted in the interests of both America’s oil companies and the U.S. government. In one way or another a few Americans have cooperated with al Qaeda terrorists in Afghanistan, Azerbaijan, Kosovo and possibly Bosnia. In other countries - notably Georgia, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan - al Qaeda terrorists have provided pretexts or opportunities for a U.S. military commitment and even troops to follow.

Professor Chossudovsky’s comments on the “war on terrorism” and on “Islamic terrorism” and on the covert support of U.S. agencies for these terrorists are quite revealing:¹²⁰

¹¹⁹Peter Dale Scott: *The Road to 9/11: Wealth, Empire, and the Future of America*; University of California Press 2007. As Professor Scott states in the *Acknowledgements* section of his book: “It has taken me more than five years to write this book, longer than any other nonfiction book project I have undertaken. It draws on many years of research and discussion that have led me to the positions I articulate here for the first time.” Apart from its comprehensive bibliography, the book has over 1400 endnotes / references.

¹²⁰M. Chossudovsky: *The “Demonization” of Muslims and the Battle for Oil*, <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-demonization->

The “war on terrorism” purports to defend the American Homeland and protect the “civilized world”. It is upheld as a “war of religion, a clash of civilizations”, when in fact the main objective of this war is to secure control and corporate ownership over the regions extensive oil wealth, while also imposing under the helm of the IMF and the World Bank (now under the leadership of Paul Wolfowitz), the privatization of State enterprises and the transfer of the countries economic assets into the hands of foreign capital. . . . Demonization serves geopolitical and economic objectives. Likewise, the campaign against “Islamic terrorism” (which is supported covertly by U.S. intelligence) supports the conquest of oil wealth. The term “Islamofascism”, serves to degrade the policies, institutions, values and social fabric of Muslim countries, while also upholding the tenets of “Western democracy” and the “free market” as the only alternative for these countries.

In 2008 Professor Chossudovsky wrote:¹²¹

The fabrication of “terrorism” including covert support to terrorists is required to provide

of-muslims-and-the-battle-for-oil/4347

4 January 2007.

¹²¹M. Chossudovsky: *Pakistan and the “Global War on Terrorism”*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/pakistan-and-the-global-war-on-terrorism/7746>, 8 January 2008.

legitimacy to the “war on terrorism”. The various fundamentalist and paramilitary groups involved in U.S. sponsored “terrorist” activities are “intelligence assets”. In the wake of 9/11, their designated function as “intelligence assets” is to perform their role as credible “enemies of America”.

In a November 15, 2012 article Professor Chossudovsky refers to al Qaeda as “US-NATO Foot-soldiers”. He states:¹²²

Amply documented but rarely mentioned by the mainstream media, Al Qaeda is a creation of the CIA going back to the Soviet-Afghan war. This was a known fact, corroborated by numerous sources including official documents of the U.S. Congress, which the mainstream media chose to either dismiss or ignore. ...

Realities are turned upside down. Al Qaeda death squads have been recruited to wage America’s humanitarian wars throughout the Middle East and North Africa. ...

In Libya, jihadists from Afghanistan trained by the CIA were dispatched to fight with

¹²²Michel Chossudovsky: *September 11, 2001: The Crimes of War Committed “in the Name of 9/11” - Initiating a Legal Procedure against the Perpetrators of 9/11*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/september-11-2001-the-crimes-of-war-committed-in-the-name-of-911/5311561>

the “pro-democracy” rebels under the helm of “former” Libya Islamic Fighting Group (LIFG) Commander Abdel Hakim Belhadj. Western policy makers admit that NATO’s operations in Libya have played the primary role in emboldening Al Qaeda’s AQIM faction (Al Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb). The Fortune 500-funded Brookings Institution’s Bruce Riedel in his article, “The New Al Qaeda Menace,” admits that AQIM is now heavily armed thanks to NATO’s intervention in Libya, and that AQIM’s base in Mali, North Africa, serves as a staging ground for terrorist activities across the region.

1.9.1 Operation Gladio B

The FBI whistleblower Sibel Edmonds, who was given an FBI job as a translator on account of her fluency in Turkish, Persian and Azerbaijani, has revealed the extensive contacts and support of the terrorist networks by the U.S. government. She has been referred to as the most gagged woman in the U.S. In an article written in May 2013 Nafeez Ahmed points out that two reporters of *Sunday Times* told him, on conditions of anonymity, that they had *independent confirmation* of revelations of Sibel Edmonds. As Ahmed wrote:¹²³

¹²³Nafeez Ahmed: *Why was a Sunday Times report on US government ties to al-Qaeda chief spiked?* Ceasefire Magazine, May 20, 2013; the story is also posted at (see footnote on next page):

According to two *Sunday Times* journalists speaking on condition of anonymity, this and related revelations had been confirmed by senior Pentagon and MI6 officials as part of a four-part investigative series that were supposed to run in 2008. The *Sunday Times* journalists described how the story was inexplicably dropped under the pressure of undisclosed “interest groups”, which, they suggest, were associated with the U.S. State Department.

Ahmed further states:

In interviews with this author in early March, Edmonds claimed that Ayman al-Zawahiri, current head of al Qaeda and Osama bin Laden’s deputy at the time, had innumerable, regular meetings at the U.S. embassy in Baku, Azerbaijan, with U.S. military and intelligence officials between 1997 and 2001, as part of an operation known as ‘Gladio B’. Al-Zawahiri, she charged, as well as various members of the bin Laden family and other mujahideen, were transported on NATO planes to various parts of Central Asia and the Balkans to participate in Pentagon-backed destabilization operations.

Please recall that Operation Gladio was meant to attack and kill innocent people in Europe for the purpose of

<http://www.911truth.org/article.php?story=20130520114112307>

enhancing corporate (euphemistically called NATO or U.S.) grip over Europe and threatening democracy so that people may turn to U.S. controlled state apparatus for “security” with regard to the Communist threat from the Soviet Union. Gladio B was originally meant to destabilize Central Asia. The violence has later been extended to all states encircled in Brzezinski’s 1997 book and referred to as the regions of “percolating violence.” Is it a coincidence that Brzezinski’s book matches the action taking place in the Central Asian theater and adjoining regions? Operation Gladio B started in 1997!

It is now quite certain that al Qaeda and other terrorists operating in the region (including Pakistan) are deep assets of the U.S. agencies, agencies that work for the Elite. Sibel Edmonds pointed out in her conversations with Ahmed that four countries were exempted from routine monitoring of communications. These were Turkey, U.K., Azerbaijan and Belgium. These were exempted because these countries were integral to Gladio B. Even Israel and Saudi Arabia, two U.S. controlled states and close “allies”, were not exempt from this monitoring.

One may quote the young Swiss researcher Daniele Ganser who was able to grasp the Gladio network in its totality in Europe:¹²⁴

*If you infiltrate a group and then carry out
terror operations with that group, without that*

¹²⁴Quoted by Richard Cottrell: *Gladio - NATO's Dagger at the Heart of Europe - the Pentagon-Nazi-Mafia Terror Axis*, Progressive Press, May 2012, p 45.

group knowing that it has been infiltrated and is being ‘steered’, that is one of the strategically most sophisticated operations imaginable. To any strategist that is beautiful, as it is ugly from a moral perspective.

It was noted that when in November 2011, the NATO forces attacked a Pakistani check-post and NATO supplies through Pakistan were interrupted for a long time, bomb explosions and suicide attacks in the country practically came to a halt. This is enough evidence of the penetration of Pakistani terrorist networks by NATO and other forces. When their handlers instruct them the terrorists engage in sabotage, including suicide attacks.

Edmonds told Ahmed that the main purposes of Gladio B were to open up the untapped energy resources of Central Asia “for Western companies; pushing back Russian and Chinese power; and expanding the scope of lucrative criminal activities, particularly illegal arms and drug trafficking.” The main Western companies dealing with energy resources i.e. oil and gas, are owned by the Elite. It may be of use to recall that Brzezinski had already referred to the Eurasian wealth, to be acquired through control of Central Asia. He almost drools when he talks of this “physical wealth” of the region “both in its enterprises and underneath it soil”. The spiritual and cultural wealth of this region has no significance for this elite slave. Ahmed writes:

Terrorism finance expert Loretta Napoleani

estimates the total value of this criminal economy to be about \$1.5 trillion annually, the bulk of which “flows into Western economies where it gets recycled in the U.S. and Europe” as a “vital element of the cash flows of these economies.”

It has been pointed out by Michael Ruppert that drug money flows into the coffers of the corporations and the CIA. He points out that in 2000 the Department of Justice invited some of the large corporations to a money laundering conference. Ruppert wrote:¹²⁵ “The names were not chosen by accident . . . It had been noticed how much drug money was going into the bottom lines of certain corporations.” He mentions that Hewlett Packard, Sony, General Motors, Ford, General Electric, Philip Morris and Whirlpool were invited. Who owns these corporations? It has already been mentioned that the Elite families are among the top ten stock holders in each of the top 500 corporations of the world. Ruppert points elsewhere that CIA controls the global drug traffic.

Just as the bankers controlled the Bolsheviks, they manipulate and control the “terrorists” through their puppet agencies like the CIA, MI6, etc. This is a sickening spectacle.

¹²⁵Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publishers, 2004, p 61.

1.10 The Molding of the American Mind

The vast majority of the people of the U.S. have to think hard about what their government is doing, to stand up to their government and fight the brain-washing techniques that have been employed on them right from the very beginning at schools, colleges and universities. Many people have stood up but their protest has led to the promulgation of more repressive laws and measures because many people in the U.S. have, for at least a century, been molded into a state of mind described by the late historian Howard Zinn:¹²⁶

There is a hard core of people in the United States who will not be moved whatever facts you present, from the conviction that this nation means only to do good, and almost always does good, in the world . . .

The treatment that is meted out to writers of stature who effectively challenge the corporate brain washing is also a part of Elite strategy to ruthlessly suppress truth. The Wikipedia has the following interesting information to offer about Howard Zinn:

Because of a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request, on July 30, 2010, the Federal

¹²⁶Howard Zinn's quotes may be found on the internet. The web site thirdworldtraveler.com has a Howard Zinn web page now. http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Zinn/HZinn_page.html.

Bureau of Investigation (FBI) released a file with 423 pages of information on Howard Zinn's life and activities. During the height of McCarthyism in 1949, the FBI first opened a domestic security investigation on Zinn (FBI File 100-360217), based on Zinn's activities in what the agency considered to be communist front groups and informant reports that Zinn was an active member of the Communist Party of the United States (CPUSA). Zinn denied ever being a member and said that he had participated in the activities of various organizations which might be considered Communist fronts but that his participation was motivated by his belief that in this country people had the right to believe, think, and act according to their own ideals. Later in the 1960s, as a result of Zinn's campaigning against the Vietnam War and his influence on Martin Luther King, the FBI designated Zinn a high security risk to the country, a category that allowed them to summarily arrest him if a state of emergency were to be declared. The FBI memos also show that they were concerned with Zinn's repeated criticism of the FBI for failing to protect blacks against white mob violence. Zinn's daughter said she was not surprised by the files; "He always knew they had a file on him".

Contrast the sordid attitude of agencies towards Zinn, one of the most humane, learned and eloquent citizens of U.S., with their attitude towards war criminals and war mongers like Kissinger and Brzezinski, who are anti-people, are agents of the Elite and are real despots who do not care for U.S. interests. In fact the Soviet leader Brezhnev had once said that Russia was not playing a role in the Middle East because:¹²⁷ “We don’t need representation. Kissinger is our man in the Middle East.”

Charlotte Iserbyt has written a book,¹²⁸ based on official U.S. government documents, with the self-explanatory title, *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America*. This comprehensive work, with all the appendices and documented evidence points to an Elite design to control the American mind through the educational system. It has now been abridged into a new brief book. As one reviewer puts it:

This book argues that the academic meltdown in our public education system is in-

¹²⁷Eustace Mullins: *The World Order A Study into the Hegemony of Parasitism*; first published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA, USA.

¹²⁸Charlotte Iserbyt: *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America*; Conscience Press 1999. This book contains detailed appendices establishing that school curricula in the U.S. have been modified, not to improve educational standards, but to promote a socialist mindset. This voluminous book is now hard to find and has been replaced by its shorter version. The original book however may be downloaded at <http://www.deliberatedumbingdown.com/MomsPDFs/DDDoA.sml.pdf>

tentional. It asserts that change agents have been working at the Education Department to change curriculum, not to improve teaching but to promote a socialist agenda. Their role is to create schools which will mold obedient citizens who no longer have the knowledge and skills to improve their lot in life, but are dependent on government/multi-national companies' guidance to survive. The system will create imprisoned citizens that will be managed from cradle to grave to serve the needs of the state's managed economy.

Historical record reveals that from 1902 on, swift steps were taken to transform the U.S. education system into a system that will serve corporate interests. Private bodies, funded by the Elite families, took over the functions of the government with regard to education. These bodies set and dictated the course of American education throughout the twentieth century. The General Education Board (GEB) was set up in 1902 by John D. Rockefeller Sr. to experiment with innovation in the schools system. The Intercollegiate Socialist Society (ISS) was established in 1905 by Upton Sinclair, Jack London, Clarence Darrow and others. It was headquartered at the Rand School of Social Sciences in 1908 and evolved into the League for Industrial Democracy in 1921, which was later headed by another Elite intellectual servant John Dewey. The very same year, in 1905, the Carnegie Foundation for Advancement of Teaching was founded. In 1913 John D. Rockefeller Jr. set up

the Southern Education Board (SEB) with Frederick T. Gates as its Head. The Institute for International Education (IIE) was founded in 1919 with a grant from the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace.¹²⁹ In the same year, 1919, the Progressive Education Association was founded. John Dewey played a key role in organizing it but did not become a member initially. In 1921 the Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) was set up, and, in December 1922, CFR endorsed World Government. Professor Carroll Quigley, who has been mentioned earlier, comments:¹³⁰

The board of CFR have carried ever since the marks of their origin . . . There grew up in the 20th century a power structure between London and New York which penetrated deeply into university life, the press and the practice of foreign policy . . . The American branch of this “English Establishment” exerted much of its influence through five American newspapers (*New York Times*, *New York Herald Tribune*, *Christian Science Monitor*, *Washington Post*, and the late lamented *Boston Evening Transcript*).

Since Quigley wrote these lines almost the entire media, in all its forms, has been taken over by the Elite.

Please note that these “educational” bodies were

¹²⁹In view of its evil and brutal record, I always refer to it as the Carnegie Endowment for Worldwide Bloodshed.

¹³⁰Quoted by Iserbyt; *ibid* p 13.

funded by the Elite families through the trusts, foundations and endowments, etc. that they had set up, and employed those with a socialist or communist mind set. One may recall that the Bolshevik Revolution was funded by Wall Street. These bodies had only one aim: to cut off America's future generations from the traditional values of right and wrong and to "dumb down" pupils as we will see. The activities and intentions of these bodies were a cause of concern for thoughtful sections of the American people. Charlotte Iserbyt quotes from the Congressional record of 1917 wherein a paragraph written by Bishop Warren A. Chandler, Vice Chancellor Emory University, is quoted:¹³¹

This board [The General Education Board] was authorized to do almost every conceivable thing which is anywise related to education, from opening a kitchen to establishing a university, and its power to connect itself with the work of every sort of educational plant or enterprise conceivable will be especially observed. This power to project its influence over other corporations is at once the greatest and most dangerous power it has.

Frederick T. Gates, who headed the Rockefeller funded Southern Education Board wrote:¹³²

*In our dream we have limitless resources,
and the people yield themselves with perfect*

¹³¹ *Ibid* p 10.

¹³² *Ibid* p 9; emphasis added.

docility to our molding hand. The present educational conventions fade from our minds; and unhampered by tradition, we work our own goodwill upon a grateful and responsive rural folk. We shall not try to make these people or any of their children into philosophers or men of learning or of science. We are not to raise up from them authors, orators, poets, or men of letters. We shall not search for embryo great artists, painters, musicians. Nor will we cherish even the humbler ambition to raise up from among them lawyers, doctors, preachers, politicians, statesmen, of whom we now have ample supply.

If the educational system is being designed *not* to produce any of the above, then what kind of men and women will it produce? What could be the motive of the wealthiest and most powerful families on this planet in setting up organizations meant to dismantle and reconstruct the then existing education system? Since, as pointed out earlier, the goal of these families is to set up a One World Government under their firm control, the transformation of the educational system through organizations funded by them could be nothing other than production of men and women who would willingly serve them. Apart from creating an appropriate mind set the new system would be designed to get rid of Christian values. Such values produce men and women with a strong sense of right and wrong, rooted in faith in God. Such human beings are the ones likely to resist the in-

exorable march towards a global dictatorship under the control of these families.

Iserbyt quotes a very disturbing incident narrated by Mr. O. A. Nelson, a retired member, who, through a misunderstanding, became a member of the Progressive Educators of America. In a *Young Parent's Alert* that he wrote, he mentions how he stumbled upon the manner in which CFR influences or controls textbook writing to “dumb down” students. The incident took place in December 1928. He was invited to a special meeting by a Dr. Zeigler, Head of the Educational Committee of the CFR. He writes:¹³³

We were 13 at the meeting. Two things caused Dr. Zeigler . . . to ask me to attend . . . my talk on teaching of functional physics in high school, and the fact that I was a member of a group known as Progressive Educators of America, which was nothing but a Communist front. I thought the word “progressive” meant progress for better schools. Eleven of those attending were leaders in education. Drs John Dewey and Edward Thorndike from Columbia University, were there, and the others were of equal rank. I checked later and found out that ALL were paid members of the Communist Party of Russia. I was classified as a member of the Party, but I did not know it at the time.

¹³³ *Ibid* pp 14-15.

The sole work of the group was to destroy our schools! We spent one hour and forty-five minutes discussing the so-called “Modern Math”. At one point I objected because there was too much memory work, and math is reasoning; not memory. Dr. Zeigler turned to me and said, “*Nelson wake up! That is what we want . . . a math that pupils cannot apply when they get out of school system!*” That math was not introduced until much later, as those present thought it was too radical a change. A milder course by Dr. Breckner was substituted but it was also worthless as far as understanding was concerned. The radical change was introduced in 1952. It was the one we are using now. So, if pupils come out of high school now, not knowing math, don’t blame them. The results are supposed to be worthless.¹³⁴

Please note how gradually and insidiously the Elite policies are injected into national life and note the time period over which they plan and operate. These policies, controlled and managed by Elite agents, have led to the desired result. A 2011 study of math proficiency states the following:¹³⁵

¹³⁴Emphasis added.

¹³⁵*Globally Challenged: Are The U.S. Students Ready to Compete?* <http://www.hks.harvard.edu/pepg/PDF/Papers/PEPG11-03GloballyChallenged.pdf>

U.S. students in the Class of 2011, with a 32 percent proficiency rate in mathematics, came in 32nd among the nations that participated in PISA.

The Elite funded organizations described above have exerted a special effort to dilute, and eventually eliminate, Christian values and Christian faith. A quote from a book *Secular Humanism and Schools*¹³⁶ gives an idea of the thrust towards elimination of traditional religious values:¹³⁷

The basis of humanist belief is that there is no Almighty God, the Creator and Sustainer of life. Humanists believe that man is his own god. They believe that moral values are relative, devised according to the needs of particular people, and that ethics are likewise situational.

Humanists reject Judeo-Christian moral and ethical laws, such as those contained in Ten Commandments, calling them “dogmatic”, “outmoded”, “authoritarian”, and a hindrance to human progress. In humanism, self-fulfilment, happiness, love, and justice are founded by each man individually, without reference to any divine source. In Judeo-

¹³⁶Onalee McGraw Ph.D.: *Secular Humanism and Schools: The Issue Whose Time Has Come*; Critical Series 2, Heritage Foundation, Washington D.C. 1976.

¹³⁷Cited by Iserbyt, pp 21-22.

Christian ethic there is and can be no real self-fulfillment, happiness, love, or justice on earth that can be found which does not ultimately issue from Almighty God, the Creator and Sustainer.

Belief in traditional religion is dangerous for the Elite for the following simple reason stated by Griffin:¹³⁸

... Christian faith is first of all faith in God, and faith is best understood as fidelity or loyalty ... Christian faith also teaches that God is truth, so that to be loyal to God is to be committed to knowing and proclaiming the truth. From the point of view of Christian faith, therefore, it would be idolatrous to regard our nation worthy of ultimate allegiance. Christians can, of course, be patriots, loving or serving their country. But they cannot, without forsaking their Christian faith, give ultimate loyalty to their nation, so as to blind themselves to, or hide from others, ugly truths about their own nation.

What is true of Christianity is true of Islam and Judaism. The Elite has, for a long time, known that the strength of traditional religions is a great stumbling block in their way. They therefore fomented nationalism, brought about wars, employed usury (which

¹³⁸David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Ten Years later When State Crimes Against Democracy Succeed*; Haus Publishing 2011; p 251.

is banned by the great Abrahamic religions) to amass wealth and promoted “revolutions” in order to weaken religion. Now that they seem to feel that they have sufficiently weakened religion through education *and* through penetration of the Church, they are busy bringing nations under supra-national organizations, so that they can have their One World Government.

David Ray Griffin has tried to grapple with the question as to why is it that, despite overwhelming evidence, the U.S. public is unwilling to accept the thought that its leaders are involved in a great crime against their own people. He has pointed out the dominant faith of the Americans is not Christianity but nationalism. Elaborating this Griffin writes:¹³⁹

What is the American form of nationalist faith? It is that “the United States is a fundamentally virtuous nation.” This faith does not mean that there can be no criticism of America’s actions. “But the criticism is only that the nation’s actions are not in its true interests or do not accord with its true character.” These criticisms hence express the nationalist faith, which is that our country is essentially good, never deliberately doing anything evil.

He then goes on to quote John B. Cobb Jr.:

The response of most Americans shows how

¹³⁹Ibid p 245.

powerful is the hold upon them of the nationalistic “faith.” They do not want to hear that members of their government may have deceived them on a matter of such importance. They do not want to examine the evidence. They “know” in advance that the questioner is out of line. They “know” this because the alternative does not fit their “faith.”

Interestingly a similar sentiment has been expressed in a different way by William Veale, a former Instructor of Criminal Trial Practice, Boalt Hall School of Law, University of California at Berkeley. Veale, a retired Chief Assistant Public Defender, Contra Costa County, California, who has an 11-year teaching career and an overall 31-year career stated:¹⁴⁰

*When you grow up in the United States, there are some bedrock principles that require concerted effort to discard. One is the simplest: that our leaders are good and decent people whose efforts may occasionally warrant criticism but never because of malice or venality*¹⁴¹ . . . But one grows up. . . . And with the lawyer’s training comes the reliance on evidence and the facts that persuade . . . After a lot of reading, thought, study, and commis-

¹⁴⁰Quoted by Paul Joseph Watson: *The 9/11 Whistleblowers*; September 28, 2010; <http://www.infowars.com/the-911-whistleblowers/>

¹⁴¹Emphasis added.

eration, I have come to the conclusion that the attacks of 9/11 were, in their essence, an inside job perpetrated at the highest levels of the U.S. government.

The influence of the educational system on the mind set of the U.S. people is crucial, particularly when one takes account of Einstein's observation about the German mindset. During World War I he talked to the writer Romain Rolland about the German mindset, a conversation, parts of which, Rolland has recorded in his diary:¹⁴²

The mass of the nation is immensely submissive, 'domesticated' (Einstein approves of the description by Spitteler). Einstein blames above all else the education which is aimed at national pride and blind submission to the state.

The Americans are not submissive, and many are valiantly fighting the U.S. descent into totalitarianism, but if one combines the observation of Howard Zinn cited above and the study of Iserbyt with a remark attributed to the diabolical Allen Dulles, one can see how the Elite has been able to take the U.S. into the two World Wars and to get away with perpetual bloodshed and plunder abroad. In the context of 9/11, this remark was highlighted by Michael Ruppert. In 2001, weeks after 9/11,

¹⁴²Clark, Ronald W: *Einstein - The Life and Times* New York, Avon 1971.

he wrote:¹⁴³

There's a quote often attributed to Allen Dulles after it was noted that the final 1964 report of the Warren Commission on the assassination of JFK contained dramatic inconsistencies. Those inconsistencies, in effect, disproved the Commission's own final conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone on November 22, 1963. Dulles, a career spy, Wall Street lawyer, the CIA director whom JFK had fired after the 1961 Bay of Pigs fiasco - and the Warren Commission member who took charge of the investigation and final report - is reported to have said, "The American people don't read."

Ruppert then adds: "Some Americans do read. So do Europeans and Asians and Africans and Latin Americans." And the Kean Commission report on 9/11 has not fared any better than the Warren Commission report! In fact Professor David Ray Griffin has written a book with the self-explanatory title *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions*.¹⁴⁴ However the mainstream media continues to talk dismissively of "conspiracy theories" and the majority of American people hardly have the time to read and analyze ideas that

¹⁴³Michael Ruppert: *A War in the Planning For Four Years; How Stupid Do They Think We Are?* November 7, 2001; <http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ww3/zbig.html>

¹⁴⁴David Ray Griffin: *The 9/11 Commission Report: Omissions and Distortions* Olive Branch Press, 2004, ISBN 1-56656-584-7.

may, under the mind set created at school and college, and through the media, be termed “unpatriotic”.

It is remarkable that the article from which the Ruppert’s previous quote has been taken, was written on November 7, 2001, less than two months after 9/11. In this article Ruppert writes:

World events since the attacks of September 11, 2001 have not only been predicted, but also planned, orchestrated and - as their architects would like to believe - controlled. The current Central Asian war is not a response to terrorism, nor is it a reaction to Islamic fundamentalism. It is in fact, in the words of one of the most powerful men on the planet, the beginning of a final conflict before total world domination by the United States leads to the dissolution of all national governments. This, says Council on Foreign Relations (CFR) member and former Carter National Security Advisor, Zbigniew Brzezinski, will lead to nation states being incorporated into a new world order, controlled solely by economic interests as dictated by banks, corporations and ruling elites concerned with the maintenance (by manipulation and war) of their power. As a means of intimidation for the unenlightened reader who happens upon this frightening plan - the plan of the CFR - Brzezinski offers the alternative of a world in chaos unless the U.S.

controls the planet by whatever means are necessary and likely to succeed.

In his book¹⁴⁵ on the Bilderberger Group, David Estulin has a chapter with the interesting title *CFR and Psycho-Political Operations*. He quotes Hadley Cantril, a sociologist from the 1940s:

Psycho-political operations are propaganda campaigns designed to create perpetual tensions and to manipulate different groups of people to accept the particular climate of opinion the CFR seeks to achieve in the world.

He also quotes Ken Adachi:¹⁴⁶

What most Americans believe to be “Public Opinion” is in reality *carefully crafted and scripted propaganda* designed to elicit the *desired behavioral response from the public*.

The astounding magnitude of such operations can only be grasped if one reads the following from John Coleman’s book *Conspirators’ Hierarchy*¹⁴⁷ and remembers that the book was written over two decades ago:

Today, the Tavistock Institute operates a \$6 billion a year network of Foundations in the

¹⁴⁵David Estulin: *The True Story of The Bilderberg Group*; TrineDay 2005, p 113.

¹⁴⁶Emphasis in original.

¹⁴⁷John Coleman: *Conspirator’s Hierarchy: The Committee of 300*; Publisher: Joseph Holding Corp; 3rd edition (June 1994)

U.S., all of it funded by U.S. taxpayers' money. Ten major institutions are under its direct control, with 400 subsidiaries, and 3000 other study groups and think tanks that originate many types of programs to increase control over American people. The Stanford Research Institute, adjoining the Hoover Institute, is a \$150 million-a-year operation with 3,300 employees. It carries on program surveillance for Bechtel, Kaiser and 400 other companies, and extensive operations for the CIA. It is the largest institution on the West Coast promoting mind control and behavioral sciences.

John Coleman also emphasizes:

Tavistock and like minded American Foundations have a single goal in mind - to break down the psychological strength of the individual and render him helpless to oppose dictators of the world.

One may read what Deanna Spingola has written:¹⁴⁸

International bankers fund the industrialists who control consumer energy, communications, transportation, pharmaceutical companies, and resource production. Industrialists socially engineer the culture through

¹⁴⁸Deanna Spingola: *The Ruling Elite: A Study in Imperialism, Genocide and Emancipation*, Trafford Publishers 2011.

their tax-exempt foundations, which influences our perceptions from kindergarten through graduate school. The foundations control organizations like the American Medical Association, the American Psychological Association and other organizations with ‘experts’ who disseminate and promote standards of behavior, medical practices, and health standards, policies and procedures. Industrialists control the politicians, professional plunderers who, despite their rhetoric, only pretend to serve public interests while lining their own pockets. Members of Congress enact legislation, based on what thousands of industrial lawyer/lobbyists concoct, often without so much as a glance at what they are signing. Representative government ends when the polls close.

In a fascinating article dated 21 March 2011 Cartalucci¹⁴⁹ has described the prominent members of various “think” tanks, but more importantly he has given the names of the individuals, corporations and banks which fund the various “think” tanks. For instance the International Crisis Group is funded by Carnegie Corporation of New York, Hunt Alternatives Fund, Open Society Institute, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Morgan Stanley, Deutsche

¹⁴⁹Tony Cartalucci: *Naming Names; Your Real Government*; March 21, 2011
<http://landdestroyer.blogspot.com/2011/03/naming-names-your-real-government.html>

Bank Group, Soros Fund Management LLC, McKinsey & Company, Chevron, Shell. Its prominent Board members are George Soros, Kenneth Adelman, Samuel Berger, Wesley Clark, Mohamed ElBaradei and Carla Hills. Does that sound familiar? Its advisers include former CIA and State Department official Richard Armitage (does one ever quit the “company”?), Brzezinski and Shimon Peres. Now you can understand who was driving the so called “Arab Spring” and who did El Baradei represent.

The much touted Brookings Institution has the following organizations and individuals funding it: Ford Foundation, Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, The Rockefeller Foundation, Government of the United Arab Emirates, Carnegie Corporation of New York, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Bank of America, Citi, Goldman Sachs, H&R Block, Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co, Jacob Rothschild, Nathaniel Rothschild, Standard Chartered Bank, Temasek Holdings Limited, Visa Inc., Exxon Mobil Corporation, Chevron, Shell Oil Company, Daimler, General Dynamics Corporation, Lockheed Martin Corporation, Northrop Grumman Corporation, Siemens Corporation, The Boeing Company, General Electric Company, Westinghouse Electric Corporation, Raytheon Co., Hitachi, Ltd., Toyota, AT & T, Google Corporation, Hewlett-Packard, Microsoft Corporation, Panasonic Corporation, Verizon Communications, Xerox Corporation, Skype, McKinsey & Company, Inc., News Corporation (Fox News), GlaxoSmithKline, Target, PepsiCo, Inc. and the Coca-Cola Company. These are 44 organisa-

tions and individuals. So for whose interests does the famed Brookings Institution, and its great analysts and thinkers, work? A similar list can be drawn for the Council on Foreign Relations and the mother of them all, the Royal Institute of International Affairs. Cartalucci concludes:¹⁵⁰

These organizations represent the collective interests of the largest corporations on earth. They not only retain armies of policy wonks and researchers to articulate their agenda and form a consensus internally, but also use their massive accumulation of unwarranted influence in media, industry, and finance to manufacture a self-serving consensus internationally.

To believe that this corporate-financier oligarchy would subject their agenda and fate to the whims of the voting masses is naive at best. They have painstakingly ensured that no matter who gets into office, in whatever country, the guns, the oil, the wealth and the power keep flowing perpetually into their own hands. Nothing vindicates this poorly hidden reality better than a “liberal” Nobel Peace Prize wearing president, dutifully towing forward a myriad of “Neo-Con” wars, while starting yet another war in Libya.

¹⁵⁰ *Ibid*

Col. Fletcher Prouty has described the actual mechanism of how the High Cabal and its collaborators manage to control and direct U.S. Government policies. He defines the “Secret Team” as follows:¹⁵¹

The Secret Team (ST) being described herein consists of security cleared individuals in and out of government who receive secret intelligence data gathered by the CIA and the National Security Agency (NSA) and who react to those data, when it seems appropriate to them, with paramilitary plans and activities, e.g. training and “advising” - a not exactly impenetrable euphemism for such things as leading into battle and actual combat - Laotian tribal troops, Tibetan rebel horsemen, or Jordanian elite Palace Guards ...

In place of Laotian tribal belt one may currently substitute Pakistani or Syrian or Iraqi tribal belt! The Secret Team works for the Elite as may be gauged from the following description by Prouty:¹⁵²

The power of the Team derives from its vast intra-governmental undercover infrastructure and its direct relationship with great private industries, mutual funds and investment houses, universities, and the news media, including foreign and domestic publishing houses.

¹⁵¹L. Fletcher Prouty: *The Secret Team: The CIA and its Allies in Control of the World*.

¹⁵²*Ibid*

The Secret Team has very close affiliations with elements of power in more than three-score foreign countries and is able when it chooses to topple governments, to create governments, and to influence governments almost anywhere in the world . . . The Secret Team does not like criticism, investigation, or history and is always prone to see the world as divided into but two camps “Them” and “Us”.

Servando Gonzalez points out that the CFR manages “*to surround U.S. Presidents with a dark curtain of disinformation in the form of a large group of its secret agents, most of them grouped in the National Security Council.*”¹⁵³

Spingola remarks that representative government ends with the elections after which teams of Elite agents assume charge. In fact the elections are also a farce as pointed out by Eustace Mullins,¹⁵⁴ one of the deepest students of the World Order:

The Rothschilds rule the U.S. through their Foundations, the Council on Foreign Relation, and the Federal Reserve System, with no serious challenges to their power. Expen-

¹⁵³Servando Gonzalez: *Psychological Warfare and the New World Order*; www.psywarandnwo.com, 2010, p 36.

¹⁵⁴Eustace Mullins: *The World Order A Study into the Hegemony of Parasitism*; first published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA, USA.

sive “political campaigns” are routinely conducted, with carefully screened candidates who are pledged to the program of the World Order. Should they deviate from the program, they would have an “accident”, be framed on a sex charge, or indicted on some financial irregularity. Senator Moynihan stated in his book *Loyalties*, “A British friend, wise in the ways of the world, put it thus: They are on page 16 of the Plan.” Moynihan prudently did not ask what page 17 would bring.

Note the elimination of Ron Paul from the 2012 Presidential election campaign through the corporate media and through money poured against him. He had challenged the Federal Reserve and had written a book *End the Fed*.¹⁵⁵ He had been pursuing the issue of audit of the Federal Reserve. Despite his gains in the nomination rounds he was systematically ignored by the media, which subsequently targeted him with allegations of racist and homophobic remarks! Afraid of the international bankers, the donors seem to have backed off, starving him of funds. He then announced that on account of lack of funds he was dropping out of the race! May be he was lucky. For had he won his nomination, and had he appeared to head for victory in the Presidential election, he would, most likely, have been killed.

¹⁵⁵Paul, Ron: *End the Fed*. New York, NY: Grand Central Publishing. ISBN 978-0-446-54919-6. OCLC 318878539, 2009.

The truth of the assessment by Mullins can be gauged from the record of U.S. Presidential elections over the last 60 years. Eisenhower and Adlai Stevenson fought the 1952 as well as 1956 Presidential elections - both were CFR members.¹⁵⁶ In 1960 JFK fought against CFR member Richard Nixon. When JFK was assassinated in 1963, Lyndon Johnson replaced him - Johnson was a stooge of the military-industrial complex and committed the U.S. to the Vietnam war whereas JFK was trying to disengage from that region. In 1968 CFR member Nixon defeated another CFR member Hubert Humphrey. In 1972 Nixon defeated fellow CFR member George McGovern. When Nixon was forced to resign in 1974 Gerald Ford became President - Ford was a CFR member and appointed Rockefeller as Vice-President! Ford was also a member of the Warren Commission that covered up the JFK murder. In 1976 President Jimmy Carter was elected - he was a member of the Trilateral Commission, founded in 1973 by Rockefeller money. In 1980 Ronald Reagan defeated Carter - Reagan's Vice-President George H.W. Bush was a CFR member and after the attack on Reagan, and his subsequent illness, became very influential, becoming President in 1988. Bush lost to another CFR member Bill Clinton in 1992. In 2000 George Bush, member of Skull and Bones secret

¹⁵⁶The deep Eisenhower connection to the Elite may be found in Eustace Mullins: *World Order - A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA, USA, 1984; one may also see Mujahid Kamran: *The Murder of General Patton*, posted at www.mujahidkamran.com

society, contested against Al Gore another CFR member. Bush's Vice-President for eight years Dick Cheney was a former CFR Director! Obama, who is Kissinger's protege, defeated CFR member John McCain in 2008 and Mitt Romney in 2012, the latter's campaign and nomination secured through powerful bankers. The U.S. presidential elections are a farce in the sense that only candidates pre-selected and screened by Elite contest these elections. The people of the U.S. are offered a choice between two Elite agents. The media does not, rather cannot, tell the truth about these matters to the honest and trusting people of the United States of America. The entire electoral system been quietly, systematically and irrevocably, hijacked by the Elite.

Servando Gonzalez has made a very important observation:¹⁵⁷

Between 1960 and 1995, violent crime has increased in the U.S. by 560 percent, single-mother births rose 419 percent, and both divorce rates and children living in single-parent homes increased by 300 percent. How come this country has changed so much in such a short period of time? Even more important, how come only a few Americans noticed this social phenomenon of radical transformation when it began two or three decades ago?

¹⁵⁷Servando Gonzalez: *Psychological Warfare and the New World Order*; www.psywarandnwo.com, 2010, p 23.

Only a revolution can produce such drastic changes in a country in such a short time. Therefore, I don't think it would be an exaggeration to say that this country has experienced a revolutionary change. But revolutions don't happen spontaneously or by chance. They are the direct result of the actions of professional revolutionaries who conspire in the shadows to overthrow the established system of society and replace it with a different one. Nevertheless, there was no revolution in the U.S. in the past fifty years - at least not an overt one. May it be that America has experienced a subtle, covert revolution?

Add to this the ten millions homes that have been foreclosed since 2007 without the slightest resistance. There is indeed a war being waged on the American people, economic, political and psychological. Do the American people feel and understand it? Or are they anesthetized?

1.11 Poverty, Debt Bondage and Dictatorship

The control of the Elite has had a most damaging effect on the U.S. The Elite has taken control of the U.S. in such a way that it is now not possible to break its shackle-hold through the constitutional process. The Elite owns the media, the banks, the defense industry

and controls the academia, the Congress and the Senate. Except for a few brave exceptions the elected representatives work for the interests of the Elite and not the people. The judicial system has been largely compromised and, under the cloak of State Secrets Privilege, the U.S. courts have allowed the U.S. government to get away with crimes of a serious nature, including 9/11. Essentially the entire U.S. political system has been hijacked and the constitution is being defied and dismantled for the sake of the NWO. Since the resistance to such undemocratic changes comes from the middle class, a systematic effort, beginning in the nineteen eighties, or even earlier, has been initiated to destroy the vast American middle class.

Senator Bernie Sanders spoke in the House of Representatives on 2nd December 2010, on the continued destruction of the working families of the United States by the Elite. Referring to this onslaught he said:¹⁵⁸

There is a war going on in this country and I am not referring to the war in Iraq or the war in Afghanistan. I am talking of war being waged by some of the wealthiest and most powerful people in this country against the working families of the United States of America against the disappearing and shrink-

¹⁵⁸Bernie Sanders: The speech can be seen and heard at www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tq1zpHF0J04. The text of the speech may be read at <http://timnovo.wordpress.com/2010/12/04/bernie-sanders-amazing-speech-in-text-1-of-2/>

ing middle class of our country. The reality is that many of the nations' billionaires are on the war path - they want more, more, more - their greed has no end and apparently there is very little concern for our country or for the people of this country if it gets in the way of the accumulation of more and more wealth and more and more power.

This is not just plain greed. The destruction of the middle class is malevolently and ideologically predesigned. The middle class, with its strengths, is an obstacle in the way of the New World Order, and must be eliminated, or rendered impotent. He added:

Mr. President if you can believe this - you know many people out there are angry, they are wondering what is happening to their own income, to their lives, the lives of their kids - since between 1980 and 2005, eighty percent (80%) of all new income created in this country went to the top one percent 1%. And that's why people are wondering and asking: "What's going on in my life - how come I am working longer hours for lower wages, how come I am worrying about whether my kids will have as good a standard of living as I had".

The people of the U.S. represent the finest part of mankind and the international bankers know it. The Americans are blessed with wonderful qualities of the head and

heart - this is unacceptable for those who want to set up the New World Order. It is not every day that Nature creates and molds a nation with such qualities, with such integrity, with such abilities, such openness and courage, as the ordinary Americans possess. They are mankind's greatest asset, and are, or perhaps were (it is probably a bit late now - maybe not), the only group that could have emancipated mankind and helped it stand up with dignity. As H.G. Wells, another intellectual slave of the Elite, put it in his book *New World Order*:¹⁵⁹

Countless people . . . will hate the new world order . . . and will die protesting against it. When we attempt to evaluate its promise, we have to bear in mind the distress of a generation or so of malcontents, many of them quite gallant and graceful-looking people.

The Elite destroyed the Germans, the finest nation at that time, during and after the two wars. Listen to the lament of General Patton, who was murdered in what

¹⁵⁹H.G. Wells: *The New World Order*; 1940. The writing may be seen at, and downloaded from http://www.theforbiddenknowledge.com/hardtruth/new_world_order_hgwells.htm

It is remarkable that the term New World Order was employed in 1940 so openly showing that the Elite had already planned a One World Government, a New World Order, and had decided to go ahead with the plan regardless of the cost in human life. It is around the same time that the pyramid appeared on the dollar bill. FDR and his Vice-President Henry Wallace were both freemasons of the 32nd degree as was President Truman.

was made to look like an accident, because he understood that U.S. policy during and after the war was designed to build the Communists:¹⁶⁰

Actually the Germans are the only decent people left in Europe. It's a choice between them and the Russians. I prefer the Germans What we are doing is to destroy the only semi-modern state in Europe, so that Russia can swallow the whole.

If the American citizens do not rise quickly and with full force to meet the Elite intrigue in time, the Elite will destroy the U.S. It will almost certainly do so before there is such an awakening among the people that they are able to organize a sustained and successful movement against the hijacking of their country by a cabal of families, some of whom do not even reside in the U.S. and yet own it. The advice of Professor Peter Dale Scott is highly instructive:¹⁶¹

U.S. citizens should study Germany in the 1930s, to see how a civilized nation, under stress, momentarily lost track of its inherent moral virtues and lapsed into a disastrous course of repression, xenophobia, and ultimately war. Most of us in America, including myself, have experienced the same

¹⁶⁰Martin Blumenson: *The Patton Papers* 1940-1945; De Capo Press 1996.

¹⁶¹Peter Dale Scott: *The Road to 9/11: Wealth, Empire, and the Future of America*; University of California Press 2007.

powerlessness that ‘good Germans’ did under Hitler. They too were vaguely aware that members of another ethnic group were being rounded up and illegally detained, yet they too felt unable to do anything about it.

He points that the memoir of Sebastian Haffner, written in 1939 (*Defying Hitler: A Memoir*), has a “chilling relevance to the situation, ‘good Americans’ find themselves in.” Professor Scott quotes from Haffner:

It was just this automatic continuation of ordinary life that hindered any lively, forceful reaction against the horror. I have described how the treachery and cowardice of the leaders of the opposition prevented their organisations being used against the Nazis and offering any resistance. That still leaves the question why no individual ever spontaneously opposed some particular injustice or iniquity they experienced, even if they did not act against the whole . . . It was hindered by the mechanical continuation of daily life.

The Americans have stood up in large numbers but the “mechanical continuation of daily life” and a mindset created from school days, reinforced 24/7 by the corporate media, a media which is nothing but a propaganda arm of the banking cabal, has, thus far, prevented the great mass from joining them.¹⁶² Amid widespread dis-

¹⁶²In his article *The Engineering of Consent*, Edward Bernays wrote:

content, America's descent into dictatorship and eventual bloodshed continues. This will also lead to the eventual subjugation of U.S. sovereignty by outside forces such as NATO, headquartered in Belgium and controlled by the Rothschilds.

This cabal always moves fast and acts ruthlessly. It plans decades and centuries in advance.¹⁶³ It murders any important person who is, or can, at a future date, become a threat to its grip on the U.S. or any important Western or non-Western nation. The murders of Gen-

Primarily, however, the engineer of consent must create news. News is not an inanimate thing. It is the overt act that makes news, and news in turn shapes the attitudes and actions of people. A good criterion as to whether something is or is not news is whether the event juts out of the pattern of routine. The developing of events and circumstances that are not routine is one of the basic functions of the engineer of consent. Events so planned can be projected over the communication systems to infinitely more people than those actually participating, and such events vividly dramatize ideas for those who do not witness them.

¹⁶³It was only a few years ago that a friend of mine asked a very popular U.S. diplomat in Lahore as to whether he was not bothered by the loss of U.S. prestige due to the passage of undemocratic laws in the U.S. His answer was that "we" are not looking at where the U.S. would be twenty years from now - "we" are looking at where the U.S. would be a thousand years from now! Michael Ruppert has repeatedly said that the outcome of the events after 9/11 "will determine the course of history for the next five hundred years." Michael Ruppert *The Truth and Lies of 9 11* <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fZNvSX3A7pc>

eral Patton, of Daag Hammerskjold, of JFK, of Martin Luther King Jr., of Patrice Lumumba, Salvador Allende, Princess Diana,¹⁶⁴ and many others, are all part of their overall strategy to control this globe. This cabal carries within its being, infinite hatred for Christianity and Islam. That is why movies and films and books belittling Jesus Christ (pbuh) and Prophet Muhammad (pbuh) are made and published from time to time. There has never been a derogatory movie about the great Prophets Abraham (pbuh) and Moses (pbuh).¹⁶⁵ The ban on usury in both religions is one major reason for their dislike of these great religions. It is a threat for them.

Starving Christian and Muslim nations, killing in massive numbers, and imposing the harshest and most inhuman collective and individual penalties is part of the nature of the “High Cabal”. That said one may note that the Jews have also not been spared. The Jewish writer Edwin Black has uncovered the dramatic pact between the Third Reich and the banker supported Zionist leadership¹⁶⁶ whereby the Third Reich “transferred some 60,000 Jews and \$100 million - almost \$800 million in 1984 dollars - to Jewish Palestine.” Had the bankers

¹⁶⁴See the following book: Jon King and John Beveridge: *Princess Diana The Evidence; How MI-6 and the CIA were Involved in the Death of Princess Diana*; Specialist Press International, New York, 2008.

¹⁶⁵This is not to suggest that such films be made or such books published.

¹⁶⁶Edwin Black: *The Transfer Agreement: The Dramatic Story of the Pact Between the Third Reich and Jewish Palestine*; Dialog Press 2003.

not built Hitler (if any one has any doubt about this he/she should read the books of Eustace Mullins and Antony Sutton cited earlier in this article) there would have been no Holocaust. One consequence of Jewish repression was the immigration of Jews to different parts of the world, including Palestine, which suited the designs of the Elite.

Look at the fate of Iraq and Afghanistan where tens of millions have died in ongoing conflict and where radioactive debris from depleted uranium is wreaking havoc on the living and the newborn. The cancer rate in Fallujah, Iraq, is higher than the cancer rate in Hiroshima and Nagasaki! Whistleblower Susan Lindauer states in her book¹⁶⁷ that the U.N. had direction *only* to mention deaths of Iraqi children *under* age 5 and grownups *over* 60 as having been caused by lack of medicine. Despite this utterly dishonest and shameless U.S. imposed restriction, the number of deaths from 1990 -2003 stood at 1.7 million! At this point in time the Iraqi death count alone is anywhere between 4-5 million. When the Elite agent Madeline Albright was once asked if she regretted the restrictions on Iraq that led to the deaths of hundreds of thousands of children, owing to non-availability of medicines, she replied like a Shakespearean witch: "It was worth it!"

Look at the treatment meted out to those in Soviet concentration camps where an estimated 60 to 70 million died in the fifty year period from 1917-1967. The

¹⁶⁷Susan Lindauer: *Extreme Prejudice - The Terrifying Story of the Patriot Act And the Cover Ups of 9/11 and Iraq*; 2010, p 65.

great agent of the international bankers, Leon Trotsky, who entered Russia on an American passport issued at the behest of President Woodrow Wilson, wrote about Russia:¹⁶⁸

We must turn her into a desert populated with white Niggers. We will impose upon them such a tyranny that was never dreamt by the most hideous despots of the East. The peculiar trait of that tyranny is that it will be imposed from the left rather than the right and it will be red rather than white in color.

The tyranny that is being imposed on the people of the U.S. a century later comes from the 'right'. Both 'right' and 'left' are created, and controlled, by the dialectical international bankers. Senator Bernie Sanders concluded his December 2, 2010 speech in the House of Representatives with the following words:¹⁶⁹

So Mr. President, I think that is where we are. We got to own up to it. There is a

¹⁶⁸This quote may be found on <http://incogman.net/revealing-quotes-2/> This website keeps going down on account of its exposure of the true mindset of the Zionists. But incogman.net comes back soon after being knocked out.

¹⁶⁹Bernie Sanders: The speech can be seen and heard at www.youtube.com/watch?v=Tq1zpHF0J04 The text of the speech may be read at <http://timnovo.wordpress.com/2010/12/04/bernie-sanders-amazing-speech-in-text-1-of-2/>

war going on. The middle class is struggling for existence and they are taking on some of the most wealthiest, more powerful forces in the world whose greed has no end. And if we don't begin to stand together and start representing those families there will not be a middle class in this country.

Human suffering does not stir the souls of this cabal - they are full of contempt for the suffering of mankind. They relish human suffering and profit from it - recall the 1871 Rothschild dictum: "The time to buy is while there is blood on the streets." Look at how the ordinary Germans were starved after the two World Wars. Just read the following words from former MI6 agent Dr. John Coleman, whose soul revolted after having seen certain classified documents:¹⁷⁰

The two World Wars cost the German nation almost one quarter of its population. Most of the intellectual energies of the German nation were diverted into war channels in defense of the Fatherland at the expense of science, arts, literature, music and the cultural, spiritual and moral advancement of the nation. The same could be said of the British nation. The blaze kindled under the direction of Tavistock set all of Europe on fire, and did incalculable damage accord-

¹⁷⁰See footnote/ref 25.

ing to the Tavistock blueprint that matched Spengler's predictions.

The noble, humane and upright Gideon Polya, himself Jewish, has counted¹⁷¹ the number of avoidable deaths between 1950 and 2005. The number stands at 1.3 billion! Of these almost half, 600 million, are Muslims. It is Gideon Polya who has used the term Muslim Holocaust for these unparalleled numbers, one hundred times larger than the Jewish Holocaust. And the Muslims themselves, caught in their petty prejudices and engulfed in ignorance, have not even bothered to count their own dead! While the Muslims sleep in ignorance and as a consequence suffer defeat and disintegration repeatedly,¹⁷² the Jewish scholar Gideon Polya is the one

¹⁷¹Gideon Polya: *Global Avoidable Mortality Since 1950*; Melbourne, Australia: gpolyaoptusnet.com.au, 2007.

¹⁷²The late Professor Abdus Salam, Pakistan's only Nobel Laureate, has the following to say:

Why am I so passionately advocating our engaging this enterprise of creating scientific knowledge? This is not just because Allah has endowed us with the urge to know, this is not just because in the conditions of today this knowledge is power and science in application the major instrument of material progress - it is also that as members of the international community, one feels that lash of contempt for us - unspoken but still there - of those who create this knowledge.

I can still recall a Nobel Prize Winner in Physics some years ago, from a European country, saying this to me: "Salam, do you really think we have an obligation to succour, aid and keep alive those nations

who has been agitating the issue of the Bengali Holocaust in which 5 to 7 million, mostly Muslim, Bengalis died on account of the deliberate policy of Churchill. The Famine of Bengal was man-made and deliberately created. It is Gideon Polya who has talked of the Iraqi Holocaust. He has filed a complaint with the International Criminal Court (ICC) with regard to genocide of Muslims in various regions.¹⁷³ All these Holocausts are a consequence of deliberate policies pursued by the One World Cabal which controls the U.S. and British governments. This cabal does not allow mankind one moment of peace, not a moment of rest or respite. Mankind is in perpetual turmoil, perpetual confusion and perpetual suffering while this cabal spreads its poison and its tentacles ruthlessly and relentlessly with malevolent silence.

The Elite is the enemy of traditional religion such as traditional Judaism,¹⁷⁴ Christianity and Islam. Reli-

who have never created or added one iota to man's stock of knowledge?" And even if he had not said this, my self-respect suffers a terrible hurt whenever I enter a hospital and find that almost every potent life-saving medicament of today, from penicillin to interferon, has been created without our share of input from any of us in the Third World, or from the Arab-Islamic lands.

Abdus Salam: *The Renaissance of Sciences in Islamic Countries*; Editors: H.R. Dalafi and M.H.A. Hassan; World Scientific 1994.

¹⁷³See e.g. <https://sites.google.com/site/muslimholocaustmuslim/genocide/>

¹⁷⁴The traditional Jews, followers of the Torah, are not only opposed to the international bankers, who claim a dubious Jewish

gious values make a human being strong. Such human beings do not fit into the mold of the New World Order where absolute compliance and obsequiousness on the part of the common man is integral to the smooth working of the envisioned set up. The greatest resistance to dictatorial regimes in the past century has come from those with faith. Faith must therefore be attacked in different ways so as to make people faithless.

In order to pave the way for repression within the U.S., highly disturbing and frightening legislation has been carried out. In addition, Executive Orders, whose constitutional validity is questionable, have been issued. In 2006 and 2007 two laws were enacted, the Military Commissions Act of 2006 and the John Warner Defense Authorization Act of 2007. The latter gives the power to the U.S. President, if he deems fit, to use the military to quell any disturbance or disorder in any State without the permission of the Governor of that State. Thus the Posse Comitatus Act of 1878 was undone. The MCA dealt a death blow to fundamental rights such as the right against detention without trial, the right to speedy trial in front of a jury, the right to appeal, etc. This law violates the U.S. constitution, but who cares. It has been amended further in 2009 under Obama (see the next para). As if this was not enough the National Defense Authorisation Act of 2011 (NDAA) was signed

origin, they have been side-lined by the Elite. David Icke has coined the term Rothschild Zionist to describe the One World Cabal and its agents. Most Jews are not Rothschild Zionists, nor does one have to be Jewish to belong to this group.

into law on December 31, 2011 by President Obama. The National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA) allows for the indefinite military detention and internment of U.S. citizens. There will be no appeal against such detention in any court of law. Professor Chossudovsky states that the NDAA “repeals the U.S. constitution.” It is astonishing that earlier, in 2006, Obama opposed the NDAA and was one of the 34 Senators who opposed the legislation! This goes to show that either the earlier opposition to NDAA by Obama was an Elite deception designed to build him in the public eye, or the President of the U.S. is virtually powerless before the corporate forces that install him in power.

The destruction of the writ of Habeas Corpus and the undoing of the concept of separation of powers in the U.S. constitution has been described by Jean-Claude Paye in the following words:¹⁷⁵

The National Defense Authorization Act signed by President Obama on the 31st December 2011 authorises the indefinite detention, without trial or indictment, of any U.S. citizens designated as enemies by the executive. The individuals concerned are not only those who have been captured on the field of battle, but also those who have never left the United States or participated in any military

¹⁷⁵ Jean-Claude Paye: *The Suspension of Habeas Corpus in America*; <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-suspension-of-habeas-corpus-in-america/5311701>; November 14, 2012.

action. The law concerns any person designated by the administration as “a member of Al-Qaeda or the Taliban, and who takes part in hostile action against the United States”, but also anyone who “substantially supports these organisations”. This formula enables an extensive and flexible use of the law. For example, it would enable the government to lash out at any civil defence organisations who seek to protect the constitutional rights of U.S. citizens who have been designated by the executive as enemies of the USA.

Jean-Claude Paye further writes:¹⁷⁶

Obama has reversed the method of organisation which was handed down by the Age of Enlightenment. For Montesquieu, the objective was to prevent the concentration of political power in a single authority. In order to do this, the powers balance and limit each other. Obama, on the contrary, has opened a breach in the exercise of state power in such a way that the legal authorities can no longer exercise control over the power of the executive. The separation of powers has been abandoned in favour of an absence of limits for Presidential action. This form of organisation is valid for a nation in a state of

¹⁷⁶ *Ibid*

open war, whose existence is threatened by an external power. The Bush or Obama administrations consider that the authorisation granted by Congress in 2001 for the use of force against the authors of the 9/11 attacks is the equivalent of a declaration of war, like those which were voted during the Second World War. The field of application is however much wider, since the authorisation of 2001 permits the use of force not only against other nations, but also against organisations or even simple individuals.

The National Defense Authorization Act operates a mutation of the legal notion of hostility. Its declared aim is conflict against non-specified adversaries who do not threaten the integrity of the national territory. The struggle against terrorism provides a constantly renewed image of the enemy. It declares a permanent state of war, unbounded by frontiers, which blurs the distinction between interior and exterior, since it does not distinguish between U.S. citizens and soldiers of a foreign power. The political and legal structure, built from this new and asymmetric war, reverses the form of the rule of law. The law is no longer a reduction of the exception, but its continual extension.

In 1789, Samuel Adams, one of the founders of the Republic, wrote:

I have always been apprehensive that through the weakness of the human mind often discovered in even the wisest and best of men, or the perverseness of the interested, and designing in as well as out of Government, misconstructions would be given to the federal constitution, which would disappoint the views, and expectations, of the honest among those who acceded to it, and hazard the Liberty, Independence and Happiness of the People. I was particularly afraid that unless great care should be taken to prevent it, the Constitution in the Administration of it, gradually, but swiftly and imperceptibly, run into a consolidated Government pervading and legislating through all States, not for federal purposes only as it professes, but in all cases whatsoever: such a Government would soon annihilate the sovereignty of the several States so necessary to the support of the confederated Commonwealth, and sink both in despotism.

The above mentioned legislation, and many others, are a realization of the apprehensions of the far sighted Samuel Adams.

Another deathblow to the already disfigured and decimated constitutional government was given by the unconstitutional, sweeping and all encompassing Presidential Order issued by Obama on March 16, 2012. It carried the title: "*National Defense Resources Prepared-*

ness.” This astonishing order states that the U.S. President alone has the authority to take over ALL resources in order “to promote national defense.” The phrase “to promote national defense” is a vague phrase and may be interpreted in any way. To quote Joe Wolverton II:¹⁷⁷ “In fact the specific sections of the Order make it clear that the President can take complete command and control of the country’s natural resources in peacetime, as well.” Further this order delegates these all encompassing and unconstitutional Presidential powers to various Secretaries of the U.S. Government. In particular the powers are delegated to:¹⁷⁸

1. The Secretary of Agriculture with respect to food resources, food resource facilities, livestock resources, plant health resources, veterinary resources, plant health resources, and the domestic distribution of farm equipment and commercial fertilizer;
2. The Secretary of Energy with respect to all forms of energy
3. The Secretary of Health and Human Resources with respect to health resources
4. The Secretary of Transportation with respect to all

¹⁷⁷Joe Wolverton II: *Obama’s Executive Order Authorizes Peacetime Martial Law*;
<http://www.thenewamerican.com/usnews/politics/11232-presidents-executive-order-authorizes-peacetime-martial-law>

¹⁷⁸Executive Order - National Defense Resource Preparedness; the order may be read on the U.S. Government White House website: <http://www.whitehouse.gov/the-press-office/2012/03/16/executive-order-national-defense-resources-preparedness>

forms of civil transportation

5. The Secretary of Defense with respect to water resources

6. The Secretary of Commerce with respect to all other materials, services, and facilities, including construction materials

To quote Brandon Turbeville:¹⁷⁹ “What all this means is that the U.S. government now claims the power to simply march onto your farm with guns drawn and demand all your crops, seeds, livestock and farm equipment.” Is this not what the communists did with the Russians after the Bolshevik “Revolution”? The U.S. Government has given itself the power to seize control of everything an American citizen can possibly own. The definition of food resources for instance clearly shows that the Secretary of Agriculture can, at any time, literally take away “every form of food and food-related product that could in any way be beneficial to human survival.”

No wonder, former Assistant Secretary of Treasury, Paul Craig Roberts wrote:¹⁸⁰

The United States has collapsed economically, socially, politically, legally, constitu-

¹⁷⁹Brandon Turbeville: *Police State USA: New Obama Executive Order Seizes U.S. Infrastructure and Citizens for Military Preparedness*;

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=29835>

¹⁸⁰Paul Craig Roberts: *America's Descent into Poverty*; August 24, 2012 <http://www.infowars.com/americas-descent-into-poverty/>

tionally, and environmentally. The country that exists today is not even a shell of the country into which I was born. As Peter Edelman says, “Low-wage work is pandemic.” Today in “freedom and democracy” America, “the world’s only superpower,” one fourth of the work force is employed in jobs that pay less than \$22,000, the poverty line for a family of four. Some of these lowly-paid persons are young college graduates, burdened by education loans, who share housing with three or four others in the same desperate situation. Other of these persons are single parents only one medical problem or lost job away from homelessness. Others might be Ph.D.s teaching at universities as adjunct professors for \$10,000 per year or less. Education is still touted as the way out of poverty, but increasingly is a path into poverty or into enlistments into the military services.

The Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has already placed an order for 1.6 billion hollow point bullets.¹⁸¹ The DHS is a police department. For whom and

¹⁸¹Brett Redmayne-Titley: *D.H.S. Buys 750,000,000 Rounds of Hollow Point Ammo to Shoot YOU?* <http://www.opednews.com/articles/D-H-S-Buys-750-000-000-Ro-by-Brett-Redmayne-Tit-120815-104.html>; Also see: *DHS won't explain its order of 450 million hollow point bullets*: <http://rt.com/usa/news/dhs-million-point-government-179/>;

for what purpose does it need so many hollow point bullets, bullets that are banned by Geneva Convention? It may be noted that at the height of full scale war in Iraq 70 million bullets were used in one year. Gordon Duff, editor of *Veterans Today*, has written¹⁸² how Israelis are now training DHS! It is instructive, and disturbing, to read his article on the “Israelisation” of the DHS. Israel, one must remember, is a Rothschild controlled state.

The massive scale on which DHS has ordered bullets, and its “Israelisation” may point to something Eustace Mullins wrote in 1985, particularly if one bears in mind that the state of Israel is a Rothschild state. He was asked by people that with the kind of influence the Elite agents, communists, as he refers to them, will “seize absolute power in the U.S. as they did in Russia in 1917?” He points out that there are 200,000,000 guns in the U.S. He writes:

A confidential Ford Foundation study showed that only 5 to 10% Americans would actively resist a Communist seizure of power. This was good news. The bad news was that only 1% of our citizens, armed and opposing the takeover, would defeat it. Since 1948, Americans have asked this writer when the Communists will seize power in the U.S. The answer is that they will seize power when they

Also see *1.6 Billion Rounds of Ammo For Homeland Security?* www.forbes.com, 11 March 2013.

¹⁸²Gordon Duff: *US Police Forces Being Israelized*: <http://www.presstv.ir/detail/255710.html>

have confiscated the 200,000,000 guns.

Since the guns have not yet been confiscated despite numerous attempts at banning guns, the Elite has erected and / or exploited the threat of “Terrorism”. On the pretext of countering “Terrorism”, the Elite has launched a massive surveillance program along with arming domestic U.S. agencies to the teeth. This may well explain why the DHS is purchasing 1.6 billion hollow point bullets. These will be employed in quelling any possible resistance that might develop or erupt against the totalitarianism now haunting the U.S. This does not augur well for the U.S. and the rest of the world. One must never forget the ability of the Elite to be ruthless beyond human imagination.¹⁸³

¹⁸³In an interview given to Bloomberg on November 23, 2010, Sir Evelyn de Rothschild was asked if the European project was in danger. In his reply he alluded to a breakup of the U.S. He said:

But you know, people are so anxious to see a downfall of the euro or the European Union: I think it's wrong. If I may say something that may be rather sensitive: Have you looked at the problems of the United States? Have you looked at how many of the actual states of the United States are bankrupt? California; Michigan; uhm... What about the breakup of the United States, if you look at it comparing to what's happened in Europe?

Was the allusion to the breakup of the U.S. a retort or a slip? Technically Sir Evelyn de Rothschild is British and belongs to a nation, that is apparently a non-Euro nation (but in reality it is). Why then such a retort? Or is it that the Elite has planned something? Sir Evelyn de Rothschild is the current head of the Rothschild dynasty. The above interview can be watched at:

Susanne Posel and many other writers have pointed out how the DHS and the U.S. military leaders are planning to impose martial law. Susanne Posel writes:¹⁸⁴

Informants and military personnel are coming forth anonymously to confirm that martial law “is right around the corner.” However, right now we are under a silent martial law and citizens are reporting strange and unexplainable activity from the U.S. armed forces and multiple federal agencies that point to a covert preparatory operation to completely lock down America in the very near future. Sources from multiple locations across the nation have independently confirmed that the U.S. military are repositioning soldiers in conjunction with allied foreign troops in the initial stages of martial law. The U.S.

<http://www.godlikeproductions.com/forum1/message1265809/pg1>.
The transcript can be read at (footnote continued on next page):
<http://www.abeldanger.net/2012/07/november-2010-bloomberg-interviews.html>

There have been rumors that in case of a national emergency the U.S. may be divided into three independent or autonomous zones. FEMA, the Federal Emergency Management Authority, the diabolical brainchild of two CFR members, intellectual slaves of the bankers, Samuel Huntington and Zbigniew Brzezinski, has the powers to go to any extent, in case of a national emergency. Any false flag operation will serve the purpose.

¹⁸⁴Susanne Posel: *DHS and U.S. Military make Final Preparations Before Announcing Martial Law*; Occupy Corporatism, August 8, 2012 <http://occupycorporatism.com/dhs-and-us-military-make-final-preparations-before-announcing-martial-law/>

military are secretly moving massive amounts of “equipment” across the country consistently for more than a year. In Phoenix, Arizona, tractor-trailers transporting tanks on public highways have been spotted. One witness stated that he saw these flatbeds multiple times in the month of June. Eye witness reports are coming primarily from the northern and southern Border States.

The U.S. must be transformed into a dictatorship, as emphasized by the Elite mouthpiece Brzezinski, before the Elite can take the U.S. into a war that will ravage the globe. The freedom loving American people must be forced to go along with the program of the New World Order, and any resistance liquidated ruthlessly. There must be no doubt about that in anyone’s mind.

Under the pretext of “security” against “terrorism”, domestic and international spying has been expanded on a frighteningly massive scale. Several thoroughly researched reports by Dana Priest and William Arkin in the *Washington Post*, that appeared in July and December of 2010 show the scale of domestic “counter-terrorism” operations, with all the attendant domestic spying, clearly. These reports were the result of more than two years of painstaking effort. On July 19, 2010 they wrote:¹⁸⁵

¹⁸⁵Dana Priest and William M. Arkin: *Top Secret America: A World Growing Beyond Control*; Washington Post, July 19, 2010 <http://projects.washingtonpost.com/top-secret-america/articles/a-hidden-world-growing-beyond-control/>

The top-secret world the government has created in response to the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001, has become so large, so unwieldy and so secretive that no one knows how much money it costs, how many people it employs, how many programs exist within it or exactly how many agencies do the same work.

In the report¹⁸⁶ of December 20, 2010 filed six months later they stated that there existed “a total of 3,984 federal, state and local organisations, each with its own counterterrorism responsibilities and jurisdictions.” They point out that at least 914 of these were created after 9/11 or became involved in counterterrorism. This is an average of 100 per annum or an average of two new agencies per week! They also pointed out: “Technologies and techniques honed for use on the battlefields of Iraq and Afghanistan have migrated into the hands of law enforcement agencies of America.” Against whom will these agencies, technologies and weapons be used? “Terrorists”? Where are the “terrorists” in U.S.? As pointed out by the indefatigable Professor Chossudovsky, any one who resists the New World Order is now, by definition, a “terrorist”.

Brzezinski, who like Kissinger appears to have been planted in the U.S. by the international bankers, has ominously stated (November 2012) that “populist re-

¹⁸⁶Dana Priest and William M. Arkin: *Top Secret America: Monitoring America*; Washington Post, December 20, 2010.

sistance” threatens to derail the drive towards a New World Order.¹⁸⁷ He stated this in a speech delivered in Poland. Paul Joseph Watson noted: “The remarks were made at an event for the European Forum For New Ideas (EFNI), an organization that advocates the transformation of Europe into an anti-democratic superstate, the very type of “bureaucratic external” control Brzezinski stressed was in jeopardy in his lecture.” Brzezinski, a native of Poland who has enjoyed a life of plenty in the U.S., “thanked” the U.S. by calling the notion of a 21st century as an American century, a “shared delusion.” For Brzezinski and his banker masters, the U.S. exists only to provide resources and cannon fodder for the international bankers to subjugate the rest of the world for them and then exist under the control of Elite controlled organizations like NATO, U.N., etc.¹⁸⁸

The Elite controlled U.S. now violates international law explicitly and shamelessly. It stands naked before the world with not even a fig leaf to cover. This is by design - the loss of American prestige abroad, and poor

¹⁸⁷Paul Joseph Watson: Brzezinski: “*Populist Democracy*” is Derailing the New World Order infowars.com, November 26, 2012.

¹⁸⁸In the proof-reading stage, this author came across a very disturbing post by Tim Brown on freedomoutpost.com dated August 6, 2013: *DHS Extinguishes 197 million People’s 4th Amendment Rights In Constitution Free Zones*. Apparently the DHS as quietly established zones “within 100 miles of the border . . . and the ocean” where **“the DHS can search your electronic belongings for no reason. We’re talking about no suspicion, no reasonable cause, nothing.** No reason whatsoever is required under their own regulations.” The U.S. constitution has been repeatedly battered and set aside since 9/11. (Emphasis in original)

morale at home, suits them. When the Elite will repress America violently, an ignorant brain-washed world will draw satisfaction from it, forgetting that the only human group with the strength to salvage humanity is being destroyed.

Hollywood director and film maker Aaron Russo states that Nick Rockefeller told him:¹⁸⁹

eleven months before 9/11 happened there was going to be an event and out of that event we are going to invade Afghanistan to run pipelines through the Caspian Sea, we are going to invade Iraq to take over the oil fields and establish a base in the Middle East, and we'd go after Chavez in Venezuela.

When Russo asked him what more did the Elite want when it had all the wealth and all the power, Rockefeller replied: "*The end goal is to get everybody chipped, to control the whole society, to have the bankers and the elite people control the whole world.*"

In his book on mass control Jim Keith states:¹⁹⁰

Agents of the world's elite have been long engaged in a war on the populace of Earth. Greed is the motivation for this war, a greed so pervasive that it encompasses the planet

¹⁸⁹Paul Joseph Watson: *Rockefeller Admitted Elite Goal of Microchipped Population* <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/january2007/290107rockefellergoal.htm>

¹⁹⁰Jim Keith: *Mass Control: Engineering Human Consciousness*; Adventures Unlimited Press, 1999, 2003.

and all of the beings on it, but in recent times a philosophy has been used to justify that greed. It is a philosophy of mass control, that ultimately aims at dictating every aspect of human life - even remolding man's perception of reality and himself.

The great fighter for humanity and the true American way of life, Eustace Mullins, who passed away in February 2010, who was never allowed a permanent job by the agents of the Elite, who could not get justice from a compromised judicial system when he was robbed of the earnings of his book *Secrets of the Federal Reserve*, wrote in *The World Order*:¹⁹¹

The World Order has no religious, political or economic program except World Slavery. Only by subduing all potential opposition can the parasite guarantee his position of lodging on the host. The World Order sets up countless groups to promote any type of idea, and then sets up other groups to fanatically oppose them, but the masters have no dedication to anything except slavery. As R.E. McMaster wrote in *The Reaper*, "The goal of international communism is not to

¹⁹¹ *The World Order, A Study in the Hegemony of Parasitism*; originally published by Ezra Pound Institute of Civilization, Stanton VA. First edition 1985

Books by Eustace Mullins can also be seen and downloaded at <http://archive.org/details/EustaceMullins>

destroy Western international debt capitalism. The goal of international communism is to enslave mankind at the behest of Western international debt capitalism.” This is all you can ever know about the present world situation, and it is all you need to know.

As the world moves towards a manipulated global war, instigated and manipulated by the international bankers like the two previous world wars, one is reminded of the Greek historian Tacitus who put it so well:

They have pillaged the world. When the land has nothing left for men who ravage everything, they scour the sea. If the enemy is rich they are greedy; if the enemy is poor they crave glory. Neither East nor West can sate their appetite. They are the only people on earth to covet wealth and poverty with equal craving. They plunder, they butcher, they ravish, and call it by the lying name of ‘empire’. They make a desert and call it ‘peace’

This is the deception sold to the Americans as the “American Peace”, the “Pax Americana” of the Project of New American Century. The Elite, hidden from public view, is driving this agenda through its paid for and purchased intellectuals, politicians, governments, agencies, generals, media persons and academicians, with all of whom it has a slave-master relationship.

Chapter 2

The Truth About Pearl Harbor

Note: The article is based on the work of Robert B. Stinnett, whose book *The Day of Deceit The Truth About FDR and Pearl Harbor* (Free Press, 2000) revealed, for the first time, that the attack on Pearl Harbor was the result of preplanned provocation by a coterie of leaders, including the then President of the United States of America. Pulitzer Prize winner John Toland wrote:

Step by Step, Stinnett goes through the prelude to war, using new documents to reveal the terrible secrets that have never before been disclosed to the public. It is disturbing that eleven presidents, including those I admired, kept the truth from the public until Stinnett's Freedom of Information Act re-

quests finally persuaded the Navy to release the evidence.

The novelist Gore Vidal had the following to say:

Many of us who are veterans of World War II's Pacific Theater of Operations have always suspected that the December 1941, Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor was deliberately provoked. A half century later, Robert Stinnett has come up with most of the smoking guns. *Day of Deceit* shows that the famous "surprise" attack was no surprise to our war-minded rulers, and that the three thousand American military men killed and wounded one Sunday morning in Hawaii were, to our rulers and their present avatars, a small price to pay for that 'global empire' over which we now so ineptly preside.

What would have happened if millions of American and British people, struggling with coupons and lines at the gas stations, had learned that in 1942 Standard Oil of New Jersey [Part of the Rockefeller empire] managers shipped the enemy's fuel through neutral Switzerland and that the enemy was shipping Allied fuel? Suppose that the public had discovered the Chase Bank in Nazi occupied Paris after Pearl Harbor was doing millions of dollars' worth business with the enemy with full knowledge of the Head Office in Manhattan [the Rockefeller

family among others]? Or that Ford trucks were being built for the German occupation troops in France with authorization from Dearborn, Michigan? Or that Colonel Sosthenes Behn, the head of the international American telephone conglomerate ITT, flew from New York to Madrid to Berne during the war to help improve Hitler's communications systems and improve the robot bombs that devastated London? Or that ITT built the Fockewulfs that dropped bombs on British and American troops? Or that crucial ball bearings were shipped to Nazi-associated customers in Latin America with the collusion of the vice-chairman of the U.S. War Production Board in partnership with Goering's cousin in Philadelphia when American forces were desperately short of them? Or that such arrangements were known about in Washington and either sanctioned or deliberately ignored?

Charles Higham

author of *Trading with The Enemy*

The Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor in 1941 enabled USA to enter the war in Europe, a war that the White House wished to enter for strategic reasons but could not, owing to the opposition of the American public and the Congress. It may, therefore, be of deep interest to know as to how it was that the Japanese were able to launch a "surprise" attack on Hawaii on December 7, 1941. The question is particularly relevant in the post 9/11 world.

Fortunately, researchers have been able to uncover

the terrible truth behind this attack as a result of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). A “treasure trove” of documented evidence implicating the then President Franklin Delano Roosevelt (FDR) and a coterie of confidantes with pre-planned provocation and foreknowledge of the Japanese attack was uncovered. The documents were hidden away in naval vaults and were concealed from nine Congressional inquiries, including the last one held during Clinton’s Presidency in 1995.

FDR was a Democrat. Republicans were immediately suspicious that the authorities knew about the attack but allowed it to happen in order to change the climate of public opinion in favor of entering the war in Europe. But it was only on December 7, 1999 that researcher Robert B Stinnett, who himself fought in the Pacific theater during WW II, published a book in which he presented irrefutable documentary evidence, not only confirming these doubts, but also showing that the attack resulted from preplanned and sustained provocation spread over a period of more than a year. The book¹ *Day of Deceit: The Truth about FDR and Pearl Harbor* represented seventeen years of persistent effort.

The trail begins with a letter written by Lt. Commander Arthur H. McCollum, Head of the Far East section of the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI) to FDR’s two most trusted advisers in the White House on October 7, 1940, fourteen months before the Japanese attack. A photograph of this letter, which he uncovered on Jan-

¹Robert B. Stinnett *Day of Deceit: The Truth about FDR and Pearl Harbor*; The Free Press 2000.

uary 24, 1995, is reproduced in Stinnett's book.

The two advisers were Captains Walter S. Anderson, Director ONI at the White House, with direct access to FDR, and Dudley W. Knox a naval strategist and chief of ONI library. After summarizing the strategic dangers that the situation in Europe posed to U.S. interests, the letter suggested an eight-point plan of provoking the Japanese into attacking Pearl Harbor. McCollum had seized upon a treaty between Japan, Germany and Italy, signed only ten days earlier, on September 27, 1940, whereby an attack on any one of the countries would be considered an attack on all three.

The eight point plan is reproduced below:²

9. It is believed that in the present state of political opinion the United States Government is incapable of declaring war against Japan without more ado; and it is barely possible that vigorous action on our part might lead the Japanese to modify their attitude. Therefore, the following course of action is suggested:

A. Make an arrangement with Britain for the use of British bases in the Pacific, particularly Singapore.

B. Make an arrangement with Holland for the use of base facilities and acquisition of

²McCollum's letter is reproduced on pages 262- 265 of Stinnett's book. In fact Stinnett used a camera to photograph many of the important documents that he was able to uncover, and has printed some of these in his book.

supplies in the Dutch East Indies.

C. Give all possible aid to the Chinese Government of Chiang-Kai-Shek

D. Send a division of long range heavy cruisers to the Orient, Phillipines, or Singapore.

E. Send two divisions of submarines to the Orient.

F. Keep the main strength of the U.S. fleet now in the Pacific in the vicinity of Hawaiian islands.

G. Insist that the Dutch refuse to grant Japanese demands for undue economic concessions, particularly oil.

H. Completely embargo all U.S. trade with Japan, in collaboration with a similar embargo imposed by the British Empire.

The aim of keeping the strength of the Pacific fleet around Hawaii, and of sending long range cruisers as well as submarine divisions to the Orient, was to create a sinister ambiguity that the U.S. was preparing to attack Japan. The letter ended with the following sentences:

10. If by these means Japan could be led to commit an overt act of war so much the better. In any case we should be prepared to accept the threat of war.

On October 8, the very next day, FDR held a three-hour meeting with Admiral Richardson, Commander in

Chief of the U.S. naval fleet. When Admiral Richardson heard the proposal he was outraged: “Mr. President senior officers of the Navy do not have the trust and confidence of the civilian leadership of this country that is essential for a successful prosecution of the war in the Pacific,” he said.³ His refusal to go along with Roosevelt cost him his career. He was relieved of his command in February 1941 by restructuring the U.S. Navy. The Navy was split into two fleets, the Atlantic Fleet and the Pacific Fleet. Command of the Pacific Fleet was given to a relatively junior officer Admiral Husband E. Kimmel. Richardson also quoted the President as having said⁴ *“Sooner or later the Japanese would commit an overt act against the United States and the nation would be willing to enter the war”*. The phrase “overt act” was a reproduction of McCollum’s phrase.

Stinnett observes:⁵

As McCollum’s eight action proposals began to be applied, relations with Japan deteriorated. With the New Year, three of his actions were in place: Action E, the dispatch of twenty-four U.S. Navy submarines to Manila; Action F, retaining the U.S. Fleet in Hawaiian waters; and Action G, the Dutch now refusing to supply Japan with oil and raw materials. Navy intelligence detected

³*Ibid*, p 10.

⁴*Ibid* p 11; also p 41.

⁵*Ibid*, p 28, 29.

the new Japanese attitude from an intercepted diplomatic radio messages sent by Foreign Minister Yosuke Matsuoka on January 30, 1941: “In view of the critical situation between the two countries we must be prepared for the worst.” Matsuoka directed his ambassador in Washington to change from what he called publicity and propaganda work and establish an espionage-gathering network within the United States.

Documentary evidence uncovered by Stinnett establishes that each of the eight points suggested by McCollum was acted upon in the subsequent days. In particular Stinnett links FDR directly to at least six of the eight proposals. He writes:⁶

President Roosevelt can be directly linked to ... six of McCollum’s proposed actions: namely **Actions B and G, curtailing Japanese access to natural resources of Southeast Asia** - for he met with Dutch officials and received Japanese intercepts concerning Japan-Dutch negotiations in 1940-41; **Action C, aid to China**: FDR directed the Administration’s China strategy which antagonized Japan’s leaders who were engaged in war with China. On September 25, 1940, the administration approved a \$25

⁶*Ibid* p 311-313. Emphasis in original.

million loan to China's U.S.-recognized government headed by Generalissimo Chiang-Kai-Shek. . . .

The conclusive evidence that links FDR or high-level administration officials to the eight-action proposals is as follows: **Action A: Arrange for U.S. use of British Pacific Bases.** Arrangements were made for U.S. use of Rabaul's Simpson Harbor, a British possession in New Britain in South Pacific, as USN Advance Pacific Base F. Orders came from Admiral Harold Stark, FDR's Chief of Naval Operations.

The most dangerous provocation was deployment of warships in or close to Japanese territorial waters. FDR himself took charge of these provocations - "pop-up" cruises as he called them. Stinnett quotes FDR:⁷

I just want them to keep popping up here and there and keep the Japs guessing, I don't mind losing one or two cruisers, but do not take a chance of losing five or six.

Stinnett further writes:⁸

Documentation that directly links FDR with McCollum's **Action D - sending U.S. Navy cruisers in provocative moves again-**

⁷*Ibid* p 9.

⁸*Ibid* p 312. Emphasis in original.

st Japan includes the following first discussion in the White House Feb 10, 1941. Present were President Roosevelt, Secretary of State Cordell Hull, Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson, Secretary of Navy Frank Knox, General George Marshall, Army Chief of Staff and Admiral Harold R. Stark, Chief of Navy Operations. Stark warned FDR that the cruises “will precipitate hostilities.”

Stinnett writes:⁹

From March through July 1941, White House records show that FDR ignored international law and dispatched naval task groups into Japanese waters on three such pop-up cruises.

Although Admiral Kimmel, Admiral Pacific Fleet, objected by saying that this could precipitate war, he did not know that this was precisely FDR’s intention. He wrote to Admiral Harold R. Stark that he “fought” to prevent the “ill advised pop-up cruises.”, expressing the apprehension “but the decision may go against me.”¹⁰

Stinnett further writes:

Action E: “Send U.S. submarines to the Orient.” See letter re dispatch of U.S. subs to Manila, from Admiral Harold Stark

⁹*Ibid* p 9.

¹⁰*Ibid* p 313.

to Admiral James O Richardson, Nov 12, 1940 PHPT 14, p 971, and U.S. Assistant Secretary of State Breckenridge Long, *War Diary* p 155. Long writes that twelve submarines were sent from Honolulu to Manila.

Action F: Retain the U.S. Fleet in Hawaiian waters. See discussion in this and following chapters. See the transcript of Oval Office audio tape of October 4, 1940, 48-61:1 (1) and (2) FDRL, in which President Roosevelt can be heard discussing “fool things that Japan might do.” . . .

Action H: A complete embargo of Japan. A total embargo was issued by the President on July 26, 1941. See Executive Order 8832, Federal Register this date in National Archives I, 7th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington.

In a most significant development, U.S. cryptographers were able to decipher two principal Japanese codes - the diplomatic code *and* the naval code. This happened in the last days of September and early October 1940. The diplomatic code is also known as the Purple code. Stinnett states:¹¹

Leading historical publications in the United States have erroneously confused readers by publishing erroneous details on Purple. The

¹¹*Ibid* p 21, 22.

truth about Pearl Harbor is found in the naval codes not the diplomatic codes. . . .

Controversy surrounds the timing of the successful decryption of the four code systems of *Kaigun Ango*. Testimony given to various Pearl Harbor investigations suggests that the navy codes were not solved until Spring 1942. The author's research proves otherwise. Their solution emerged in the early fall of 1940, at about the same time Arthur McCollum's memorandum reached the Oval office.

In fact after Stinnett published his book some court historians, commentators and reviewers attacked him. As one reviewer, John F. McKeown, wrote: "I am not surprised by the negative treatment that this book has received. If the author had treated any other topic, or any other President, the comments would be different. This historian has attacked one very, very, sacred cow."

Stinnett further points out:¹²

Rear Admiral Royal Ingersoll, Assistant Chief of Naval Operations, revealed America's ability to detect and predict Japan's naval war strategy and tactical operations to the U.S. Navy's two Pacific commanders, Admirals James Ricahrdson and Thomas Hart in a letter dated October 4, 1940. The Navy began tracking the movement and location

¹² *Ibid* p 22, 23.

of Japanese warships in October 1940. "Every major movement of the Orange (America's codename for Japan) Fleet has been predicted, and a continuous flow of information concerning Orange diplomatic activities has been made available." He said the Navy cryptographers had solved the Japanese naval merchant ship code. "The system itself is 99 percent readable," reported Ingersoll.

There are a very large number of documents that reveal that the U.S. stations in the Pacific region were able to intercept the dominant percentage of secret Japanese diplomatic and naval transmissions once these codes had been broken. There was other intelligence available too. In fact, in January 1941 information about a plan to attack Pearl Harbor was leaked to U.S. intelligence. Stinnett has reproduced this information in his book. In fact the U.S. ambassador to Germany Joseph Grew sent the following telegraph that was read by Cordell Hull on January 27, 1941:¹³

My Peruvian colleague told a member of my staff that he had heard from many sources including a Japanese source that the Japanese military forces planned in the event of trouble with the United States, to attempt a surprise attack on Pearl Harbor using all of their military facilities. He added that

¹³*Ibid*, p 31.

although the project seemed fantastic the fact that he had heard it from many sources prompted him to pass the information. Grew

Cordell Hull distributed copies of the telegram to Army Intelligence and to ONI. McCollum, who worked at the ONI, and who had written down the strategy of provoking a Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, must have been satisfied with this information. Things were moving as he had planned. However he engaged in a further deception - he dismissed Grew's information as "rumor"! His assessment of the Grew cable was an outright deception - he was deceiving his own Admiral! He wrote to Admiral Kimmel:¹⁴

The Division of Naval Intelligence places no credence in these rumors. Furthermore, based on known data regarding the present disposition of Japanese naval and army forces, no move against Pearl Harbor appears imminent or planned for in the foreseeable future.

The record shows that once the U.S. and the British Empire began strangulating the Japanese economy through a boycott and through the Dutch, the militants in Japan very quickly gained the upper hand. The diplomats wanted to sort things out diplomatically but in late 1940 and early 1941 Japanese plans for launching a surprise attack on Pearl Harbor were formulated by Admiral Yamamoto.

¹⁴Ibid, p 32.

Stinnett writes that the intercepts and corresponding radio logs of station H in Hawaii, one of the several stations that monitored Japanese signal traffic are:¹⁵

powerful evidence of American fore-knowledge of the attack on Pearl Harbor. Americans do not know these records exist - all were excluded from the many investigations that took place from 1941 to 1946 and the Congressional probe of 1995.

Stinnett reproduces many messages that unambiguously establish the U.S. foreknowledge of the attack.

There is another cruel side to this deceit. Once Richardson had been removed and Admiral Kimmel installed, Anderson was dispatched to Hawaii as an intelligence gatekeeper. He was well aware of the fact that the U.S. had broken Japanese naval and diplomatic codes but concealed it from Admiral Kimmel, who subsequently paid a heavy price once the attacks had taken place. Kimmel had sensed in February 1941 that he was being excluded from some vital intelligence loop and tried in writing, on at least two occasions, to persuade the establishment to supply him with all vital information. "I can't understand, may never understand why I was denied the information available in Washington", Kimmel wrote sadly after the war.¹⁶

In November, and during the days of December 1941

¹⁵*Ibid* p 45.

¹⁶Kimmel cited from his book *I May Never Understand* by Stinnett p 37.

leading right up to the attack, the U.S. cryptographers were aware of the impending Japanese attacks. But the key records remained concealed from every inquiry until Stinnett uncovered them. A vital player in this game of concealment was the cryptographer Lt. Commander Joseph J. Rochefort, who withheld vital information from Kimmel and from various Pearl Harbor inquiries.¹⁷ Stinnett recovered some of this vital information from Rochefort's personal effects in possession of his daughter. In his *Oral History*, Rochefort told a navy interviewer that the death of a few thousand people was a cheap price to pay for the unification of America. Stinnett writes:¹⁸

By hiding Yale Maxon's alert, Rochefort diverted a thorough examination of the Sarnoff-Roosevelt spy-message deal and made it impossible for Admiral Kimmel to establish a logical defense of dereliction of duty. The blame for dereliction of duty belongs on the shoulders of the two cryptographers, not on Admiral Kimmel. Rochefort's motive can only be guessed. In his *Oral History* he told

¹⁷Stinnett lists the following inquiries (footnote 17, p 341): "(1) Roberts Commission proceedings 1942; (2) Admiral Thomas Hart's inquiry of 1944; (3) Army Proceedings 1944; (4) Navy Court of Inquiry, 1944; (5) Clarke Investigation, 1944; (6) The Clausen Investigation 1944-45; (7) Admiral Henry Kent Hewitt Investigation of 1945; (8) The Joint Congressional Investigation of 1945-46, and (9) Senator Strom Thurmond's investigation of 1995.

¹⁸*Ibid* p 117.

a U.S. Navy interviewer that the carnage at Pearl Harbor on December 7 was a cheap price to pay for the unification of America. His unity observation observation parallels that of his close friend Arthur McCollum's eight-action plan that called for America to create "ado" and provoke Japan into committing an overt act of war against the United States.

Although everything had been done by a coterie¹⁹ not only to conceal information from, but also to mislead the Pearl Harbor naval and military command, there still was a possibility that reconnaissance planes, or merchant vessels, would discover the advancing Japanese naval fleet prompting some measures by Kimmel. Although he had been cut out of the vital intelligence loop regarding the Japanese attack Kimmel nonetheless planned an exercise, Exercise 191, from November 21-25, 1940. This could have led U.S. forces to meet, even if unexpectedly, the approaching Japanese forces. But he was forced at the last moment to cancel the exercise on the orders of his superiors. The orders were conveyed

¹⁹On p 312, footnote 10, of his book Stinnett states: "The following (and probably more) knew of McCollum's eight-action policy that was adopted by FDR, according to direct and indirect evidence outlined herein: President Roosevelt; Lieutenant Commander Arthur H. McCollum, Captains Walter S. Anderson and Dudley Knox; Admirals Harold Stark, James O Richardson, and William Leahy; General George Marshall: Commander Vincent Murphy."

through Rear Admiral Ingersoll. As Stinnett remarks:²⁰

At that time, of course, Kimmel did not know of Washington's eight-action policy. If McCollum's action policy was to succeed in uniting America, Japan must be seen as the aggressor and must commit the first overt act of war on an unsuspecting Pacific Fleet, not the other way around. FDR and his highest-level commanders gambled on Japan committing the first overt act of war, and knew from intercepted messages that it was near. An open sea engagement between Japan's carrier force and the Pacific Fleet would have been far less effective at establishing American outrage. Japan could claim that its right to sail the open seas had been deliberately challenged by American warship if Kimmel attacked first.

He was ordered to call off this exercise at the last minute on the pretext that such an exercise would be interpreted as a provocation by Japan. This was strange because the Japanese were already on their way to Hawaii. However Kimmel immediately planned two new missions²¹ "intended to discover a Japanese carrier force." These missions were announced on November 24. However these missions were sabotaged under directions of Admiral Stark on November 26. Admiral Stark ordered that

²⁰ *Ibid* p 151.

²¹ *Ibid* p 151.

aircraft carriers be used instead of battleships as originally planned. However a delivery of eighteen fighter planes for the exercise was delayed by a week and were in fact never delivered! And then another mysterious and confounding order²² was issued to Kimmel. He was directed to move all modern ships, twenty one in number, as well as the aircraft carriers, out of Pearl Harbor²³ *westwards* i.e. in a direction *away* from the advancing Japanese fleet. Only the oldest vessels, mostly “27-year-old relics” surviving from World War I, were to remain in Pearl Harbor!

The situation has been summed up by Stinnett in the following words:²⁴

With the recall of the Pacific Fleet from the North Pacific on November 24 and the cessation of aerial reconnaissance over the ocean, America had no effective means of locating the advance of an enemy force on Hawaii except through the intercepts obtained by the monitoring stations. But the cryptographic reports obtained by station CAST and H did not appear in the Daily Communications Summaries shown to Kimmel in 1941.

Stinnett has shown a photograph of the cryptographer Captain Homer Kisner nearly a half century later, holding a copy of the daily chronology of December 6, 1941

²²*Ibid* p 152, 154.

²³*Ibid* p 152, 154.

²⁴*Ibid* p 156.

in which he had stated that the Japanese had taken the “first steps towards placing the operations of the Navy on a wartime basis.” Apparently all cryptographers were required to keep a personal copy of the daily chronology. Kisner had tracked Japanese ship movements and had located them. The documentation of Japanese naval movements from November 18 to 20 is particularly “compelling” according to Stinnett. Stinnett writes:²⁵

Kisner was excluded from every Pearl Harbor investigation, including the 1995 inquiry. His first public comments on the pre-Pearl Harbor communications intelligence were made to the author in April 1988 when he examined the intercepts contained in the President Carter document release. Kisner confirmed their authenticity. . . .

In an interview in 1998, Kisner, then eighty-eight years old, was shown McCollum’s memo by the author. His reaction to proposal F was the same as Admiral Richardson’s - disbelief and outrage: “No one in the Navy would deliberately place warships and sailors in harm’s way. If I had known of the plan, I’d have gone direct to Admiral Kimmel and warned him”

In order to forestall any possibility that a chance discovery of the advancing Japanese naval fleet might lead

²⁵Ibid p 57.

Kimmel to act against them, a message²⁶ was sent by FDR to Kimmel on November 28: "*If hostilities cannot be avoided the United States desires that Japan commit the first overt act.*" This was FDR's response to a November 25 alert sent by Admiral Kimmel. Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson wrote in his diaries later:²⁷

In spite of the risk involved, however, in letting the Japanese fire the first shot, we realized that in order to have the full support of the American people, it was desirable to make sure that the Japanese be the ones to do this, so that there should remain no doubt in anyone's mind as to who were the aggressors.

These diary entries further establish that the U.S. authorities knew of the impending attack and allowed it to happen because they wanted the American people to feel that they had been attacked. Such thinking was not an adjustment to a sudden realization that Japan was going to attack Pearl Harbor - it was the result of planned forethought.

The attitude of FDR with regard to Kimmel and Short was not very honorable. He told Donovan:²⁸

They caught our ships like lame ducks! Lame ducks Bill. We told them at Pearl Harbor

²⁶*Ibid* p 172.

²⁷*Ibid* p 179.

²⁸Cited by Stinnett, p 3.

and everywhere else, to have the lookouts manned. But they still took us by surprise.

This was a lie. The fact is that FDR knew of the attack and had planned the provocations that led to the attack. That is why Bill Donovan observed that FDR was less surprised by the attack than the others and welcomed it. FDR tied Kimmel's hands in every possible way, left him in the dark and then discredited him in the public eye. No wonder the families of Admiral Kimmel and General Short have, for decades, pursued the case for honorable restoration of these officers. It was, finally, in May 1999, that the Senate, by a narrow split margin, voted that Kimmel and Short "were denied vital intelligence that was available in Washington."²⁹ Some justice was done

²⁹Philip Shenon: *Senate Clears 2 Pearl Harbor 'Scapegoats'*: New York Times, May 26, 2012.
<http://www.nytimes.com/1999/05/26/us/senate-clears-2-pearl-harbor-scapegoats.html>

The story runs as follows:

The Senate voted today to clear the names of the two senior military officers who were accused of dereliction of duty as a result of the bombing of Pearl Harbor in 1941. The vote came after an emotional debate among the dwindling number of World War II veterans left in the Senate.

The vote, 52 to 47 on an amendment to the \$289 billion military spending bill, was intended to exonerate Adm. Husband E. Kimmel and Lieut. Gen. Walter C. Short, the American military commanders in Hawaii who were blamed for failing to anticipate the devastating Japanese attack on Dec. 7, 1941.

After the surprise attack, the two men were relieved

58 years after Kimmel demanded that he be given access to all intelligence pertaining to the Japanese forces. However note that the *New York Times* story does not mention the crucial reason for their restoration viz. they were denied vital intelligence information available in Washington! This is the “free” U.S. media in action, or inaction. This highly important story should have been given important coverage in all media major outlets. But was it?

The concealment of certain facts from the various inquiries was the result of a deliberate policy by senior naval officers. This concealment lasted for over five decades and, at one point, was carried out in violation of the orders of President Truman showing how the military men pursue their own policies independent of the orders and/or desires of the competent civilian authority. Stinnett refers to this concealment as the “cryptology blackout”. The reasons the cryptology blackout was enforced by senior naval officers were apparently two fold. Firstly the disclosure that the U.S. cryptolo-

of their commands and forced to retire from the military at reduced ranks, their reputations in tatters. A panel created by President Franklin D. Roosevelt accused them of dereliction of duty. Both died decades ago. But in the 57 years since one of the nation’s worst military disasters, a number of historians have described Admiral Kimmel and General Short as scapegoats for failures among military commanders in Washington who were aware of intelligence reports suggesting an imminent Japanese attack.

gists had broken both, the diplomatic and naval codes by Oct 1940, the same time at which the eight-action plan to provoke Japan was put forward, would have directly proved that the U.S. had engaged in a policy of provocation and that the Government knew before hand that the attack would take place. Secondly certain naval officers did not want to reveal that the U.S. had indeed broken the Japanese codes, presumably for future, or at least during the war. This impression is strengthened by the fact that the FBI had spotted the activities of a Japanese spy, a diplomat, in Honolulu. Hoover wanted to have him arrested but the superior authorities resisted because “neither Berle nor the President wanted to lose the Japanese pipeline.”³⁰ As Assistant Secretary of State Berle put it: “No expulsion is possible as any charge leading to ouster would reveal American cryptographic success to Japan.”³¹

Under pressure from Senator Alben Barkley (D, Kentucky), Chairman Joint Congressional Investigation Committee (JOINT) of 1945-46, President Truman issued the following directive, easing the release of cryptographic information:³²

The State, War and Navy Departments will make available to the Joint Committee on the Investigation of the Pearl Harbor attack, for such use as the Committee may deter-

³⁰ *Ibid* p 97.

³¹ *Ibid* p 97.

³² *Ibid* p 270.

mine, any information in their possession material to the investigation, and will respectively authorize any employee or member of the armed services whose testimony is desired by the Committee to testify publicly before the Committee concerning any matter pertinent to the investigation.

Despite this clear-cut directive Fleet Admiral Ernest King tried to block the testimony to the Committee regarding cryptographic information. He ordered that military personnel appearing before JOINT will be subjected to military discipline if they disclosed any cryptographic information. This came to the knowledge of President Truman who then issued the following protection order:³³

The testimony of any person in the armed services, and the fact that such person testified before the committee herein provided for, shall not be used against him in any court proceeding or held against him in examining military status for credits in the service to which he belongs.

Despite these orders the Navy was able to gag its cryptographers. As Stinnett puts it:³⁴

But Fleet Admiral King prevailed. None of the Navy's intercept operators - the 165

³³*Ibid* p 270.

³⁴*Ibid* p 270, 271.

members of the On the Roof Gang - testified. Not one of their military intercepts of Japanese naval broadcasts was introduced into evidence before the Committee. America's pre-Pearl Harbor success in obtaining, decrypting, and translating Japanese naval message in the 5-Num code was safe.

Most of the documents, evidence, and testimony heard by the JOINT were reproduced in the official 39-volume transcript. But the most important records involving communication intelligence were omitted. None of the Station US papers - which contain crucial Japanese military intercepts - were shown to Congress in either 1945-46 or the 1995 Pearl Harbor investigations.

Stinnett also describes how some crucial documents were rotting or decaying and disintegrating to dust because they had not been stored in proper safe boxes.

It was a mere four days after the attack that the cover up began and Rear Admiral Leigh Noyes told a group of subordinates: "Destroy all notes and anything in writing." This was an illegal order because even personal memoranda concerning naval matters cannot be destroyed without approval of the Congress. Fortunately, everything was not, and could not be, destroyed as key information was scattered over different places, including the White House. By this fortunate circumstance future generations have been able to know the truth about Pearl Harbor.

Immediately after the publication of Stinnett's book the U.S. agencies and historians closely connected to them, moved in to confuse the public and to conceal documents that had been opened up under FOIA. It was in 1993 that the U.S. Naval Security Group, which had in its custody what are known as the Crane Files, (these were so named because they were stored in vaults in Crane, Indiana) moved these to a government facility on the College Park campus of the University of Maryland. This was Archive II. However, as Stinnett wrote on December 7, 2003, once *The Day of Deceit* was published (December 7, 1999):³⁵

NSA began withdrawing the pre-Pearl Harbor documents from the Crane Files housed in Archives II. This means that the Government decided to continue 60 years of Pearl Harbor censorship. As of January 2002, over two dozen NSA withdrawal notices have triggered the removal of Pearl Harbor documents from public inspection. The number of pages withdrawn appear to be in hundreds.

Why remove documents a decade after they had been opened to the public? Under the agreement with National Archives, NSA has the right to withdraw documents if their presence in National Archives can lead to defense concerns. What defense concerns could there be sixty years after the events except that the truth must be

³⁵Robert Stinnett: The Pearl Harbor Deception; <http://www.antiwar.com/orig2/stinnett1.html>

concealed from the public - otherwise the present phase of U.S. militarism would also be called into question. One cannot help quoting Professor Peter Dale Scott:

The chronological record of events as reconstructed by archival historians has become increasingly subverted by suppressed or deep history. We now have a chronology for which the public records are either nonexistent or have been falsified.

Chapter 3

The Mysteries of 9/11

*The anxiety engendered by the Fall of France, the Battle of Britain, and the Blitz created a state in which large groups of persons were temporarily able to accept new and sometimes strange beliefs without criticism.*¹

As the British MP and Cabinet Minister Michael Meacher put it three years after 9/11:²

Never in modern history has an event of such

¹William Sargant: *Battle For The Mind - A Physiology of Conversion and Brain-Washing*; A Malor Book, 2011 (first published by Wm. Heinemann Ltd 1957); p 41.

²Michael Meacher: Foreword to the book by David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor - Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books, 2004.

cataclysmic significance been shrouded in such mystery. So many of the key facts remain unexplained on any plausible basis, and so many of the key actors have put forward contradictory accounts, only to be forced to retract or cover up later.

The event that is now known as 9/11, in itself, involved a sequence of four apparent hijackings of civilian airliners with passengers aboard, two planes operated by United Airlines and two by American Airlines, the crashing of two of the hijacked planes into the twin towers in the heart of New York city, the subsequent collapse of these towers, the collapse of an adjacent building WT 7 due, according to U.S. government officials, to a minor fire, the crash into a less inhabited part of the Pentagon of an object, a hijacked Boeing 747 according to the official version, and, again according to the official version, the crash of one hijacked plane, United Airline Flight 93, in Pennsylvania, after the passengers appeared to have gained control of the plane. Two of the planes which were to be subsequently hijacked, took off from from Logan Airport, Boston, at 7:59 and 8:14 AM respectively,³ the third plane took off, again from Dulles Airport, Washington D.C. at 8:20 AM and the last plane took off from Newark Airport, New Jersey at 8:42 AM, instead of the scheduled 8:01 AM. The event known as

³I have followed Professor David Ray Griffin in regard to various times pertaining to these flights. David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books 2004.

9/11 took place on September 11, 2001.

At the time of 9/11 President George Bush was, according to official sources, with a class in a school in Sarasota, Florida, General Myers, the Chairman Joint Chief of Staffs, was in some meeting with a Congressman and was unavailable for over an hour, NORAD was conducting an exercise in the skies of Northern America. It was later uncovered by researchers, and pointed out by Michael Ruppert in his comprehensive book that exercises were being conducted in parallel with the events of 9/11 that served to confound the situation.⁴

What are the mysteries, the inexplicable things, the inconsistencies, the unanswered questions, the implausible or contradictory accounts, the retractions and the coverups? So many competent researchers have dissected the official versions and whatever information has become available since 9/11, that one could write books on it. In fact this is what was exactly done by the authors and researchers. For instance Professor David Ray Griffin alone has written *ten* books on various aspects of 9/11 only! One book carried the title *The New Pearl Harbor - Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11*. Another book carried the title *9/11 Contradictions*, and according to Professor Griffin, the book “refers entirely to *internal* contradictions within the public story about 9/11.” As he wrote in the preface to this book:

⁴Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publisher, 2004.

This book deals, in other words, with issues on which one person, agency, institution, or official body that has helped articulate the public story about 9/11 has contradicted another such person, agency, institution, or official body. In some cases, the contradiction is *self*-contradiction, in which people contradict what they had said at an earlier time.

Kevin Fenton has written a fascinating book, *Disconnecting the Dots*,⁵ “a convincing, extraordinarily researched and footnoted examination of the circumstances surrounding the pre-9/11 activities of two of the nineteen hijackers”. Serious literature questioning the official version has an enormous volume, but this literature remains hidden from the eyes of the world because the mainstream media has, by and large, blacked out such literature. It is the Elite control of mass media, and of the academia, that has prevented the American public from knowing the truth about 9/11.

The problems with the “official” 9/11 explanations maybe grouped into several categories:

1. The more or less simultaneous lapse of standard operating procedures (SOPs) with regard to four hijackings.
2. The contradiction between the official explanation and known facts, including scientific and engineering facts.

⁵Kevin Fenton: *Disconnecting the Dots - How CIA and FBI Officials Helped Enable 9/11 and Evaded Government Investigations*; Trine Day 2011.

3. The concealment of information from those authorized by the Congress to have access to the facts, such as the Kean Commission.
4. The shifting official statements, and contradictions between statements of officials on the same subject.
5. Miscellaneous unexplained facts.
6. The strange deaths and harassment of whistleblowers and the protection and promotion of those who, if the official version is correct, displayed incompetence.

3.1 Flight AA 11

American Airlines Flight AA 11 took off from Logan Airport, Boston at 7:59 AM.⁶ This was a Boeing 767 with between 76 and 81 passengers and 11 crew members. The flight was headed for Los Angeles but it, instead, flew to New York. This is the plane that, according to official versions, hit the North Tower at approximately 93rd floor, at 8:45 a.m. The plane had been in air for almost 46 minutes.

Fifteen minutes after taking off from Boston flight AA 11 did *not* respond to a Federal Aviation Authority (FAA) *order* to control its ascent, its transponder went off, and it lost radio contact with ground control.

⁶I have followed Professor David Ray Griffin in regard to various times pertaining to these flights. David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books 2004; The number of passengers and crew have been taken from: *The World Trade Center Demolition and the War on Terrorism*: <http://www.serendipity.li/wtc4.htm>

This happened at 8:14 AM. That should have indicated that something was *seriously wrong* with flight AA 11. Then, six minutes later, at 8:20 AM, the plane veered *radically* off course, and at 8:21 AM flight attendants reported that hijackers had taken control after having killed several people. This should have *immediately* led to action based on SOPs. There were 24 minutes between this moment and the time when the plane hit the North Tower of the WTC. At 8:25 AM the Boston ATC (Air Traffic Controller) informs other ATCs that Flight AA 11 has been hijacked but, surprisingly does *not*, according to the official version, notify NORAD (North American Aerospace Defense Command). If the ATC (or ATCs) did not do so why was he (or they) not punished? It was at 8:28 AM that the plane turned towards New York and flew for 17 minutes before crashing into the WTC.

It is an SOP that if a plane has strayed off course, the USAF scrambles jets to approach the strayed plane and guide it back on course. The FAA's *Aeronautical Information Manual: Official Guide to Basic Flight Information and Air Traffic Control (ATC) Procedures*.⁷ "If . . . you are in doubt that a situation constitutes an emergency or potential emergency, handle it as though it were an emergency." As pointed out by David Ray Griffin, a jet scrambles to 29000 feet within 2.5 minutes of the order to scramble, and can travel at a speed of 1850 nautical miles per hour. Therefore:⁸

⁷*Ibid* p 4

⁸*Ibid*, p 5.

If normal procedures had been followed Flight 11 would have been intercepted by 8:24, and certainly no later than 8:30, 16 minutes before it, in the actual course of events, crashed into the WTC . . . even if the FAA had waited until the plane went off course at 8:20, the plane should have been intercepted by 8:30, or 8:35 at the latest, again in plenty of time to prevent it from going to New York.

Why was Flight 11 not intercepted? This question, at this point, is an unanswered question, a mystery. This question will remain unanswered until such time as the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) leads to the revelation of records, assuming that the records have not been destroyed by then. But for availability of records through the FOIA people will have to wait till 2051! Even then the records may not be made fully available.⁹ For all we know the records may have already been destroyed. The other possibility is that public pressure may force the setting up of an independent commission of inquiry. This is a fond hope. The past record indicates that in the case of Pearl Harbor records were concealed from nine Congressional inquiries. As we deal with other flights, these mysteries or unanswered ques-

⁹The future of FOIA remains doubtful if one keeps in mind the dark curtain descending rapidly on the U.S. and the control of the U.S. public through propaganda and force. The dictatorial laws passed in the U.S. since 9/11 leave the U.S. with only a facade of democracy, hiding the reality of increasing dictatorship of the Elite.

tions will multiply.

3.2 Flight UA 175

United Airlines Flight UA 175 took off from Logan Airport, Boston a mere fifteen minutes after Flight AA 11, at 8:14 AM. Note it was exactly at the same time that the FAA had ordered Flight AA 11 to control its ascent! Flight UA 175 had, reportedly, between 45 to 56 passengers on board along with nine crew members. It veered off course at 8:43 AM, just two minutes before Flight AA 11 hit the North Tower. This time the ATC, reportedly, notified NORAD - it did so at 8:43 AM. Officially, it was Flight UA 175, that hit the South Tower at 9:03 AM from the South, at approximately the 80th floor. There is a 17 to 18 minute gap between the two hits during which the world's, by far the best air force, for all practical purposes, did nothing despite the existence of SOPs that had *always* been followed in the *past*.

One may quote from Michael Ruppert who points out that the Northeast Air Defense Sector (NEADS) was conducting war games at the same time while aircraft were being hijacked. This is a mystery on which he comments in the following words:¹⁰

The other part lies in the deliberately super-

¹⁰Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publisher, 2004; p 310.

imposed overlay of war game exercises being conducted by several governmental agencies on September 11th that inserted false blips into radar screens in the Northeast Air Defense Sector (NEADS), involved live-fly exercises with aircraft posing as hijacked airliners, and effectively confused and paralyzed all response by loyal interceptor pilots, who would have seized the initiative that day, regardless of protocol had they known where to go.

We will see that in addition to NEADS conducting exercises in the air, other mysterious exercises were also going on in New York. The coincidence of exercises with actual events, it appears, is not a simple matter, as it may superficially appear. It was this perception that led to a warning¹¹ being issued by Oregon Truth Alliance on August 13, 2007, when an exercise Nobel Resolve 07-2 was to be conducted in the Lower Columbia River Basin to simulate terrorism or disaster scenarios. The warning, among other things, stated:

Military exercises such as NOBLE RESOLVE 07-2 have a recent history of coinciding with lethal “live” events. This occurred both at the start of Desert Storm in 1990 and on 9/11/01 when five or more major military or security exercises were in progress on the

¹¹See, for instance, <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/august2007/190807Drill.htm>

very day of the actual attacks. The same phenomenon occurred with the London Bombings of 7/7/05 during which a drill concerning multiple bomb attacks was being staged on that day.

3.3 Flight AA 77

American Airlines Flight AA 77 took off from Dulles Airport, Washington D.C. at 8:20 AM.¹² The flight, carrying between 50-58 passengers and six crew members, was destined for Los Angeles. The plane was a Boeing 757. This is the flight that, according to official versions, hit the Pentagon. Even though this fate of Flight AA 77 is disputed by many researchers, we will, for the moment, focus only on the salient features of this flight.

Twenty six minutes after take off, at 8:46 AM, Flight 77 veered off course for about four minutes, returning back on course at 8:50 AM. Six minutes later, at 8:56 AM its transponder went off and it, according to the impression conveyed to the public by the media, *disappeared from the radar screen*.¹³ Almost half an hour

¹²The departure time has been taken from David Ray Griffin's book cited earlier. The post at Serendipity <http://www.serendipity.li/wtc4.htm> states the departure time as 8:10 AM.

¹³When the transponder goes off the aircraft does *not* disappear from the screen - it is very much visible on the screen, except that its height cannot be determined by *civilian* radar. The *military* radar knows everything about its coordinates. See comments by Michael Ruppert in the section *Failure of SOPs* in the following

later (29 minutes to be precise), at 9:25 AM, the air controllers at Dulles Airport reported that a fast moving plane was presumably headed for the White House. At 9:27 AM Dick Cheney and Condoleezza Rice were informed about this in the White House basement. However at 9:33, 39 minutes after Flight AA 77 had disappeared, an object, presumed to be the same flight, was reported to be heading for the Pentagon.

One may quote directly from Griffin:¹⁴

Beginning at 9:33, radar data reportedly showed the aircraft crossing the Capitol Beltway and heading toward the Pentagon, which it flew over at 9:35. Then, starting from around 7,000 feet above the ground, the aircraft made a difficult “downward spiral, turning almost a complete circle and dropping the last 7,000 feet in two and a half minutes.” At this time Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld, according to official account, had not been informed of the approaching aircraft and was still with Representative Cox. While they together watched the television coverage of the WTC, Rumsfeld reportedly demonstrated his predictive powers again, saying: “Believe me, this isn’t over yet. There’s going to be another attack, and it could be us.” Moments

pages.

¹⁴David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books 2004; pp 25-26.

later, at 9:38, the Pentagon was hit. As a result of the crash and the ensuing fire, 125 workers in the Pentagon, primarily civilians, were killed.

One may ask the obvious question, and many researchers raised it almost immediately: *Is it possible for an airplane to be lost for half an hour in U.S. airspace without being detected?* Another obvious question posed by researchers is: Why is it that Flight 77 (according to the official version), or whatever hit the Pentagon, make such a complicated manoeuver to hit a relatively uninhabited part of Pentagon far from where Rumsfeld and others of his brass had their offices? If the intention was to hit the U.S. defense establishment, then the plane should have been rammed into the part where Rumsfeld *et al* had offices, and where it was also relatively far more easy to crash? And can a Boeing 757 make such a complicated manoeuver? Then there is another conundrum: Why did air traffic controllers warn at 9:09 AM that Flight 77 may have crashed in Ohio? Griffin also quotes¹⁵ a report from *USA Today* stating:

Another plane disappears from the radar and might have crashed in Kentucky. The reports are so serious that [FAA Head Jane] Garvey notifies the White House that there has been another crash.

How can one explain these “mysteries”?

There is still another mystery. This is summed up

¹⁵ *Ibid*, p 25.

best by an air traffic controller quoted by Griffin:¹⁶

Danielle O'Brien, one of the air traffic controllers at Dulles who reported seeing the aircraft at 9:25, said: "The speed, the maneuverability, the way that he turned, we *all*¹⁷ thought in the radar room, *all of us experienced air traffic controllers*, that that was a *military* plane."

How does one reconcile the *unanimous* observation of *experienced* air traffic controllers in a radar room where they were observing an object, with the official version, which identified the object as Flight 77, i.e., a Boeing 757? There is also the question as to what happened to the engines of the Boeing 757 because the photographs do not reveal a trace of these engines? Nor does the width of the damaged part of the Pentagon seem sufficiently wide to correspond to a Boeing 757 having hit it? One may quote from Jim Marrs:¹⁸

Then there are startling problems with the holes in the Pentagon. The Boeing 757 has a normal wingspan of 124 feet, 10 inches. The official version of the Pentagon crash states that a 757 entered the building at 45-degree angle. This angle would increase the

¹⁶*Ibid*, p 26.

¹⁷Emphasis added

¹⁸Jim Marrs: *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened on 9/11, And Why We're Still Paying The Price*; Publishers: The Disinformation Co Ltd, New York; 2011, p 51.

wingspan to 177 feet. Note that the overall height of a 757 is 44 feet, 6 inches and the exterior body width is 12 feet, 6 inches. Yet the hole in the Pentagon cited as entry point, photographed before the walls collapsed, was only between 15 and 20 feet wide, barely enough to accommodate the width of the craft's body. And the hole's height was less than two stories or about 20 feet, *less than half the height of the 757*.¹⁹ Even after the walls collapsed shortly after 10:00 a.m., the gaping hole in the building was still not large enough to accommodate the Boeing 757's wingspan. Oddly, no evidence of any kind of the plane's wings or tail were found outside the building, other than the small piece of metal mentioned earlier.

And where are the bodies of the passengers aboard Flight 77? What happened to the bodies, if there were any?

Christopher Bollyn of American Free Press has quoted an eye witness to the entire incident.²⁰ Samuel Danner is an electrical engineer working for the American railways Amtrack, and has been flying since he was 16.

¹⁹Emphasis in original.

²⁰See post at:

www.rumormillnews.com/cgi-bin/archive.cgi?noframes;read=90745. Jim Marrs has also described Danner's evidence at pages 52 and 53 of his book *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened on 9/11 and Why We're Still Paying The Price*; The Disinformation Co Ltd, 2011.

He came forward in 2006 after his son showed him the internet blockbuster *Loose Change* by Dylan Avery. He was driving when he first saw the object and pulled his car and came out to see it properly. He said what he saw at the Pentagon on 9/11 had been bothering him and the movie seemed to put things in place. Danner says that he had a very good look at the flying object as it approached the Pentagon. He told Christopher Bollyn in an interview that there was no way the object could be a Boeing 757. He states that after a steep descent it turned towards the Pentagon and flew past at a distance of about 500 feet from where he stood. “It was real low . . . It looked like it was under perfect control.” It was flying *quietly* at about 200 mph as it approached the Pentagon and looked like a “humpback whale”. The said craft had no windows that he could see. He also saw a four engine aircraft above at the same time which he suspects controlled the object that hit the Pentagon.²¹ He also mentions that a bunch of guys were watching the entire incident with binoculars from outside the Pentagon.

Danner further describes seeing a brilliant white flash and hearing an explosion as the object appeared to hit the walls of Pentagon. Pulling out his emergency med-

²¹With regard to the identity of this aircraft see the following two-part article, written by Mark H. Gaffney and posted at rense.com. The first part is titled: *The 9/11 Mystery Plane - First in a Series*; rense.com/general76/missing.htm and the second part carries the title: *The 9/11 Mystery Plane (Part II) - Did the Military Fudge the 9/11 Timeline*; rense.com/general76/wdb.htm

ical technician (EMT) shirt, that he always carried in his car, Danner went straight to the explosion site and stood two feet from a single damaged 3-foot engine that was missing its blades. “*There were no dead bodies and no substantial pieces of wreckage.*” he said. He remembers smelling gun powder or cordite. He said that evidence was being removed instead of being flagged and classified and he was very surprised at this. He participated with Pentagon personnel and they were told to pick every piece of debris. He said he found small pieces of aluminium, carbon fiber and polymer resins. Danner was diagnosed with lymphoma in 2004 and suspects that he may have gotten it through possible exposure to radioactive material - most likely depleted uranium - at the Pentagon wreckage site.

Frances Farmer has written an article of great clarity and posted it on his/her website. We quote from the article:²²

To justify the absence of Boeing debris, the authorities explained that the aircraft was pulverized when it impacted with such a highly reinforced building as the Pentagon.

To explain the disappearance of the aircraft’s

²²We have presumed that owner of web site mentioned below is Frances Farmer - this may well be a pseudonym, or could have some other significance. The article has the title: *The Mystery of Flight 77 - What happened To The Plane That Hit The Pentagon On September 11, 2001?*; www.francesfarmersrevenge.com/stuff/archive/oldnews5/pentagon.htm

more resistant components, like the engines or brakes, we were told that the aircraft melted (with the exception of one landing light and its black boxes).

To justify the absence of 100 tons of melted metal, experts attempted to show that the fire exceeded 2500 C, leading to the evaporation of parts of the aircraft (but not of the building itself or, clearly, of the landing light or black boxes).

To justify the presence of the hole, officials now state that it was caused by the nose of the aircraft, which, despite the rigors of the crash, continued careering through the three buildings.

The aircraft thus disintegrated on contact with the Pentagon, melted inside the building, evaporated at 2500 C and still penetrated two other buildings via a hole 2 yards in diameter. Questions need to be asked of Pentagon experts here. The official version has its own holes that need filling.

The article then goes on to state:

Let us imagine for a moment that we had not been told that the aircraft had disintegrated, melted and evaporated. The question then is: Is it possible for the nose of an airliner to penetrate three buildings and, as it leaves the third, produce a perfectly circular hole,

$2\frac{1}{2}$ yards wide?

The nose of an aircraft, the radome, contains its electronic navigation equipment. *To enable the transmission of signals, the nose is not made of metal but carbon. Its shape has been designed to be aerodynamic but is not crash resistant. The inside casing, as well as its contents, are extremely fragile. The nose would crush on impact with an obstacle, not penetrate it.*²³

The fragility of aircraft noses can be seen in numerous photographs from much more violent crashes than the Pentagon one. Take for example, the Britannia Airways Boeing 757-204, in September 1999 [more images], the Southwest Airlines Boeing 737-3T5 in March 2000, the Philippine Airlines Airbus A320-211 in March 1998 or the American Airlines McDonnell Douglas MD-82 in June 1999.

*It is not actually possible to find the nose of an aircraft after such an impact. So it is not an aircraft nose that could have produced the hole visible in the third ring of the building.*²⁴

The mysteries do not just end here. One can then ask the question as to what could have caused the hole? The only flying object that can cause such a hole into the exceptionally sturdy walls of the Pentagon is a mis-

²³Emphasis added.

²⁴Emphasis added.

sile. The missile has a nose built of depleted uranium, a material that is harder than steel. However depleted uranium is after all uranium and is radioactive. This may well explain why some of the search and cleanup teams were wearing suits designed to shield radioactivity. As the writer of this article states:

Fire fighters attest to having seen part of a plane that they identify, albeit with difficulty, as an aircraft nose. The nose of an aircraft, however, would not survive such an accident. The three buildings could not have been penetrated by the nose of a Boeing. However, a missile head made of depleted uranium could well have been capable of such damage.

There are further mysteries about Flight 77 as we see below. But before that everyone should watch the following four video clips, two from Fox News and two from CNN live coverage of 9/11. These revealing clips last a total of 5.15 minutes.²⁵ The second video clip concerns CNN live video coverage of the Pentagon attack. It starts at 37th second and ends at 1.25 minutes. I have transcribed this revealing coverage of the Pentagon site below. The news person in the studio asks the reporter at the Pentagon: “How much of the plane impacted the building?” His reply is as below:

Reporter: You know it might have appeared that way *but from close up inspection there is*

²⁵Watch the clips at: <http://vimeo.com/65079895>

no evidence of a plane having crashed anywhere near the Pentagon. The only site is the actual site of the building that's crashed in and as I said the only pieces left that you can see are small enough that you can pick up in your hand. There are no large tail sections, wing sections, fuselage, nothing like that anywhere around which would indicate that the entire plane crashed into the site of the Pentagon and then caused the site to collapse. Now even though you look at the pictures of the Pentagon you see that the floors have all collapsed. This did not happen immediately it wasn't almost about 45 minutes later²⁶ that the structure was weakened enough that all of the floors collapsed.

All the time while the reporter was giving the above description, the damaged wing of the Pentagon building and the lawns in the foreground were clearly visible behind him, and one could see that he was describing the scene at the Pentagon very accurately. How does one reconcile all this with the official version? Things just do not add up.

3.3.1 What Happened to Anti-Aircraft Anti-Missile Systems?

An extremely important point was raised by Thierry Meyssan. He pointed out that both the White House as

²⁶Emphasis added in this quote.

well as Pentagon are fitted with systems that can detect friendly and enemy aircraft. In case of non-friendly aircraft or flying objects approaching these buildings anti-missile and anti-aircraft missile systems are automatically activated. They can fire missiles as well as activate anti-aircraft batteries. This is achieved through the use of transponder signals. According to Meyssan military aircraft emit signals which would be recognized as “friendly” by the systems at the Pentagon and the White House. Other signals, or perhaps absence of signals too, will be recognized as hostile. The anti-aircraft system will be activated as soon as it detects a hostile object and will destroy it. The question then is why did these systems fail to respond when there was an aircraft headed for the White House or the Pentagon? As Meyssan writes:²⁷

Contrary to Pentagon’s claims, the military knew perfectly well that an unidentified vehicle was headed straight for the capital. Yet the military did not react and the Pentagon’s anti-missile batteries did not function. Why? The close range anti-aircraft defenses at the Pentagon are conceived to destroy missiles that attempt to approach. A missile should normally be unable to pass. As for a big Boeing 757-200, it would have strictly no chance.

²⁷Quoted by David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions About the Bush Administration and 9/11*; Aris Books, 2004; p 32.

Whether an airliner or a missile an explanation has to be found . . .

Each military aircraft in fact possesses a transponder which . . . permits it to declare itself in the eyes of its possessor *friendly* or *hostile* . . . An antimissile battery will not . . . react to the passage of a friendly missile. It is not impossible that was what happened at the Pentagon on 11 September 2001.

David Ray Griffin then comments:²⁸

Meyssan's hypothesis could also answer a question raised by reports that when the aircraft was making its circular approach to the Pentagon, it came very near to the White House - namely, why the White House's missile system did not shoot it down.

In the light of these considerations, the very fact that the aircraft that hit the Pentagon was not shot down by Pentagon's (and the White House's) missiles can be considered physical evidence against the claim that it was a passenger plane.

We thus have another mystery: why did the anti-missile, anti-aircraft systems of the Pentagon (and the White House) fail to activate on 9/11? Was it because the object that hit the Pentagon was "friendly"? Or was

²⁸ *Ibid*, p 33.

there some other reason? I am not aware of any explanation that may have been put forward by Pentagon regarding this odd failure. As mentioned earlier Rumsfeld had displayed his predictive powers by saying that the Pentagon could be hit next. What steps then did he take to ensure that Pentagon defense systems will respond to any approaching aircraft? The administration is completely silent about it, as is the 9/11 Commission Report.

Major General Albert N. Stubblebine, who was commanding officer of United States Army Intelligence and Security Command from 1981 to 1984, went on record to say that he could establish that the Pentagon was *not* hit by an aircraft. He further stated that after he had gone on record in this regard after having looked at the image taken by one sensor of the Pentagon, the image was altered. However in an interview he stated something very important. He said:²⁹

Later I saw another photograph taken by one of the sensors on the outside of the Pentagon. Now, all of the sensors had been turned off, which is kind of interesting - isn't it? *That day, why would all of the sensors around the Pentagon be turned off? That's strange. I don't care what the excuse is. That's strange.*³⁰ There happened to be one that apparently

²⁹<http://consciouslifeneeds.com/911-prove-airplane-hit-pentagon-major-general-albert-stubblebine/1145271/>;
posted on this website on December 15th, 2012.

³⁰Emphasis added.

did not get turned off. And in that picture, coming in, flying into the Pentagon, you see this object, and it obviously hits the Pentagon. When you look at it, it does NOT look like an airplane. Sometime later, after I'd gone public, that imagery was changed. It got a new suit around it that now looked like an airplane. But, when you take the suit off, it looks more like a missile - not like an airplane.

If the sensors were off, and it appears they were, who ordered them to be switched off? Why isn't there any discussion about this in the mainstream media or in the 9/11 Commission Report? Someone very high up in the defense command has to order the sensors off. In fact it has to be no less than the highest authority, the Secretary Defense Rumsfeld, or Cheney who was entrusted with control of the response on 9/11. The mysteries of 9/11 are unending and every question that one raises leads us to only one answer - the official 9/11 explanation is false. There are just too many holes that cannot be filled by the official version. The alternate explanation that it was a well planned inside job fits in easily with the facts and helps us solve many puzzles.

3.3.2 Did Bombs Explode First at the Pentagon?

One of the most puzzling features of the hit on the Pentagon was the pattern of damage and the pattern of

suppression of eye-witness accounts, including accounts of people who were present in the part of the Pentagon that was hit. Barbara Honneger has exposed what happened in this regard in a devastating article *The Pentagon Attack Papers*.³¹ She writes:

The *San Francisco Chronicle* commemorated the 100th anniversary of the Great California 1906 Earthquake with front-page articles featuring a single iconic image - a charred clock frozen in time at 5:12 a.m. - the exact moment “The Big One” hit. Over a century after that devastating event, this stopped clock still serves as the ultimate evidence and the historic icon that “captures it all.”

Another series of clocks and watches frozen in time at the exact moment of the first violent event at the Pentagon on the morning of Sept. 11, 2001 also “capture it all” and serve as the ultimate evidence shattering the official theory of what happened there that terrible morning and narrows the range of who was really responsible for the horrific acts.

Converging Lines of Evidence of a 9:30-

³¹Barbara Honneger: *The Pentagon Attack Papers* updated January 11, 2011; <http://physics911.net/pdf/honegger.pdf>. The first version appeared as an appendix to the book by Jim Marrs *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened on 9/11, And Why We’re Still Paying The Price*; Publishers: The Disinformation Co Ltd, New York; 2011.

to-9:32 a.m. Inside Violent Event at the Pentagon on Sept. 11, 2001 well before the Official Story says anything approached and hit the building.³²

The Pentagon was first attacked shortly after 9:30 a.m. almost 8 minutes before the official ‘impact’ time of 9:37:46, when the Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT) says a plane hit the building from the outside. Both DoD and mainstream media initially reported the time of the alleged Flight 77 impact similarly, as “about 9:30”, but government censors quickly began moving the alleged outside strike time later and later. Some early reports of the alleged impact time quoting official sources were as late as 10:00. The time given by Pentagon officials for the claimed outside impact on the building finally “settled” at 9:37:47, or almost 9:38.

Multiple standard-issue battery- and electric-operated wall clocks in the heliport just outside the west wall and in the inside areas of the Pentagon attacked on 9/11 were stopped between 9:30 and 9:32 as a result of the first violent event.

³²Emphasis as well as uppercase letters in original throughout this quote.

Barbara Honneger also points out that a Pentagon wall clock stopped at 9:31:40 and a picture of the same was posted on a Navy website. Further the clock from the Pentagon that is on display at the Smithsonian Institute shows the time at which it stopped as 9:31:30.

The story of April Gallop, a Pentagon employee with a Top Secret clearance is astonishing. She told Barbara Honneger that she was at work in a part of the Pentagon that suffered the maximum destruction and also suffered the greatest number of casualties when she heard an explosion that sounded like a bomb going off, followed by another explosion. She told Barbara Honneger in a recorded interview that lasted over two hours:

Being in the Army with the training I had,
I know what a bomb sounds and acts like,
especially the aftermath, and it sounded and
acted like a bomb. There was no plane or
plane parts inside the building, and no smell
of jet fuel.

This is devastating testimony. April Gallop also stated that the bomb went off the moment she clicked on her computer which showed the time as 9:30.³³ Barbara Honneger further states:

³³Barbara Honneger has pointed out that Jane Graham, an employee working at Murrah State Building, wrecked in what has been called the Oklahoma State Bombing, also reported that the moment she clicked her computer button on, an explosion went off in the building. She made this statement to host Bonnie Faulkner in a Pacifica KPFA radio interview. Barbara Honneger remarks: *"The parallel inside-bomb-withoutside-attack-cover-story*

The huge explosion and massive damage experienced by Gallop at/by her desk inside the west section only about 30 feet from the alleged ‘entrance’ hole of the claimed impactor, combined with the fact that the Pentagon’s thick west outer wall had just been fortified to *withstand damage from an external bomb*³⁴ and/or external impactor, make it almost certain that the explosion and internal damage experienced by Gallop and other witnesses came - at least first - from inside, not outside, the building.

Multiple surviving witnesses from the west section of the Pentagon have reported two things: firstly the explosion around 9:30 caused the windows to *first* expand *outward* and then inwards, a fact that leads only to one conclusion - the explosion took place *inside* the building. Secondly these witnesses report *smelling cordite, and not burning jet fuel*. Cordite is a material used in explosives and its smell is closer to gun smoke and quite distinct from the smell of burning fuel. Interestingly Don Perkal, a Pentagon employee told MSNBC:³⁵

Even before stepping outside, I could smell the cordite. I knew explosives had been set off somewhere.

modus operandi of the Murrah Building and Pentagon attacks point to the same inside perpetrators as being responsible for the planning and execution of both.” Emphasis added

³⁴Emphasis in original.

³⁵*Ibid*

Barbara Honneger, who first provided Michael C. Rupert with important information pertaining to several of the war games being conducted on 9/11,³⁶ further states:

The author also interviewed the taxi driver whose cab is the only car visible still parked on I-395 above the Pentagon lawn looking down at the west face after the other cars have left the freeway (not Lloyd England). This taxi can be seen in overhead photos taken on the morning of 9/11 and viewable on the Internet. The driver said his was the last car allowed onto that section of I-395 before police put up a barricade and that he decided not to immediately leave the scene like the others “because I realized this was history and I wanted to see for myself.” He stated that *he saw no evidence of a plane having hit the building nor any visible plane pieces on the lawn at the time he arrived, which was after the first violent event had occurred at the building, as black smoke was already streaming up and to the right from inside-the-building fires.*³⁷

An army auditor, whose name has not been revealed by Barbara Honneger in her article³⁸ told her the following:

³⁶Ruppert has acknowledged this on p 308 of his book.

³⁷Emphasis added.

³⁸However the name and contact information has been provided by Honneger to to Professor David Ray Griffin as bona fides for

He was in the Army financial management only minutes before the Pentagon explosion on the morning of 9/11. He had just returned from his temporary office on the ground floor of the adjacent south side of the Pentagon by the cafeteria when he heard an explosion and felt the building shake. Immediately afterwards, he said, hundreds of panicked Pentagon employees ran by down the corridor just outside his office and out the South Entrance yelling “Bombs!” and “A bomb went off!”

Per Stig Moller, who soon afterwards became the Foreign Minister of Denmark, happened to be in his hotel room in Washington D.C. on the morning of 9/11. He heard an explosion and saw smoke over Pentagon and then looked at his wrist watch immediately. His watch showed the time at that moment as 9:32. He revealed this in an interview that he gave to a radio station in his own country. If he saw smoke rising from Pentagon and also heard an explosion at 9:32 then the smoke and the explosion could not have been due to the impact of a flying object, whatever it was, because the object struck Pentagon at 9:37.

Barbara Honneger has raised a most pertinent question (and a similar question will arise when we look at

his book *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited*. The auditor asked Honneger not to use his name but agreed to testify before a grand jury or an independent official investigation.

the mysterious collapse of the 40 storey building WT 7 in the vicinity of the WTC towers). She states:

The day before 9/11, on September 10, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld had publicly announced and acknowledged that the Pentagon was “missing” that it allegedly could not account for and needed to “find - \$2.3 Trillion dollars (other reports have put the amount at \$2.6 Trillion). Were some of the auditors who could “follow the money ... and the computers whose data mining could help them do it ... intentionally targeted by the inside-the-building explosions at the Pentagon on September 11th ?

This is an important motive. But regardless of the motive, the evidence that bombs exploded inside the Pentagon between 9:30 and 9:32 A.M., approximately five to seven minutes before the flying object hit the Pentagon, is undeniable. Further the object that hit the Pentagon was not an aeroplane, and certainly not a Boeing. These facts simply cannot be reconciled with the official version. Why has the 9/11 Commission ignored highly credible eyewitness testimony repeatedly? The 9/11 Commission, the government of the United States of America and the corporate media are all lying to their own people and to the entire world. Why?

3.4 Flight UA 93

United Airlines Flight UA 93 was scheduled to depart from Newark Airport, New Jersey, at 8:01 AM. Instead it departed 41 minutes later, at 8:42 AM, carrying between 26 to 38 passengers and seven crew members. This too was a Boeing 757 and the destination of the flight was San Francisco. After flying for 54 minutes it turned course, at 9:36 AM, and, according to the official version, headed towards Washington D.C.

Some minutes before the plane changed course, at 9:27 AM, the wife of a passenger Tom Burnett, received a call from her husband who told her that the plane had been hijacked, and asked her to inform the FBI. She did so. In the meantime, at 9:28, air traffic controllers heard screams and sounds of scuffling. At 9:34, two minutes before the plane changed course, Burnett learned from his wife that the WTC had been hit and concluded that Flight 93 was also on a suicide mission.

While Burnett was communicating with his wife, three other passengers, one of them named Jeremy Glick, also found out about the WTC attacks. This happened at 9:37 AM. At 9:45 AM two things happened. Firstly Tom Burnett told his wife that he thought that the hijackers, despite their claim, were *not* armed with bombs. Secondly a passenger named Todd Beamer began a phone conversation with a Verizon representative Lisa Jefferson. This call lasted for *65 minutes*! At 9:47 Jeremy Glick told his wife that the hijackers were armed only with knives and had no guns. There are slightly differing

accounts of what some of the passengers communicating with people on the ground exactly said, but what they said is to the following effect: Some passengers, having sensed that the hijackers had no guns or bombs, decided to overpower them and appeared to have almost succeeded in gaining control of the plane. The details of who said what and at what time, or during which time interval, can be found in many places since researchers have dissected the entire time line of each of the flights in an attempt to understand exactly what happened and when.

In order to form an idea of what happened before the plane crashed, or was blown up, we may quote directly from Griffin:³⁹

At 9:58 Todd Beamer ended his phone call by saying that passengers planned “to jump” the hijacker in the back of the plane, then uttered his famous last words: ‘Are you ready guys? Let’s roll.’ At 9:58, a passenger talking to her husband said: “I think they’re going to do it. They’re forcing their way into the cockpit.” A little later, she exclaimed: “They’re doing it! They’re doing it! They’re doing it!” But her husband then heard screaming in the background followed by a “whooshing sound, a sound like wind”, then more

³⁹David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about the Bush Administration and 9/11*: Arris Books, 2004, p 50.

screaming after which he lost contact. Another passenger, calling from a restroom, reportedly said before contact was lost that he heard “some sort of explosion” and saw “white smoke coming from the plane.” (Months later the FBI denied that the recording of this call contained any mention of smoke or an explosion, but the person who took this call was not allowed to speak to the media.) The person listening to Jeremy Glick’s open phone line said: “The silence lasted two minutes and then there was a mechanical sound, followed by more screams. Finally, there was a mechanical sound followed by nothing.” According to one newspaper report, moreover: “Sources claim the last thing heard on the cockpit voice recorder is the sound of wind - suggesting the plane had been holed.”

As with the other three flights there are many mysteries associated with Flight 93. Firstly it has been claimed by the U.S. Government that this flight crashed in Pennsylvania. But did it? People have challenged this claim on the basis of several evidences, both visual and instrumental. We quote at length from an article that appeared in *The Independent* of 13 August 2002 since it sums up nicely the conflict between the official theory, facts and other theories about what really happened. It

states:⁴⁰

The alternative theories, both of which have been denied by the U.S. military and the FBI, are a) that Flight 93 was brought down by a U.S. government plane; and b) that a bomb went off aboard (passengers had said in phone calls that one of the hijackers had what appeared to be a bomb strapped to him). If doubts remain despite the denials, if conspiracy theories flourish, it is in large part because of the authorities' failure to address head-on questions centering on the following four conundrums.

1. The wide displacement of the plane's debris, one explanation for which might be an explosion of some sort aboard prior to the crash. Letters - Flight 93 was carrying 7,500 pounds of mail to California and other papers from the plane were found eight miles (13km) away from the scene of the crash. A sector of one engine weighing one ton was found 2,000 yards away. This was the single heaviest piece recovered from the crash, and the biggest, apart from a piece of fuselage the size of a dining-room table. The rest of the plane, consistent with an impact calculated to have occurred at 500mph, disintegrated

⁴⁰ *Unanswered Questions: The Mystery of Flight 93*; Independent.co.uk 13 August 2002.

into pieces no bigger than two inches long. Other remains of the plane were found two miles away near a town called Indian Lake. All of these facts, widely disseminated, were confirmed by the coroner Wally Miller.

2. The location of U.S. Air Force jets, which might or might not have been close enough to fire a missile at the hijacked plane. Live news media reports on the morning of 11 September conflict with a number of official statements issued later. What the government acknowledges is that the first fighters with the mission to intercept took off at 8.52am; that another set of fighters took off from Andrews Air Force base near Washington at 9.35am precisely the time that Flight 93 turned almost 180 degrees off course towards Washington and the hijacker pilot was heard by air-traffic controllers to say that there was “bomb aboard”. Flight 93, whose menacing trajectory was made known by the broadcast media almost immediately, did not go down for another 31 minutes. Apart from the logical conclusion that at least one Air Force F-16 125 miles away in Washington at 9.40am, meaning 10 minutes away from Flight 93 (or less if it flew at supersonic speed) should have reached the fourth of the “flying bombs” well before 10.06am, there is this evidence from a federal flight controller pub-

lished a few days later in a newspaper in New Hampshire: that an F-16 had been “in hot pursuit” of the hijacked United jet and “must have seen the whole thing”. Also, there was one brief report on CBS television before the crash that two F-16 fighters were tailing Flight 93. Vice-President Dick Cheney acknowledged five days later that President Bush had authorised the Air Force pilots to shoot down hijacked commercial aircraft.

3. One telephone call from the doomed plane whose contents do not entirely tally with the hero legend and which is accordingly omitted in the Independence Day-type dramas favoured by the U.S. media. The Associated Press news service reported on 11 September that eight minutes before the crash, a frantic male passenger called the 911 emergency number. He told the operator, named Glen Cramer, that he had locked himself inside one of the plane’s toilets. Cramer told the AP, in a report that was widely broadcast on 11 September, that the passenger had spoken for one minute. “We-’re being hijacked, we’re being hijacked!” the man screamed down his mobile phone. “We confirmed that with him several times,” Cramer said, “and we asked him to repeat what he said. He was very distraught. He said he believed the

plane was going down. He did hear some sort of an explosion and saw white smoke coming from the plane, but he didn't know where. And then we lost contact with him." According to the information that has been made known, this was the last of the various phone calls made from the aeroplane. No more calls were received from the plane in the eight minutes that remained after the man in the toilet said that he had heard an explosion.

4. Eyewitness accounts of a "mystery plane" that flew low over the Flight 93 crash site shortly after impact. Lee Purbaugh is one of at least half a dozen named individuals who have reported seeing a second plane flying low and in erratic patterns, not much above treetop level, over the crash site within minutes of the United flight crashing. They describe the plane as a small, white jet with rear engines and no discernible markings. Purbaugh, who served three years in the U.S. Navy, said he did not believe it was a military plane. If it indeed was not, one suggestion made in the internet discussion groups is that U.S. Customs uses planes with these characteristics to interdict aerial drug shipments. Either way, the presence of the mystery jet remains a puzzle.

Regarding the denial by U.S. authorities that there were no planes in the vicinity of Flight 93 before it “crashed”, an interesting investigative article by Robb Magley establishes that the claim is false. He reveals the presence of a sonic boom, duly recorded by instruments at 9:22 AM on that day. The instruments that recorded the sonic boom were located in an earthquake monitoring station in southern Pennsylvania, a mere “60 miles from the abandoned stripmine in Somerset County where the Boeing 757-200 hit the earth at 10:06 AM.” Magley emphasizes that the sonic boom and earthquake graphs are *distinct*. He states: “*The resulting chart of displacement versus time is quite distinct from other seismic events.*”⁴¹ The article states:⁴²

The North American Aerospace Defense Command, or NORAD, issued a press release one week after the attacks. The timeline told of Air National Guard fighter jets taking off from bases in Massachusetts and Virginia at 8:46 A.M. and 9:30 A.M., respectively. The first jets, two F-15’s from Otis Air National Guard Base, responded to an 8:40 A.M. scramble order and screamed towards New York City six minutes later. The second group, F-16’s from Langley AFB, responded to a 9:24 A.M. order and again were en route to their

⁴¹Emphasis added.

⁴²Robb Magley: *Seismic Event: The Final Moments of Flight 93*; posted at various websites; see e.g. flight93crash.com.

target in six minutes, this time pointing towards Washington D.C. and the threatened Pentagon.

The problem with this story is that neither group of fighters could have made the sonic boom recorded in Pennsylvania by 9:22.⁴³

The F-16's from Langley hadn't even been told to get into the air yet, so they're out. The F-15's from Otis reached New York at 9:06, 3 minutes too late to stop the second World Trade Center impact, having averaged a speed of around 800 miles per hour to get there. They could have covered the 207 miles from NYC to the seismic station in Pennsylvania in a mere 15 minutes at that speed. But this would have required them to leave New York City undefended at 9:07, merely one minute after arriving.

It would also have required a sixth sense, since the FAA didn't even warn NORAD that Flight 93 was considered a possible threat until 9:16.

While we don't know where the jet that created the sonic boom came from, we can safely assume that any aircraft moving supersonically over the continental U.S. by 9:22 on September 11th was part of our own military. And not knowing the fighter's home

⁴³Emphasis added.

*base does little to change the fact that it would have been in excellent position to intercept Flight 93 well before it crashed at 10:06.*⁴⁴

How does one reconcile this seismic evidence with the official version?

Apart from the above “mysteries” of Flight 93, there is another mystery connected with phone calls. This has been discussed in great detail by Griffin. He writes:⁴⁵

A cell phone had to complete a “handshake” with a cellsite, and this took several seconds, so cell phones in high-speed planes would have trouble staying connected to a cellsite long enough to complete a call.

Griffin also cites the 2003 experiments of a Canadian scientist A.K. Dewdney. Dewdney experimented with making cell phone calls at various altitudes from single engine and twin engine aircraft. Griffin writes:⁴⁶

He found that in a single-engine plane, successful phone calls could be counted on only under 2000 feet. Above that altitude they became increasingly unlikely. At 20,000 feet, Dewdney concluded, “the chance of a typical cell phone call making it to the ground and engaging a cell site is less than one in a hundred.” . . . In later experiments using

⁴⁴Emphasis added.

⁴⁵David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor Revisited*; p 113.

⁴⁶*Ibid* p 113.

a twin-engine plane, which has greater mass and hence provides greater insulation from electronic signals than a single-engine plane, Dewdney *found that the success rate decayed to 0 percent at 7000 feet. A large airliner, having much greater mass, would provide far greater insulation.*⁴⁷

It is therefore logical to conclude that “*cell phone calls in airliners flying over 30,000 feet, especially calls that lasted long enough to have conversations, would have been out of question.*”⁴⁸

How does one reconcile the experimental evidence about cell phone calls with the calls that were apparently received by people on the ground from people who were supposed to be aboard Flight 93? Were the calls faked by some deep agency? Was voice morphing used (read the footnote below for this amazing technology)?⁴⁹

⁴⁷Emphasis added.

⁴⁸David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Ten Years later When State Crimes against Democracy Succeed*; Haus Publishing, 2011, pp 128-129; emphasis added.

⁴⁹In an article *When Seeing and Hearing Isn't Believing*, that appeared in the *Washington Post* on February 1, 1999 investigative reporter William Arkin stated:

“Gentlemen! We have called you together to inform you that we are going to overthrow the United States government.” So begins a statement being delivered by Gen. Carl W. Steiner, former Commander-in-chief, U.S. Special Operations Command. At least the voice sounds amazingly like him. But it is not Steiner. It is the result of voice “mor-

Did some agency artificially boost the signals? It is important to note that in his 2011 book Griffin states:⁵⁰

Is it not suprising that so many calls that for several years were considered cell phone calls are now designated onboard phone calls by FBI? Is it really plausible that all of these calls had been made from onboard phones, in spite of the fact that news stories at the time reported that they have been made on cell phones? Does it not appear that the FBI simply changed their reports to prevent the stories about phone calls from being discredited by the evidence that high-altitude cell phone calls would have been impossible?

phing” technology developed at the Los Alamos National Laboratory in New Mexico. By taking just a 10-minute digital recording of Steiner’s voice, scientist George Papcun is able, in near real time, to clone speech patterns and develop an accurate facsimile. Steiner was so impressed, he asked for a copy of the tape.

Steiner was hardly the first or last victim to be spoofed by Papcun’s team members. To refine their method, they took various high quality recordings of generals and experimented with creating fake statements. One of the most memorable is Colin Powell stating “I am being treated well by my captors.”

“They chose to have him say something he would never otherwise have said,” chuckled one of Papcun’s colleagues.

www.washingtonpost.com/wp-srv/national/dotmil/arkin020199.htm

⁵⁰ *Ibid* p 131.

Why has the FBI done so? Why has the FBI done so imperceptibly? Why has the FBI not given any publicly stated reason for this designation or redesignation? Interestingly the FBI did *not* include the part of the statement of Deena Burnett, wife of passenger Tom Burnett that her husband's cell phone number had appeared on her caller ID. FBI changed her statement and instead stated that her husband had used a seat-back phone! Why?

Ted Olson, a U.S. solicitor very closely tied to Bush and Cheney, had claimed that his wife Barbara Olson had called him *twice* from aboard Flight 77. He had also claimed that she had told him that the hijackers had herded all passengers and crew into the back part of the plane. This story has been dissected by Griffin in his 2008 book *9/11 Contradictions*. He points out that Olson contradicted himself on the issue of the type of phone used by his wife. The FBI in turn contradicted Olson by reporting to the 9/11Commission which stated in its report that *four* "communications" had taken place between "Barbara Olson and her husband's office." Griffin sums up his investigation in the following words:⁵¹

It is certainly strange that Ted Olson's initial story, that he received two cell phone calls from his wife, was later contradicted by Olson himself; that his later story, accord-

⁵¹David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Contradictions*; Arris Books 2008, p 78.

ing to which she had used an onboard phone to make these calls, was implicitly contradicted by American Airlines; and that both versions of his story were implicitly contradicted by the FBI, which is part of the very governmental department for which Olson formerly worked. Whatever be the explanation for these strange contradictions, however, they cry out for investigation by Congress and the press, as does the FBI's failure to make public its report, which contradicted the widely held view that cell phone calls had been made from American Flight 77.

3.5 Failure of SOPs

Although we have referred to failure of SOPs earlier, we will look at them in greater detail here. It is astounding that on 9/11 the authorities failed to follow SOPs in *each* of the four alleged hijackings and no one was punished for the failure! Was this a coincidence? *There is no known incident of failure to follow SOPs on the part of USAF in responding to an emergency, except on 9/11, when on four occasions the USAF practically stood by!* As Michael Ruppert wrote in his book:⁵²

Who can forget that scrambled fighter air-

⁵²Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publishers, 2004, p 309.

craft were flying beside the chartered Lear-jet occupied by golfer Payne Stewart and his entourage on October 25, 1999, after all had succumbed to explosive decompression at high altitude. It took only minutes for the fighters to get there after Stewart's plane had missed only one turn and failed to respond to radio transmissions for just a few minutes.

Why the enormous difference in responses between 1999 and 2001 when an obviously greater emergency existed and was widely recognized.

A similar sentiment is expressed by many 9/11 researchers. David Ray Griffin has further elaborated the puzzling questions in this regard:⁵³

In any case, given the fact that this plane hit the WTC 17 minutes after the first crash, none of the reasons that could be imagined to explain why standard procedures broke down with regard to the first plane - such as inattentive air traffic controllers, pilots at military bases not on full alert, or the assumption that the plane's aberrant behavior did not mean that it had been hijacked - could be used to explain why Flight 175

⁵³David Ray Griffin: *The New Pearl Harbor: Disturbing Questions about Bush Administration and 9/11*; Arris Books 2004, pp 7-8.

was not shot down or even intercepted. For one thing, by then all the technicians at NORAD's Northeast Air Defense Sector "had their headsets linked to the FAA in Boston to hear about Flight 11," so NORAD would have been fully aware of the seriousness of the situation. Even more puzzling is why in another 35 minutes, at 9:38, the Pentagon would be hit . . .

The SOPs are *automatically* followed in *every* situation in which a plane *veers off course*. Apart from 9/11, no incident is known in which the planes were not scrambled in such a situation. Analysts and researchers agree that no orders to scramble are required from highly placed officials if a situation in which a plane *strays off course* arises. In fact, as pointed out very early by Jared Israel and Ilya Bykov, *orders* from high officials, *not* to scramble would be required as otherwise the planes must scramble! The exceptional failure to scramble planes is a 9/11 mystery.

What happens if a plane has been *hijacked*? In an article that was posted on the internet on 9 January, 2002, George Szamuely had pointed out that elaborate procedures for responding to hijackings existed but were not followed. He quotes from FAA regulations:⁵⁴

⁵⁴George Szamuely: *9-11: Nothing Urgent*; research by Jared Israel and Ilya Bykov; first posted on www.emperors-clothes.com; a search in any search engine will reveal numerous repostings of this article on various websites.

The FAA hijack coordinator ... on duty at Washington headquarters will request the military to provide an escort aircraft for a confirmed hijacked aircraft ... The escort service will be requested by the FAA hijack coordinator by direct contact with the National Military Command Center (NMCC).

George Szamuely also cites a June 1, 2001 instruction from the Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff:

In the event of a hijacking, the NMCC will be notified by the most expeditious means by the FAA. The NMCC will ... forward requests for DOD assistance to the Secretary of Defense for approval.

Michael Meacher, former British Environmental Minister and MP, wrote in *The Guardian* in 2003:⁵⁵

All of this makes it all the more astonishing - on the war on terrorism perspective - that there was such slow reaction on September 11 itself. The first hijacking was suspected at not later than 8.20am, and the last hijacked aircraft crashed in Pennsylvania at 10.06am. Not a single fighter plane was scrambled to investigate from the U.S. Andrews air force

⁵⁵Michael Meacher: *This war on terrorism is bogus*; *Guardian*, September 6, 2003;
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/politics/2003/sep/06/september11.iraq>

base, just 10 miles from Washington DC, until after the third plane had hit the Pentagon at 9.38 am. Why not? There were standard FAA intercept procedures for hijacked aircraft before 9/11. Between September 2000 and June 2001 the U.S. military launched fighter aircraft on 67 occasions to chase suspicious aircraft (AP, August 13 2002). It is a U.S. legal requirement that once an aircraft has moved significantly off its flight plan, fighter planes are sent up to investigate.

Was this inaction simply the result of key people disregarding, or being ignorant of, the evidence? Or could U.S. air security operations have been deliberately stood down on September 11? If so, why, and on whose authority? The former U.S. federal crimes prosecutor, John Loftus, has said: “*The information provided by European intelligence services prior to 9/11 was so extensive that it is no longer possible for either the CIA or FBI to assert a defence of incompetence.*”⁵⁶

It has been emphasised by Michael Ruppert that false notions have been “deliberately lodged” into the public mind with regard to what happens when the transponder of a civilian aircraft goes off. The public has been led to believe that when the transponder of a plane goes off it is no longer visible on the air traffic control radar

⁵⁶Emphasis added.

or that its altitude cannot be determined. *This is false.* After all the radar was invented to detect enemy aircraft during war and enemy aircraft during war do not have transponders that reveal their existence or coordinates. Transponders probably did not even exist when the radar was invented during World War II. Michael Ruppert states:⁵⁷

All commercial airliners are equipped with transponders - devices that emit radio signals at frequencies selected by air traffic controllers (ATCs) and pilots so that each aircraft can be easily be identified on radar screens that are often very crowded. I have flown in small private planes many times and watched as the pilots responded to an ATC instruction to “squawk” on a designated frequency. This involves the pilot setting the frequency in the cockpit and pushing a button that emits a signal on that frequency. Failure to do so in a timely manner results in an immediate inquiry from the ATC and a repeated instruction.

When a transponder is turned off, several things happen to civilian (FAA) radar screens that do *not* affect *military radar*.⁵⁸ First a small identifying symbol on the blip on the

⁵⁷Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publishers, 2004, pp 310-311.

⁵⁸Emphasis added

controller's radar screen goes out. Second, although the civilian ATC still has the ability to track the aircraft in two dimensions, he or she is no longer able to point out its altitude. Third, as reported in an on-the-record statement by a veteran pilot (and confirmed by at least a dozen others), *when an aircraft under ATC control goes silent, the blip for that aircraft is instantaneously inserted in a conspicuous manner on the screens of every other ATC in the region. Everybody sees it.*⁵⁹

This means that even if the transponders for the four allegedly hijacked planes went off, the planes did *not* become invisible on the FAA radar screen or on the military radar. How does one then explain the claim of the U.S. Government that Flight 77 could not be located for half an hour (in fact for 29 minutes)? The aircraft must have been conspicuously visible to *every* ATC in the *region* and the *military would have known everything about its coordinates*. Save for its altitude, the *civilian* personnel, the ATCs, would know every point on the surface of earth above which it passed at a height not known to them but known to the military personnel. The ATCs could easily tell if the plane were passing over a village, a river or some other location, except that they would not be able to state its height. The only way an aircraft would be invisible would be if it were flying very close to the ground, something which cannot

⁵⁹Emphasis added.

be sustained for an aircraft as large as a Boeing 757 (see quote from Maj. Rokke Ph.D. below). And how come a Boeing was flying for 29 minutes close to the ground without being noticed by a single individual in the entire region? Did it not pass over, or in the vicinity of, a single living being to be seen by the human eye if it was flying so low for 29 minutes as to evade the radar? Is such a thing credible? In fact Maj. Douglas Rokke Ph.D., a retired U.S. military officer has stated:⁶⁰

No aircraft hit the Pentagon! You couldn't make the turns with a 757. You couldn't fly it over a highway. You couldn't fly it over the light poles. *You couldn't even get close to ground because of turbulence.*⁶¹

Why has the media not interviewed ATCs about this and published something on this simple point? Is the U.S. Government lying? If yes then why? Is it because the war games that were being conducted by the military on 9/11 are sacrosanct territory where something is hidden, something that must not be exposed? Is that why the complaisant media fears to tread the area of 9/11 war games? The media in any case belongs to corporations and the wars after 9/11 are corporate wars, the most obvious being wars for energy resources. Is

⁶⁰Quoted by Jim Marrs: *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened on 9/11, And Why We're Still Paying The Price*; Publishers: The Disinformation Co Ltd, New York; 2011, p VIII.

⁶¹Emphasis added.

the media avoidance of the war games on 9/11 the result of a deliberate policy on the part of those who own and control the media? As Ruppert states:⁶²

The enormous significance of the war games is confirmed by the fact that any detailed discussion of them and their relationship to 9/11 emergency response has been neglected by the press, avoided by the government and ignored by the so called independent commission looking into the attacks.

... it is here that we find more concrete evidence of guilty knowledge, obstruction of justice, and gross dishonesty on the part of military and civilian officials whose obligation has been to tell the truth.

When something important is completely omitted by the media monopoly one can be sure of its deep but damaging importance for the interests of the Elite which owns the media well as the Government of the United States of America directly or through its agents. This is a pattern followed by the media monopoly for well over a hundred years now.

3.5.1 Cheney's Role

Were the SOPs suspended and overridden by a superior authority on 9/11? If yes why and by whom? Is

⁶²Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon - The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil*; New Society Publishers, 2004, p 310.

there any evidence that the USAF planes were ordered to standby on 9/11 in the case of one or more hijacked planes? Are some of the key players in the then Government of the United States of America lying? Either the SOPs were suspended or else there was negligence on the part of some or all those involved the chain of actions beginning from the civilian ATCs right up to the defense personnel at various levels. If there was negligence why has any one not been punished? And if no one has been held responsible then it must be the leadership at the highest level in the government, including the defense establishment, that was involved in some manner. That heads did not roll only means that key players were responsible in some way for 9/11 just as Franklin Delano Roosevelt and a coterie of confidantes were responsible for Pearl Harbor.⁶³

Firstly it must be clarified that as a result of certain Presidential directives Dick Cheney was empowered to oversee and “to coordinate development of U.S. government initiatives to combat terrorist attacks on the United States.”⁶⁴ In an official statement of President Bush issued by the White House Press Secretary on May 8, 2001, it was stated:⁶⁵

Therefore, I have asked Vice President Cheney to oversee the development of coordinated national effort so that we may do the

⁶³See previous chapter.

⁶⁴White House Press Release May 8, 2001; *Ibid* p 333.

⁶⁵*Ibid* p 33.

very best possible job of protecting our people from catastrophic harm. I have also asked Joe Allbaugh, the Director of the Federal Emergency Management Authority, to create an Office of National Preparedness. This office will be responsible for implementing the results of those parts of the national effort overseen by Vice President Cheney that deal with consequence management. Specifically it will coordinate all federal programs dealing with weapons of mass destruction consequence management within the Department of Defense, Health and Human Services, Justice and Energy, the Environmental Protection and other federal agencies . . .

It is therefore clear that Dick Cheney had been formally entrusted with coordinating and overseeing the U.S. government response to an emergency situation like the one that arose on September 11, 2001.

Two points about Cheney have been the subject of intense scrutiny by researchers. Firstly, in accordance with SOPs Dick Cheney was taken into a bomb proof basement, the President's Emergency Operations Center (PEOC) in White House from where he was able to coordinate the response to the 9/11 events. The time at which he was ushered into the White House basement has been subjected to intense scrutiny by researchers. There is a very serious discrepancy between this time as stated in the official version (9:58 AM according to the 9/11 Commission) and the time that has been uncov-

ered by researchers by piecing together the statements of various important players (well before 9:20 AM). Why is this important will be explained in the following. Secondly researchers have asked the question as to whether Cheney issued a stand down order on that day preventing the USAF aircraft from shooting down the hijacked planes in contradiction with usual SOPs? This is important because if he did issue such a stand down instruction it means that he allowed 9/11 to happen, or at least the Pentagon to be hit. And that begs the question: Why? Now if Cheney was ushered in the PEOC at 9:58 (official version) he could not have issued the stand down orders. But if he was there well before 9:20, then, as we see below, the stand down orders most likely came from him.

In his testimony before the 9/11 Commission Secretary of Transportation Norman Mineta pointed out the following incident:

During the time that the airplane was coming into Pentagon, there was a young man who would come in and say to the Vice President, "The plane is 50 miles out." "The plane is 30 miles out." And when it got down to "the plane is 10 miles out," the young man also said to the Vice President "Do the orders still stand?" And the Vice President turned and whipped his neck around and said, "Of course the orders still stand. Have you heard anything to the contrary?"

Since the plane approaching Washington was *not* shot down, in contravention of SOPs, Mineta's testimony can be interpreted as implying that Cheney had given a *stand down* order i.e. an order *not* to shoot down the plane. When asked by one of the 9/11 commissioners about the time this happened, Mineta replied that it was about 9:25 or 9:26. This however created another problem. As pointed out by Griffin, Mineta inadvertently provided dangerous information i.e. at that time Cheney was inside the President's Emergency Operations Center (PEOC).

Surprisingly, however, the 9/11 Commission has accepted a time line according to which Cheney was not in the PEOC till around 10:00 AM, 9:58 AM to be exact. The most likely reason this has been done is to absolve Cheney of responsibility of certain actions that could lead to criminal proceedings against him, if it can be established that he was inside the PEOC around 9:15 AM or so. The possible stand down order is one of these. If, as revealed by reliable sources, Cheney was in PEOC *before 9:15 AM*, then it meant that he, and the defense leadership, with whom he was presumably engaged in discussing various emergency matters, knew that planes had been hijacked. There is at least a 43 minute difference between the 9/11 Commission time of Cheney's arrival in PEOC and the time as pieced from statements of various main players as well as media reports. Is Mineta wrong or has the 9/11 Commission been dishonest? This question has been addressed in great detail by Griffin in his 2008 book *9/11 Contradic-*

tions. He states that Mineta's testimony is supported by many other *witnesses*. He writes:⁶⁶

Richard Clarke, in his book *Against All Enemies*, reported that he, Cheney, and Condoleezza Rice had a brief meeting shortly after 9:03, following which the Secret Service wanted Cheney and Rice to go down to the PEOC. Rice, however, first went with Clarke to the White House's Video Teleconferencing Center, where Clarke was to set up a video conference, which, Clarke's statements suggest, began at about 9:10. After spending a few minutes there, Rice said, according to Clarke: "You are going to need some decisions quickly. I'm going to the PEOC to be with the Vice President. Tell us what you need." Clarke replied: "What I need is an open line to Cheney and you." Some minutes later, evidently at 9:15, Norman Mineta arrived and Clarke, after receiving him in the Situation Room, "suggested he join the Vice President." Clarke thereby implied Cheney was in the PEOC several minutes prior to 9:15.

Griffin further writes that David Bohrer, the White House photographer, talked to the ABC channel that just after 9:00 AM two or three Secret Service agents came

⁶⁶David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Contradictions*; Arris Books 2011, pp 13-14.

inside Cheney's office saying: "Sir you have to come with us." Karl Rove also told NBC News, that just after 9:03 Andy Card came to the President, who watched the second plane hit the WTC, and then asked to be connected to the Vice President. According to Rove they could not get the Vice President because at that time he "was being literally, grabbed by his belt, lifted off the floor and grabbed by a Secret Service agent and moved to the bunker because the plane was approaching the White House."⁶⁷ Thus the time at which Cheney was in the bunker, i.e. PEOC, can not be much later than 9:03, possibly anywhere between 9:10 to 9:15 AM.

Interestingly, on September 16, 2001, Cheney told Tim Russert in a "Meet the Press" interview for NBC at Camp David, that he was picked up by Secret Service agents and brought to PEOC *before* the Pentagon was hit. In view of this it is very surprising that the 9/11 Commission chose a time line whereby Cheney arrived in the PEOC, almost 21 or 22 minutes *after* the Pentagon had been hit. There must be some very powerful reason for the adoption of such a time line officially. The only powerful and plausible reason appears to be an effort to protect Cheney of charges that would otherwise accrue if he had been in the bunker around 9:15 or so.

Damning evidence emerged from the statements of Lauro "LJ" Chavez, a Sergeant of the U.S. army with top secret clearance, who stated in 2006 that Cheney had given stand down orders to NORAD on 9/11. He

⁶⁷*Ibid*, p 14

stated that Cheney allowed the planes to hit the twin towers and the Pentagon and only after Pentagon had been hit, did he order that jets be scrambled. His damning statement is described in detail later in the chapter. He states that he was so disgusted with the preplanned 9/11 that he left the army. If what Chavez says is correct, and there is no reason to suspect that he is not stating the truth, then Cheney stands guilty of the responsibility of murder of 3000 or so U.S. citizens on 9/11.

3.6 The Destruction of WTC Towers

The collapse of WTC towers has been analyzed in great detail by researchers. In fact we have an organization with the name Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth. The official version as to why and how the towers collapsed goes as follows: When the planes struck the towers, the resulting fires generated so much heat that the steel structure holding the entire building melted, or softened to a considerable extent, with the result that it collapsed with the weight of the floors above the floor where the planes hit the towers and then under the weight of all the above floors a collapse set in. But is this how it happened?

The WTC structure was as follows: there were vertical steel columns in close clumps, jutting to the top. These vertical rods or columns covered about 25% of the the area of each floor of the WTC with the floors held by massive joints to these steel rods. In an article that was placed on the web on October 21, 2001 and then

revised on November 25, 2001, J. McMichael states:⁶⁸

... think of the floors of each tower like a stack of LP ($33\frac{1}{3}$ RPM) records, except that the floors were square instead of circular. They were stacked around a central spindle that consisted of multiple steel columns interspersed with dozens of elevator shafts. ... With the central core bearing the weight of the building, the platters were tied together and stabilized by another set of steel columns at the outside rim, closely spaced and completely surrounding the structure. The resulting structure was so stable that the top of the towers swayed only three feet. The architects called it a “tube within a tube” design.

According to the original version propagated through the media the joints that connected the floors to the central columns either melted or were greatly softened by fire on the floor where the fire began, with the consequence that the floor collapsed under the weight of the floors above it and onto the lower floors generating a chain reaction in which each lower floor collapsed under the weight of the collapsed upper floors.

The above explanation has many problems that were noted by researchers very early. Firstly steel melts at 1538° , whereas BBC reported that temperatures had

⁶⁸J.McMichael: *Muslims Suspend Laws of Physics!*
<http://www.public-action.com/911/jmcm/physics1.html>

risen to 800° and steel had melted as a result. As McMichael puts it sarcastically and powerfully:⁶⁹

Using jet fuel to melt steel is an amazing discovery, really. It is also amazing that until now, no one had been able to get it to work, and that proves the terrorists were not stupid people. Ironworkers fool with acetylene torches, bottled oxygen, electric arcs from generators, electric furnaces, and other elaborate tricks, but what did these brilliant terrorists use? Jet fuel, costing maybe 80 cents a gallon on the open market.

There are other problems. Steel conducts heat very fast and therefore the steel that heated up at the floor where the fires raged must have, according to the laws of physics, transmitted that heat to the entire column from top to bottom so that the steel columns would heat up uniformly. The mass of the steel was hundreds of thousands of tons. Is it really believable that the jet fuel of a single jetliner can heat say 200,000 tons of steel uniformly to temperatures as high as the melting point of steel or even as high as 800° or 1000°? Experiments conducted with fires in open-sided car parks (where the fire is not starved for oxygen unlike closed buildings) in four countries demonstrate that such fires do not generate temperatures above 360° Celsius.

McMichael has quoted a paragraph from such a study:

⁶⁹ *Ibid.*

Steel-framed car parks have been rigorously fire tested in a number of countries (Table 3). These tests demonstrate that most unprotected steel in open-sided steel-framed car parks has sufficient inherent temperature to withstand any fires that are likely to occur. Table 3 lists the maximum temperatures reached in open-sided car park tests in four countries. These can be compared with characteristic failure temperatures for beams carrying insulating floor slabs and columns of 660° C and 550° C respectively.

McMichael then quotes the maximum temperatures observed, from Table 3 of the source, for both beam and column, in for Japan , USA and Australia as (first temperature for beam and second for column - beams are horizontal, columns are vertical): Japan: 275° C and 360° C; USA: 245° C and 242° C; Australia: 340° C and 320° C. *With these numbers it should be clear that steel in the WTC could not have melted or softened due to fire.* Steel has a melting point of 1538° C! Further, as McMichael points out, by the time the second plane hit the WTC South Tower (9:03), the first from the North Tower, which was hit at 8:45, had died down. He states:

Then by 9:03 AM (which time was marked by the second plane's collision with the south tower), the flame was mostly gone and only black smoke continued to pour from the building. To my simple mind, that would indicate

that the first fire had died down, but something was burning inefficiently, leaving soot (carbon) in the smoke. A fire with sooty smoke is either low temperature or starved for oxygen - or both.

Then how come the tower collapsed? The official NIST has tried to confuse the issue and, presumably, as part of disinformation a book with the title *Popular Mechanics* was published in 2006. David Ray Griffin has effectively and completely rebutted this book, as well the NIST document, in his 2011 publication.⁷⁰ In fact Richard Gage founder of the Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth⁷¹ addressed a Press Conference on September 10, 2010 along with Senator Mike Gravel (D-AK) in which it was stated that “*The official FEMA and NIST reports provide insufficient, contradictory, and fraudulent accounts of the circumstances of the towers’ destruction. . . . We are therefore calling for a grand jury investigation of NIST officials . . .*”⁷²

Engineer Mathew T. Stackpole has stated his views on the website⁷³ of Patriots Question 9/11 in the following words:

I have been pondering for several years how the buildings could have fallen in the manner they did, given an explosion so far from

⁷⁰David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Ten Years Later When State Crimes Against Democracy Succeed*; Haus Publishing, 2001; chapter 2.

⁷¹Website www.AE911Truth.org.

⁷²Emphasis added.

⁷³www.patriotsquestion911.com

the foundations of the building, I find it hard to believe the given explanations. How does jet fuel leak down the cavities of the building all the way to the footings of these building to provide such a clean free fall. If a truck hits a house, it may fall to the ground, however portions of it will remain splintered and fragmented, however not obliterated . . .

More than 1500 architects and engineers have, in a signed petition, questioned the “official” 9/11 explanation. As architect Kathi C. Gregory stated:⁷⁴

In the beginning I believed the official story. But after the shock wore off, I found I could not forget the way the buildings fell. The debris was not consistent with a normal building collapse. Eye witnesses heard explosions, and the collapse of all three buildings had more resemblance to a controlled demolition than an unplanned structural failure. I have read many points of view on the matter and can no longer agree with the official story.

Most fortunately the collapse of the WTC was filmed by the media covering the event and was seen by a very large number of viewers worldwide. Numerous pictures shot by ordinary individuals are also available permitting an analysis from different angles and at different times. In addition we have testimonies of survivors of

⁷⁴See www.patriotsquestion911.com

North Tower of WTC who heard explosions before the collapse. Their testimonies tell a different story. The *initial* television reports are also there. And above all we have a peer reviewed article published in the year 2009 that reported nanothermite residue in WTC dust. This publication meets the strictest scientific standards and has not be refuted in any peer reviewed journal.

The press release of the Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth, issued on September 10, 2010 states:

The Twin Towers suffered total destruction in 10 to 14 seconds in *near free-fall accelerations* which can *only occur as a result of pre-set demolition explosives*.⁷⁵

More than 100 first responders reported explosions and flashes consistent with pre-set demolitions. Multi-ton steel sections were ejected 600 feet laterally at 60 mph, a distance and speed consistent with high-pressure explosive detonations.

90,000 tons of concrete and metal decking were pulverized in mid-air, creating the signature dust clouds of controlled demolitions. A massive debris field 1,400 feet in diameter, along with the absence of “pancaked” floors, indicates a very explosive destruction of each tower.

The presence of several tons of molten metal found in the debris is consistent with the ex-

⁷⁵Emphasis added in this quote.

treme temperatures generated by the use of thermite V an incendiary used to cut through steel like a hot knife through butter. An international team of scientists found nanothermitic composite material in World Trade Center dust samples, providing hard evidence of the presence of advanced energetic materials in the disaster debris.

3.6.1 WTC Survivors' Testimony

The testimony of survivors from the WTC collapse, which was ignored by the 9/11 Commission, is very revealing. It was highly dishonest⁷⁶ on the part of the Commission to have ignored such testimony, which, as quoted above is also consistent with physical evidence. *The testimonies of the survivors unambiguously point to the use of explosives to demolish the twin towers. Some explosives were triggered before impact by planes and some afterwards.*

⁷⁶I am sorry to have to use such a strong word for any American because I deeply respect Americans for their human and professional qualities. However, in view of the fact that the families of those who died on 9/11 and the hundreds of thousands who have died in our parts of the world, and will continue to die on account of preplanned wars that were unleashed by those who control America, on pretext of retaliation for 9/11, an honest report by the 9/11 Commission would have brought justice and closure to so many men, women and children across the globe, who have suffered so much on account of these terrible wars. Similar testimony in Pentagon bomb explosions was also ignored. The Commission also ignored many whistle blowers.

In his detailed and comprehensively referenced article engineer R. Herbst⁷⁷ quotes from the testimony of Tom Elliott given to *Christian Science Monitor*. Elliott was working in his office at the Aeon Corp located on the 103rd floor of the South Tower. The report states:

Sometime after 8.30 a.m., a bright flash of light startled him, and a rumble shook the structure. Flames appeared to be crawling up the outside of the building. Flames appeared to be crawling up of the building, along with dark smoke, burning paper and ash. Elliott and two others headed down the building stairwell. As they reached the 67th floor, United Airlines Flight 175 slammed into the 78th floor of their tower at 9:03 a.m. Although its spectacularly televised impact was above Elliott, at first he and those around him thought an explosion had come from *below*. An incredible sound - he calls it an "*exploding sound*" - *shook the building and a tornado of hot air and smoke and ceiling tiles and bits of drywall came flying up the stairwell. "In front of me the wall*

⁷⁷R. Herbst: *Mysteries of the Twin Towers: A Survey of Available Evidence On the Collapse of World Trade Center Towers*; revised version 12 February 2009; <http://www.seattle911visibilityproject.org/rwtcpdf.pdf>. This article is posted on numerous web sites. In 62 pages of text there are 354 references.

split from the bottom up.”⁷⁸

What is one to make of it if the *wall split from the bottom up* and if bits of drywall and ceiling tiles came flying up from *below* the 67th floor? What about the tornado of hot air and smoke that blew from *below*? What about the explosion and fire and smoke and burnt paper sometime after 8.30, well before the plane hit the tower, time enough for Elliott and others to descend downstairs from 103rd floor to the 67th?

William Rodriguez who was declared a 9/11 hero was in the basement (sub-level 1) when Flight 11 hit the North Tower. Quoting Rodriguez, Herbst writes:

“When I heard the sound of explosion, the floor beneath my feet vibrated, the walls started cracking and everything started shaking . . . Seconds later I heard another explosion from way above. Although I was unaware at the time this was the airplane hitting the tower . . .” Rodriguez said Anthony Salmachia, supervisor for the American Maintenance Co. was one of the people in the room who stands ready to verify his story. Subsequently Rodriguez helped to save hundreds of people in the North Tower, by opening doors with a master key for fire fighters before he was finally turned back at the 39th floor. He also added that he heard a series of small explosion going off between the 20th and 30th

⁷⁸Emphasis added in this quote at various places.

floors while making his way through the stairwell to the top floors. *Although initially considered an official hero, he soon concluded that the explosions occurring before Flight 11 hit the tower prove the towers were brought down by controlled demolition. In an effort to open a fair and honest investigation as to why the WTC collapsed, Rodriguez has approached and been ignored by government officials, the 9/11 Commission, and the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST).*

After talking to William Rodriguez in August 2005, Deanna Spingola remarks:⁷⁹

William spent hours testifying behind closed door in front of the 9/11 Commission. His testimony as an eye-witness does not appear in the 576 page report ...

The National Institute of Safety Technology (NIST), an independent investigative group was also allowed to scrutinize the circumstances. He contacted them four times but never got a response ...

William Rodriguez also contacted the FBI who never followed up. The media was not interested. CNN spent a day filming and interviewing him at his home but when it

⁷⁹ *William Rodriguez, a 9-11 Survivor*; 26 Aug 2005
http://www.spingola.com/william_rodriguez.htm,

was shown it was thoroughly edited. Some reporters have subtly warned Rodriguez to keep quiet as his words could jeopardize his life.

Rodriguez was called to the White House to meet President Bush in recognition of his valor and bravery and was awarded. Why would the the FBI, the 9/11 Commission and NIST ignore a man who risked his life to save hundreds of lives, who, instead of running out of the building from the closest exit, ran upstairs into the jaws of death until sent back? What more evidence can there be of an attempt by the authorities to cover up the truth about WTC collapse?

In his article Herbst has quoted numerous testimonies from various sources, all of which cannot be reproduced here. For instance Teresa Veliz was on the 47th floor of the North Tower. Herbst quotes her:

The flashlight led us into Borders bookstore, up an escalator and out to Church Street. *There were explosions going off everywhere. I was convinced that there were bombs planted all over the place and someone was sitting at the control panel pushing detonator buttons.*⁸⁰ I was afraid to go down Church Street toward Broadway Street. I ended up on Vesey Street. *There was another explosion. And another.* I didn't know where to run.

⁸⁰Emphasis added in this quote.

Let us quote from Craig T. Furlong and Gordon Ross:⁸¹

On September 11, 2001, the seismic stations grouped around New York City recorded *seismic events from the WTC site, two of which occurred immediately prior to the aircraft impacts upon the Twin Towers*.⁸² Because these seismic events *preceded* the collisions, it is clear they were *not* associated with the impacts and must therefore be associated with some other occurrence. *None of the authorities charged with the responsibility for the investigation of the events of 9/11 have proposed a source for these seismic events, nor have they given a valid reason for the difference in times between the seismic events and the aircraft impacts. Only by consideration of the evidence of basement explosions before the aircraft impacts, as experienced by William Rodriguez and 36 others, can an explanation be found for the fact that the seismic stations recorded seismic events originating from the WTC sites prior to the aircraft impacts.* It seems unlikely that Middle Eastern terrorists could have overcome

⁸¹Craig T. Furlong and Gordon Ross: *Seismic Proof - 9/11 Was An Inside Job* (Updated Version II); <http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/200609/ExplosionIn-TowerBeforeJetHitByFurlongAndRoss.pdf>. I was led to this source through the Herbst article.

⁸²Emphasis added in this quote .

the WTC security and managed this kind of high-level, technological coordination. Do the facts presented here, simple and few, raise the possibility of *inside involvement in 9/11/01, both before and after the attack?*

The French researcher Andre Rousseau, a Doctor of Geophysics and Geology, has studied the seismic evidence. In November 2012 he wrote:⁸³

The seismic signals propagating from New York on September 11, 2001, recorded at Palisades (34 km) and published by the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University (LDEO), have here been subjected to a new critical study concerning their sources. *The aim of this paper is to demonstrate that the nature of the waves, their velocities, frequencies, and magnitudes invalidate the official explanations*⁸⁴ which imply as sources the percussion of the twin towers by planes and the collapses of the three buildings, WTC 1, WTC 2 and WTC7.

In 2009 Graeme MacQueen published a very detailed analysis of the seismic and other evidence with reference

⁸³Andre Rousseau: *Were Explosives the Source of the Seismic Signals Emitted from New York on September 11, 2001?* Journal of 9/11 Studies, Vol 34, November 2012; <http://www.journalof911studies.com/resources/RousseauVol34November2012.pdf>

⁸⁴Emphasis added.

to the destruction of the South Tower. He concluded:⁸⁵

I consider both the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory's seismic evidence and the evidence provided by a less studied form of seismic instrument, the video camera. I also draw on witness testimony. *I conclude that key statements by NIST are false. Major shaking of the earth, and corresponding seismic signals, started well before the debris hit the ground. In fact, it seems certain that the shaking of the earth started before visible signs of building collapse. This evidence is incompatible with the official NIST hypothesis of the cause of the collapse of the Towers.*⁸⁶

The *Journal of 9/11 Studies* is a peer-reviewed journal and therefore studies published in this journal cannot be shrugged off unless refuted by publications in some peer reviewed journal. Both studies quoted above are therefore authentic scientific studies. Both these refute the NIST hypothesis on how the twin towers collapsed.

Herbst writes in his article that the media propagated, or officially espoused version, whereby the damage at lower levels was caused by fireballs traveling *downwards* from the floor impacted by the planes, is *disproved*

⁸⁵Graeme MacQueen: *Did the Earth Shake Before the South Tower Hit the Ground?* July 9, 2009; Journal of 9/11 Studies; http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/2009/MacQueen_EarlyEarthShake.pdf.

⁸⁶Emphasis added.

by the testimony of eyewitnesses including firemen. The testimony of NY fireman Lieutenant William Walsh is crucial because as a fireman he observed an event that contradicts the official version. He pointed out that those elevators of the North Tower that serviced only up to level 30, and were therefore not connected in any way with the upper levels (i.e. the cavity in which they went up and down did not extend beyond level 30), were “*blown off their hinges*” *from below*! This is possible only if there were powerful explosions at the lower end of the cavity - there was no connection what so ever between these elevators and the level at which the plane hit the building (between floors 94 to 98). *There were anywhere from 54 to 58 solid floors between the point where the cavity for the lifts servicing the lower 30 floors only terminated! So how could these lifts be blown off their hinges from below due to impact of the plane?* Why was this testimony ignored by the FBI, the 9/11 Commission and NIST? Is it because this would immediately imply that the WTC collapse was a demolition job and a demolition job could only be an inside job? The neglect of solid testimony of numerous eyewitnesses by FBI, NIST and by the 9/11 Commission does not make any sense unless they only wanted to cover up.

Jim Marrs writes that, just as in the JFK assassination, Federal authorities took over and cut off local authorities from the crime scene. “People were even arrested for taking photographs of ground zero.” What was there to hide? Why cut off local authorities from even consultation? Not content with closing off the

crime scene to local authorities, the FBI and FEMA rapidly destroyed evidence at the crime scene. Bill Manning wrote a piece in the journal *Fire Engineering* on January 1, 2002, attacking the Federal authorities for destroying evidence. He wrote:⁸⁷

Fire Engineering has good reason to believe that the “official investigation” blessed by FEMA and run by the American Society of Civil Engineers is a half-baked farce that may already have been commandeered by political forces whose primary interests, to put it mildly, lie far afield of full disclosure. Except for the marginal benefit obtained from a three-day, visual walk-through of evidence sites conducted by ASCE investigation committee members - described by one close source as a “tourist trip” - no one’s checking the evidence for anything . . .

The destruction and removal of evidence must stop immediately. The federal government must scrap the current setup and commission a fully resourced blue ribbon panel to conduct a clean and thorough investigation of the fire and collapse, leaving no stones unturned.

⁸⁷Bill Manning: *Selling Out the Investigation*;
<http://www.fireengineering.com/articles/print/volume-155/issue-1/departments/editors-opinion/elling-out-the-investigation.html>

Despite a massive attempt at covering up, the scientists and engineers and other researchers who wanted to understand what happened were able to find evidence of a demolition job from a variety of sources, including photographs and testimony of survivors. One very important piece of evidence comes from space photographs of “hot-spots” in the WTC debris. Five days after 9/11 NASA employed an airborne spectrometer that collected thermal data on the WTC debris. These images revealed “thermal hot spots”. These hot spots had temperatures in the range $800^0 - 1300^0$ F approximately. These were temperatures on the *surface* and deep inside the temperatures had be to be much higher. This was *five* days after 9/11. There were reports of workers observing molten steel while cleaning up the debris. There is no way fires can generate temperatures that will melt steel and lead to hot spots that persist at such high surface temperatures even five days after the event. No experiment in the world to date has proved that building fires even if doused with petrol will lead to temperatures that can melt steel. The official hypothesis that fires melted steel or softened it does not accord with known science, nor does it fit the facts some of which have been recorded on camera, and some have been reported by eyewitnesses in large numbers.

Despite a wide disinformation network researchers have been able to establish that indeed molten steel was present in the WTC debris. A fairly detailed survey,

placed on the web, reports:⁸⁸

A report by *Waste Age* describes New York Sanitation Department workers moving “everything from molten steel beams to human remains.” A report on the *Government Computer News* web site quotes Greg Fuchek vice-president for sales of LinksPoint Inc. as stating: “In the first few weeks, sometimes when a worker would pull a steel beam, the end of the beam would be dripping molten steel.” A *Messenger-Inquirer* report recounts the experience of a Bronx fire fighter “Toolie” O Toole who stated that beams lifted from deep within the catacombs of Ground Zero by cranes were “dripping from the molten steel.” ...

A report in *John Hopkins Public Health Magazine* about recovery work in late October quotes Alison Geyh Ph.D. as stating: “Fires are still actively burning and smoke is very intense. In some pockets now being uncovered, they are finding molten steel.”

A publication of National Environmental Health Association quotes Ron Burger, a public health advisor at the National Center for Environmental Health, Centers for Disease

⁸⁸ *Molten Metal: Workers Reported Molten Steel in Ground Zero Rubble*; <http://911research.wtc7.net/wtc/evidence/moltensteel.html#ref5>.

Control and Prevention, who arrived at Ground Zero on the evening of September 12th. Burger stated: “Feeling the heat, seeing the molten steel, layers upon layers of ash, like lava, it reminded me of Mt. St. Helen’s and the thousands who fled the disaster.”

An article in *The Newsletters of Structural Engineers Association of Utah*, describing a speaking appearance by Leslie Roberts (structural engineer responsible for the design of WTC) contains this passage: “As of 21 days after the attack, fire was still burning and molten steel running.”

A member of New York Air National Guards 109th Air wing was at Ground Zero from September 22 to October 6. He kept a journal on which an article containing the following passage is based: “Smoke constantly poured from the peaks. One fireman told us there was still molten steel at the heart of the tower’s remains. Firemen sprayed water to cool the debris down but the heat remained intense enough at the surface to melt their boots.”

There are numerous other references to molten steel in the above cited survey. What could have produced molten steel in such enormous quantities? Has any one ever reported ordinary fire, doused by petrol, having melted steel? And melted it in such enormous volume after burning for a few hours? We could then have steel

mills that would function by just burning huge quantities of waste material with petrol! There is a limit to disinformation. But if the minds are susceptible then there is hardly a limit to successful disinformation. Only a massive demolition at multiple levels could have produced the enormous molten metal that kept Ground Zero warmer than the rest of New York for *months*.⁸⁹

In an article published in published in 2008, Frank Legge Ph.D. stated:⁹⁰

As no reports have come to light of any steel framed buildings collapsing due to fire, and as all steel framed buildings which had collapsed had done so due to explosive demolition, the logical way to have started the investigation of this surprising event would have been to question whether explosives had been used. This apparently did not occur. The organizations carrying out the investi-

⁸⁹A transcription of an interview of Ground Zero chaplain Herb Trimpe contains the following passage: “When I was there, of course, the remnants of the towers were still standing. It looked like an enormous junkyard. A scrap metal yard, very similar to that. Except this was still burning. There was still fire. On the cold days, even in January, there was a noticeable difference between the temperature in the middle of the site than there.” Please note this is *four months* after 9/11 and in winter when the ground should have cooled rapidly.

⁹⁰Frank Legge: 9/11 - *Proof of Explosive Demolition Without Calculations*: Journal of 9/11 Studies; 29 March 2008; http://www.journalof911studies.com/volume/2007/LeggeVerticalCollapseWTC7_6.pdf

gations clearly selectively collected data and contrived arguments to support the fire theory and ignored contradictory evidence. This is in defiance of the scientific method and flouts the ethical standard of behavior which the public is entitled to receive from their paid servants.

In the article from which we have quoted above, Legge has given beautiful qualitative arguments to prove why the official explanation is untenable. One does not have to be an engineer to be able to understand his reasoning. Yet NIST insists on its miraculous interpretations.

3.7 Destruction of WTC 7

The world at large only knows that, on 9/11, only the twin towers were destroyed. The greatest mystery with regard to destruction of buildings on 9/11 is the destruction of a *third* building, a steel structure 47 storeys high, which was not hit by any plane or missile and which *collapsed in its footprints in less than 7 secs.* This is the WTC 7 building. Had the two towers not dwarfed WTC 7, it would have been, according to writers, one of the tallest buildings in New York State. The official reason for its destruction was fire! Unlike the twin towers the fire that brought down WTC 7 had not even been doused with any jet fuel! The official explanation makes it the only steel building to have been brought down by ordinary fire! The official “explanation” is not

based on science - it appears to be based on miracles or magic. We are asked to accept an explanation that has no precedent in the history of steel structures and has never happened again. This is tantamount to a miracle - something that happens only once in history! The simple, logical and scientific explanation, controlled demolition, that is in perfect accord with the facts of the collapse, is dismissed for political or emotional reasons, not for scientific reasons! It is not possible for many Americans to believe that their own leadership can kill its own subjects!

There are many things about WTC 7 that make one question the official stance. One of the most surprising things about WTC 7 collapse is that the *9/11 Commission Report omits WTC 7 completely*. There is no mention whatsoever of the collapse of WTC 7. Why would the 9/11 Commission do so? Why is the collapse of WTC 7 omitted by the 9/11 Commission? The 9/11 Commission Report is a document on 9/11 which has sold the most copies. By not mentioning WTC 7, the 9/11 Commission managed to keep WTC 7 out of the public mind. If it had mentioned WTC 7 then some explanation had to be given and the terrorists responsible would have to be guessed, indicated or specified. In the case of the Twin Towers the hijackers, 19 in all, were held responsible. What about WTC 7? One may quote Griffin:⁹¹

⁹¹David Ray Griffin: *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7: Why the Final Official Report About 9/11 is Unscientific and False*; Olive Branch Press 2010, p XIII.

If the authorities did deliberately try to keep the public from thinking about WTC 7 by focussing its attention on the Twin Towers, there would have been good reason for this. Besides the fact that the WTC 7 had not been hit by any plane and did not have large fires spread by jet fuel, its collapse, as seen by looks, compared with that of the Twin Towers, much more like the kind of controlled demolition known as *implosion*, in which the collapse starts from the bottom and then the building comes down in its own footprint, ending up as a rather compact pile of debris. The video also shows that WT 7 came down in virtual free fall - which would normally be only possible if all of its support columns had been removed by explosives.

Not only was the destruction of WTC 7 ignored, very few people know that it was quietly rebuilt. Why quietly? It should have been inaugurated with fanfare so that a message could be sent to the terrorists that the United States of America can rebuild what they destroyed. But the fact is that a 52 floor building was quietly erected in its place and is functional. As one writer puts it:⁹²

It is very important to pay close attention to the lack of public, official and media atten-

⁹²See the article *9/11 in Perspective: Flight 93 and WTC 7*; <http://tvnewslies.org/blog/?p=573>

tion to WTC 7. Most people do not know that there even was a WTC 7. Most people don't know the circumstances surrounding its collapse and have never seen a video of the collapse. Most people don't know that this building has already been rebuilt! This may very well be because those involved did not want to draw attention to this building! Such publicity may have caused people to ask about a building so blatantly ignored on that fateful day. And, if they knew, they may have started poking around for answers to obvious questions! You would also think that rebuilding the first WTC structure would have been a loud statement to the so called terrorists. You would think it would stand out as a symbol of American strength and resolve. And yet the reconstruction of this building went on and was completed with a virtual media blackout and to the surprise of anyone who eventually finds out about it.

WTC 7 presents an insurmountable problem for the official theory. Since it was not hit by a plane or a missile, since such a destruction of a steel structure is unique in the annals of engineering and architectural history, since the building collapsed in less than 7 seconds in its footprints, the public mind is likely to accept easily that the destruction of WTC 7 was a demolition job. And once the idea of a controlled demolition sinks in the public

mind, the most likely perpetrators are those on the inside. It therefore appears that the media has suppressed the story about WTC 7 by design. A Zogby poll in 2006 revealed that 43% of the American public was *unaware* of the destruction of WTC 7!⁹³ Ignorance on such a large scale could not be a coincidence - it was the work of “mainstream” media policy. Even a regular writer of the “mainstream” *New York Times*, James Glanz, was forced to refer to the collapse of WTC 7 as a “mystery”, but it was a story written 79 days after 9/11! He wrote on November 29, 2001:

Almost lost in the chaos of the collapse of the World Trade Center is a mystery that under normal circumstances would probably have captured the attention of the city and the world. That mystery is the collapse of a nearby 47-story, two-million-square-foot building seven hours after flaming debris from the towers rained down on it, igniting what became an out-of-control fire . . .

The building had suffered mightily from the fire that raged in it, and it had been wounded by the flying beams falling off the towers. But experts said no building like it, a modern, steel-reinforced high-rise, had ever collapsed because of an uncontrolled fire, and

⁹³David Ray Griffin: *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7: Why the Final Official Report About 9/11 is Unscientific and False*; Olive Branch Press 2010; p XIII.

engineers have been trying to figure out exactly what happened and whether they should be worried about other buildings like it around the country.

The following statement by an editor of the web site Patriots Question 9/11 (www.patriotsquestion911.com) is quite revealing:

WTC Building 7 was 610 feet tall, 47 stories. It would have been the tallest building in 33 states. Although it was not hit by an airplane, it completely collapsed into a pile of rubble in less than 7 seconds at 5:20 p.m. on 9/11, seven hours after the collapses of the Twin Towers. However, no mention of its collapse appears in the 9/11 Commission's "full and complete account of the circumstances surrounding the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks."⁹⁴

The collapse of WTC 7, consigned to oblivion by design, is an event which was to force many engineers, scientists and others to think about the deeper reality of 9/11. The destruction was a controlled demolition, and any thoughtful person, who comes across it with an open mind, is forced to conclude that it was controlled demolition - one just has to look at the video of the collapse of WTC 7.⁹⁵

⁹⁴Emphasis in original.

⁹⁵See e.g. the videos at <http://whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/wtc7.html>. These also show video evidence of

Let us look at the thoughts of various qualified people who had analyzed the collapse of WTC 7. Physicist David L. Griscom puts it in the following words:

Despite the absence of any visible fire at the time of collapse, the government report alleges WTC Building 7 is the first and only steel-framed high-rise building in the history of mankind to collapse simply as a result of fire.

Steven Jones Ph.D., a former Professor of Physics states:

On the basis of photographic and video evidence as well as related data and analyses, I provide thirteen reasons for rejecting the official hypothesis, according to which fire and impact damage caused the collapse of Twin Towers and WTC 7, in favor of the controlled-demolition hypothesis.

One may also quote Professor Jonathan Barnett, a forensic fire engineer:⁹⁶

We were surprised that the building [WTC7] collapsed, we being the team that investigated what occurred on that day. There was some damage to the Tower 7 caused by debris that hit it from Tower 1 but the damage

cutter charges in WTC 7 collapse.

⁹⁶<http://uncensored.co.nz/2010/06/30/jonathan-barnett-forensic-engineer-for-wtc7-collapse/>

was certainly not similar in scope or magnitude to that caused by the aircrafts hitting Towers 1 and 2. Normally when you have a structural failure you carefully go through the debris field looking at each item, photographing every beam as it collapsed and every column where it is on the ground and you pick them up very carefully and you look at each element. We were unable to do that in the case of Tower 7.

Why was 99% of steel from the collapsed WTC buildings shipped to China as scrap steel before the FEMA report was released in 2002? This is a mystery.

There is another mystery about the demolition of WTC 7. Apparently it was known in some circles that the building will collapse. In his 2011 book Jim Marrs states:⁹⁷

Inexplicably, both CNN and the BBC reported the collapse of the building about 30 minutes prior to the incident. BBC reporter Jane Standley on a live broadcast stated the building had collapsed even as it was pictured standing in the New York skyline behind her.

One can see the BBC video snapshot clearly stating that the WTC 7 has collapsed while the building is visible in

⁹⁷Jim Marrs: *The Terror Conspiracy Revisited: What Really Happened on 9/11, And Why We're Still Paying The Price*; Publishers: The Disinformation Co Ltd, New York; 2011, p 5.

the background.⁹⁸ Fox News also reported the collapse of a 47 story building before it collapsed! This can also be seen on the above mentioned web reference. This foreknowledge reminds one of the JFK murder where the news of his murder appeared in the media in New Zealand hours before he was assassinated.

The BBC authorities posted an explanation in which they stated that the reporters' mind had been seared by the tragedy and that she did not remember what she said minute by minute and that the BBC no longer had the original tapes! One commentator posted the following comments in response:

I'm not a conspiracy nut. But this footage of your reports of WTC7 collapsing a full 20 minutes prior and repeatedly discussing it's collapse is highly suspicious.

If you were talking about a building that never did collapse, well then you'd just look incompetent. But as we all know, building 7 did, in a feat that suspended all laws of physics and logic, collapse spontaneously due to fires on floors 7-12.

You can't possibly expect us to believe this. Let's look at all the pieces here.

1. BBC reports for 20 solid minutes that WTC7 has collapsed when even in the live

⁹⁸One may for instance see the *BBC's 'WTC 7 Collapsed at 4.54 p.m.'* *Videos* posted at (along with the comments quoted below) whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/bbc_wtc7_videos.html

shot it stands as sturdy as the day it was built.

2. The idea that WTC 7 would collapse spontaneously due to minor fires and minimal damage to the north face is laughable and an insult to intelligence. But it did, approximately 5 minutes AFTER BBC's report....or at least 5 minutes after Jane Stanley's live shot was disconnected.

3. BBC loses all of it's 9/11 footage so this cannot be reviewed or explained. My nephew still has all his VHS tapes from that day. He recorded almost every news station for 24 hours straight. He's 19 now. He was 13 when it happened. So, a 13 year old can be more responsible with his VHS tapes than one of the largest news organizations?

4. The archive footage is mysteriously pulled off of YouTube and Google video repeatedly and without provocation or explanation.

5. BBC's response is, 'there is no conspiracy. it was a mistake.'

Grant us logical thinkers at least one thing. This is highly suspicious. The BBC needs to reveal what source they drew the conclusion that WTC 7 had collapsed.

Oh, and the ez-out phrases like 'it appears' and 'we're receiving reports that..' were not used throughout this footage.

Especially when the anchor starts talking ab-

out the (lack of) body count since there was so much time to evacuate since the collapse of WTC 1-2.

The BBC needs to reveal what source they drew the conclusion that WTC7 had collapsed. I do not necessarily think the BBC is a witting participant in some 9/11 conspiracy, but it's definitely looking like you were a pawn. Revealing who/where the BBC received the information that WTC 7 had collapsed would be a good start in clearing your name.

3.7.1 WTC 7 Eyewitness Testimony

While the 9/11 Commission ignored WTC 7 altogether, NIST did file several reports on their studies of the collapse of WTC 7. While NIST went to lengths in its tortuous analyses to state that it found no evidence of a demolition job in WTC 7, it was not honest in its study because it entirely ignored testimony of witnesses from both the inside and outside of WTC 7. With regard to the Twin Towers both the NIST as well as the 9/11 Commission had ignored witness testimony because eye witnesses to the event, numerous survivors from within the buildings, as well as those who watched things from very close quarters, stated unambiguously and consistently that bombs kept going off in all buildings. Any person who becomes aware of this casting aside of highly credible and mutually consistent eyewitness testimony

finds it very intriguing. The only possible reason that such testimony was ignored is simple: such testimony means that the building were demolished from the inside and that means that the official story about terrorists having brought down the buildings is false. It would then take very little time for the people of the U.S. to find out who the real perpetrators were. That, however, must be stalled at all costs because it would lead the public directly to the real criminals, criminals ensconced and embedded deeply in the power structure of the United States of America.

Paul Joseph Watson quotes Craig Bartmer a former *police officer* of the NYPD :⁹⁹

“I walked around it (Building 7). I saw a hole. I didn’t see a hole bad enough to knock a building down, though,” said Bartmer. “Yeah there was definitely fire in the building, but I didn’t hear any I didn’t hear any creaking, or ... I didn’t hear any indication that it was going to come down. And all of a sudden the radios exploded and everyone started screaming ‘get away, get away, get away from it!’ ... It was at that moment ... I looked up, and it was nothing I would ever imagine seeing in my life. The thing started peeling in on itself ... Some-

⁹⁹Paul Joseph Watson: *The 9/11 Whistle Blowers*; Infowars.com, September 28, 2010; <http://www.infowars.com/the-911-whistleblowers/>

body grabbed my shoulder and I started running, and the shit's hitting the ground behind me, and the whole time you're hearing "boom, boom, boom, boom, boom." I think I know an explosion when I hear it ... Yeah it had some damage to it, but nothing like what they're saying ... Nothing to account for what we saw I am shocked at the story we've heard about it to be quite honest."

In his book dealing exclusively with the mystery of WTC 7 collapse, David Ray Griffin has devoted an entire chapter to disregarding of the testimonial evidence by NIST. He quotes a *journalist*, Peter Demarco of the *New York Daily News*:¹⁰⁰

There was a rumble. The building's top row of windows popped out. Then all the windows of the 39th floor popped out. Then the thirty eight floor. Pop! Pop! Pop! was all you heard until the building sunk into a rising cloud of gray.

A similar testimony was given by an unnamed medical student on a recorded video. This has been transcribed by Griffin:¹⁰¹

We heard this sound like a clap of thunder
... Turned around - we were shocked ... It

¹⁰⁰David Ray Griffin: *The Mysterious Collapse of World Trade Center 7: Why the Final Official Report About 9/11 is Unscientific and False*; Olive Branch Press 2010; p 83.

¹⁰¹*Ibid*, p83.

looked like there was a shockwave ripping through the building and the windows all busted out . . . About a second later the bottom floor caved out and the building followed after that.

In addition to witnesses who watched the collapse from outside there are a couple of witnesses who were trapped *inside* the building on that fateful day. Both the trapped witnesses were rescued by fire fighters. One of these individuals was Michael Hess, a counsel to the New York City Corporation at that time, and a close friend of Rudy Guiliani. In a live interview that started at 11.57 AM on 9/11, he described his experience. Since the interview was given just a few blocks from the WTC complex he must have been rescued shortly before. Griffin quotes from his interview:¹⁰²

I was up in the emergency management center [of WTC 7] on the twenty third floor, when all the power went out in the building, another gentleman and I walked down to the eighth floor where *there was an explosion*¹⁰³ and we were trapped on the eighth floor with smoke, *thick smoke, all around us for about an hour and a half*. But the New York Fire Department . . . just came and got us out. [Although Hess said they had “walked down to the eighth floor,” they actually walked

¹⁰² *Ibid*, p84.

¹⁰³ Emphasis added in this quote.

down to the sixth floor, then went back up to the eighth floor after the explosion]

The other witness trapped along with Hess was Barry Jennings, Deputy Director of Emergency Services Department for the NY City Housing Authority. Apparently Jennings and Hess arrived at WTC 7 after the first plane had hit the North Tower (8:46 AM) but before the second plane hit the South Tower (9:03 AM). He had been directed to report at the 23rd floor of WTC 7 where the emergency command center of the Office of Emergency Management was located. He expected to meet Guiliani there. As Hess had stated they planned to sit with Guiliani and “strategize” (according to Hess). When Hess and Jennings, who had not known each other previously, got to the 23rd floor what did they find? Jennings stated:¹⁰⁴

We noticed that everybody was gone. I saw coffee that was on the desks still, smoke was still coming off the coffee. I saw half-eaten sandwiches. Only me and Mr. Hess were up there. And after I called several individuals, one individual told me to leave and to leave right away.

Why had everybody left in a hurry? Why were they asked to leave immediately? And who was or were the individuals who asked them to leave? Did these individuals know something about what was going to happen

¹⁰⁴*Ibid* p 88. This is from an interview he gave for *Loose Change Final Cut*.

to WTC 7? Jennings stated that the elevator was not working. Since they wanted to leave in a hurry, so instead of taking a step at a time, he began jumping the landings. When they got to the 6th floor an explosion *below* blew them off and the landing beneath them gave way. They had to retreat to the 8th floor. It is important to emphasize that in every interview that he gave Jennings insisted that *it was an explosion and that the explosion took place below them*. He further stated:¹⁰⁵

I was trapped in there several hours. I was trapped in there when both buildings came down. The firefighters came . . . And then they ran away. See, I didn't know what was going on. That's when the first tower fell. When they started running the first tower was coming down. Then I saw them come back. Now I saw them come back with more concern on their faces. Instead, they ran away again: the second tower fell. So as they turned and ran the second time, the guy said, "Don't worry, we'll be back for you." And they did come back.

The above quote establishes one thing beyond doubt - the explosion inside WTC 7 took place well *before* either tower fell. This is a very important and highly explosive fact which blows away all the official lies and deceptions about WTC 7 manufactured by NIST, Guiliani and others.

¹⁰⁵Ibid p 89.

When Hess and Jennings had gone up to the 23rd floor the lobby of WTC 7 on the ground floor was in its usual daily shape. In an interview given to Jason Bermas and Dylan Avery (makers of the internet blockbuster *Loose Change Final Cut*) Jennings stated:¹⁰⁶

All this time I'm hearing all kinds of explosions. And I'm thinking maybe it's the uh, buses around me that were on fire, but, I don't see no [gesturing] you know, but I'm still hearing these explosions. When they [the rescuers] finally got to us, and they took us down, to what, what they, they, uh, called the lobby, because I asked them when we got down there I said, 'Where are we?' He said 'This was the lobby,' and I said, 'You gotta be kidding me.' Total ruins, total ruins. Now keep in mind when I came in there, the lobby had nice escalators. It was a huge lobby. And for me to see what I saw was unbelievable.

McMahon, JD, LLM states: "Among all the highly credible video and forensic evidence indicating that WTC Building 7 was brought down by explosive controlled

¹⁰⁶Dennis P. McMahon, JD, LLM has quoted this in his article, written after the mysterious death of Jennings, *Is His Explosive Testimony Still Court-Worthy?* The following quote appears at the 5:45 mark; <http://www.ae911truth.org/en/news-section/41-articles/633-barry-jennings-revisited.html>

demolition on September 11, 2001, the accounts of explosions related by eyewitness Barry Jennings are particularly persuasive.”

Barry Jennings also stated something even more frightening than the destruction of the WTC 7 lobby by bombs. Griffin quotes him:¹⁰⁷

The firefighter that took us down kept saying, “Don’t look down.” I asked “Why?” And, he said. “Do not look down.” We were stepping over people, and you know you can feel when you’re stepping over people.

Who planted the bombs that kept on exploding? A straight forward analysis of the statements made by Jennings shows that *bombs had destroyed the lobby when he was inside the building and these had nothing to do with the collapse of the twin towers*. That is why a lawyer like McMahon has assessed his statements as being “particularly persuasive.” The BBC tried to exploit the above statement by emphasizing that he had not *seen* dead bodies. But what about the lobby? What destroyed it? One may wonder what happened to the firefighter who brought Jennings and Hess out of WTC 7? He was the one who told Jennings not to look down as he walked through the rubble that was the nice lobby when he went upstairs around 9:00 AM. If the firefighter is still alive he should come forward. However, coming forward may entail a risk to the life of this firefighter.

¹⁰⁷Ibid p 91.

3.7.2 The Mysterious Death of Jennings

The mysteries of 9/11 are never ending. Two days before the final NIST report on WTC 7 Barry Jennings died mysteriously at age 53. Bryan Jennings was a most valuable witness to the bomb explosions inside WTC 7, to the destruction of the lobby by these bombs, to the dead bodies over which he walked. His testimony, if brought to public, would utterly destroy the official story. He was a man who knew too much through no fault of his.

On September 16, 2008 Aaron Dykes wrote:¹⁰⁸

NYC Housing Authority spokesman Howard Marder has now officially confirmed that Barry Jennings indeed passed away approximately a month ago after several days in the hospital, matching confirmations from several other employees at the Housing Authority. Marder commented that Jennings was a great man, well liked by everyone at the Housing Authority, and that he would be missed. No other details were available. Barry Jennings, a key 9/11 eyewitness who was an emergency coordinator for the New York Housing Authority, has passed away at age 53 from circumstances not yet disclosed. A spokesperson for the Housing Authority has now confirmed his death, after weeks of

¹⁰⁸ Aaron Dykes: *Barry Jennings: Key Witness to WTC 7 Explosions Dead at 53*; <http://usahitman.com/wbdct911/>

rumors circulating online, but refused to give any further details. Several other individuals at the Housing Authority also confirmed that they knew Barry Jennings, and that indeed he had passed away about a month ago. No other details were available. This office has not yet been able to contact anyone in the Jennings family and the official cause of death is not yet known, but online comments have reported the date of death as August 19, 2008.

It is very unusual that a prominent - and controversial- 9/11 witness would die only days before the release of NIST's report on WTC 7 and shortly after a firestorm erupted over his testimony that he heard explosions inside the building prior to collapse of either tower and that there were dead bodies in the building's blown-out lobby.

It is important to point out that although Jennings had given an interview for the internet documentary *Loose Change Final Cut*, his interview had to be omitted from the film at the last moment because he had expressed a fear of losing his job. He also feared for his family. It was only when he appeared on a BBC interview and BBC gave the impression that Jennings had retracted his testimony in *Loose Change* that the producers released his interview in order to prove their credibility. He died shortly afterwards. His fears were not unjustified. It appears that the BBC smearing of Jennings,

his death and the release of the NIST report took place within a few weeks time and therefore these things are highly unlikely to be coincidental.

The Barry Jennings interview reveals that Jennings was absolutely clear that the official explanation that WTC 7 came down because of debris falling on it from the Twin Towers or due to fuel oil tank (that fed the generators) was unacceptable. It is important to note that in his interview with *Loose Change* that he worked for an agency: “I was there as part of one of the agencies which I can’t name.” Since the agency he worked for was a secret agency his testimony becomes all the more important. People working for agencies are taught to observe things carefully and to keep quiet. Jennings did not keep quiet. He also said:¹⁰⁹

I am just confused about one thing and one thing only - why World Trade Center 7 went down in the first place. I am very confused about that. I know what I heard - I heard explosions. The explanation I got was it was the fuel oil tank. I am an old boiler guy - if it was a fuel oil tank then it would have been one side of the building. When I got to that lobby the lobby was totally destroyed - it looked like King Kong had came through and stepped on it - it was so destroyed I didn't

¹⁰⁹When these lines were written (17th June 2013) the Government of Pakistan had banned you tube. I was luckily able to watch the Barry Jennings interview with the *Loose Change* producers at <http://911blogger.com/node/16573>

know where I was.

He also stated that a police officer “a big tall guy” came and told him to run because they had reports of more explosions. He said very clearly and emphatically that he was not satisfied with the official explanation. He went home and kept watching TV despite his wife’s protestations. While watching TV *“I am saying to myself: Why did that building come down? I knew why it came down - it came down because of explosions, it was not no fuel oil tanks.”* This is a statement that totally undermines the official version. Once this was known to the agencies and once he had revealed that he worked for an unnamed agency, his fate, it appears, was sealed. In the interview he also mentioned that he was interviewed by some very important looking people several years later and referred to it as a “very scary” experience. He said that he did not know whether they liked his answers and that he did not care about that and that he told them the truth. That must have offended the very important people who interviewed him.

Suspecting foul play in the death of Jennings, Dylan Avery, producer of *Loose Change*, hired a private investigator (PI) to probe the death. Avery paid quite a hefty sum to this PI. However, there was a strange twist to the story that lends credence to foul play. Firstly when Avery visited the Jennings residence in an attempt to meet his family, he found that the house was empty and the house was for sale. What happened to the family? Where did they go? It is highly unusual that an entire family has moved away, vacated the house, put it up

for sale, and the neighbors have no idea, or are quiet. Further, as Jack Blood wrote in April 2009:¹¹⁰

It seems that Dylan had hired a private investigator to look into Jennings' death which remains shrouded in mystery. His motive was simply to bring some closure to the life of Barry Jennings, and in doing so to honor the memory of this brave American. The Investigator ended up referring the case to Law enforcement before refunding his pay, and told Dylan never to contact him again. Very unusual to say the least. Dylan also paid a visit to the Jennings home. He found it vacant and for sale.

Personally, something is really beginning to stink here. Why would a highly paid PI refuse to continue his investigation? Why did he refer the matter to police? He is not talking. What is he afraid of. Was he warned to cease and desist? If so by whom?

These are some of the new questions revolving around the Jennings case.

In every major cover up from the JFK assassination to Iran Contra, we can see one common thread. The untimely death of eye-

¹¹⁰Jack Blood: *New Information on the Death of Eyewitness Barry Jennings Seems to Point to Foul Play*; <http://deadlinelive.info/2009/04/16/new-information-on-the-death-of-911-eyewitness-barry-jennings-seems-to-point-to-foul-play/>

witnesses. Barry Jennings was not only an important and most credible eyewitness, but he openly refuted much of the government, and media version of events. He was a liability.

3.8 Whistleblowers, Harassments & Deaths

There has been a pattern of deaths and harassment of those who knew things about 9/11 that have been concealed from the public by the authorities and who, by chance or by conscious decision, happened to bring these to the people. The same treatment is being silently and malevolently meted out to those who push hard for the truth. The mysterious death of Barry Jennings is not the only one. Even those families of 9/11 victims which refused to compromise by taking compensation money in return for not going to court, have not been spared. This is not just ruthlessness - it is deeply evil. "Accidents", murders "suicides", "scandals" - all these techniques are employed to eliminate those who stand in the way of the Elite controlled U.S. government. This situation parallels the deaths of numerous people who knew or had seen something about the JFK murder, and were thought to be liabilities to be disposed off. The ruthlessness of the Elite and its agents is deeply disturbing, shocking and infuriating. The "deep state" defined by Professor Peter Dale Scott, with its repeated and clandestine breaking

of the law, its deep links with organized crime such as drug trafficking, etc. is a threat for every citizen of the world.

Beverly Eckert is a deeply touching and sad case. She had lost her husband in 9/11 and had refused to accept the large sum of money (\$ 1.8 million) in return for a deal that would not allow her to go to court. She refused because she was interested in finding out the truth. She was a very active member of a group of families of 9/11 victims who had refused to be bribed by the authorities. She started a group called Voices of September 11th. She also was active in another group Peaceful Tomorrows. Beverly Eckert also became a member of the Family Steering Committee, a group of families of relatives of 9/11 victims. During 2002 and 2003 this Committee was very active in leading the fight for a 9/11 Commission because the Bush regime was reluctant to set up any Commission to investigate 9/11. In December 2003 she wrote a piece that appeared in *USA Today* stating reasons for her actions. She wrote:

I've chosen to go to court rather than accept a payoff from the 9/11 victims compensation fund. Instead, I want to know what went so wrong with our intelligence and security systems that a band of religious fanatics was able to turn four U.S passenger jets into an enemy force, attack our cities and kill 3,000 civilians with terrifying ease. I want to know why two 110-story skyscrapers collapsed in less than two hours and why escape and res-

cue options were so limited.

*I am suing because unlike other investigative avenues, including congressional hearings and the 9/11 commission, my lawsuit requires all testimony be given under oath and fully uses powers to compel evidence.*¹¹¹

The victims fund was not created in a spirit of compassion. Rather, it was a tacit acknowledgement by Congress that it tampered with our civil justice system in an unprecedented way. Lawmakers capped the liability of the airlines at the behest of lobbyists who descended on Washington while the Sept. 11 fires still smoldered. And this liability cap protects not just the airlines, but also World Trade Center builders, safety engineers and other defendants.

The caps on liability have consequences for those who want to sue to shed light on the mistakes of 9/11. It means the playing field is tilted steeply in favor of those who need to be held accountable. With the financial consequences other than insurance proceeds re-

¹¹¹Emphasis added. To expect any kind of justice from the post 9/11 American judiciary is a fond hope. The superior judiciary in particular is pre-selected by the Elite, through its agencies and agents, just like the American presidential election candidates. This has been so for a very long time - only 9/11 has brought it out very clearly in a number of cases. It would be an interesting study to see how many cases related to 9/11 and its aftermath have been dismissed by the courts and on what grounds.

moved, there is no incentive for those whose negligence contributed to the death toll to acknowledge their failings or implement reforms. They can afford to deny culpability and play a waiting game. By suing, I've forfeited the "\$1.8 million average award" for a death claim I could have collected under the fund. Nor do I have any illusions about winning money in my suit. What I do know is I owe it to my husband, whose death I believe could have been avoided, to see that all of those responsible are held accountable. If we don't get answers to what went wrong, there will be a next time. And instead of 3,000 dead, it will be 10,000. What will Congress do then?

So I say to Congress, big business and everyone who conspired to divert attention from government and private-sector failures: My husband's life was priceless, and I will not let his death be meaningless. My silence cannot be bought.

Since her silence could not be bought she had to be silenced - in due course of time. On February 13, 2009 Eckert died in a crash of flight 3407 on her way to Buffalo.

Air crashes as a technique of murder are an important Elite weapon. Senator Paul Wellstone died in an air crash on October 25, 2002. As Michael Ruppert remarks, Democrats are "twice as likely to die in air

crashes” as Republicans. When Paul Wellstone died there was a widespread belief in informed circles that he was killed. He had opposed the Iraq war and voted against it. He also voted against the Department of Homeland Security and in favor of an independent 9/11 Commission. He also believed that 9/11 was an inside job. As Ruppert wrote on November 1, 2002:¹¹²

The air crash deaths of Sen. Paul Wellstone, his wife, daughter, three staff members and two pilots at approximately 10:25 a.m. on Oct. 25 in Eveleth, Minn. has given rise to the widespread belief – shared by at least two members of the House of Representatives who spoke on condition of anonymity – that the crash was a murder.

Just as important as the known details of the crash, in many cases contradicting mainstream press reports, is the fact that the belief is so widely held. It says something about America that cannot and should not be ignored.

The internet based *Cabal Times* quoted Pat O'Reilly, a close friend of Senator Paul Wellstone:¹¹³

¹¹²Michael Ruppert: *Was Paul Wellstone Murdered? History Suggests It, Crash Inconsistencies Suggest It, Many Including Some Members of Congress, Believe It*; November 1, 2002; http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ww3/110102_wellstone.html

¹¹³*Remembering the Victims of 9/11 Cover-up*; <http://www.cabaltimes.com/2011/09/11/remembering-the->

I asked him how his week had been. He said, “it’s been tough. Vice President Cheney called me in and told me to get on their bandwagon or there would be serious ramifications in Minnesota. ‘And stop sticking your nose into 9/11; there are some rumors going around, but we are going to get to the bottom of this.’” When Paul made this statement, there were about 10 military veterans standing around us, and he spoke to them about 9/11 ...’ There are so many things going on about 9/11 that just don’t make sense ...’ Wellstone knew 9/11 was staged. Wellstone was after 9/11.

An article by Jim Fetzner (with Dennis Cimino) was posted on the military and foreign affairs journal *Veterans Today* on September 21, 2011 with the title *Sen. Paul Wellstone: More Proof of Assassination*.¹¹⁴ The Bush administration had come to regard Paul Wellstone as one of its greatest enemies. As Fetzner and Cimino wrote:

Seymour Hirsch disclosed recently that Vice President Cheney was running “an executive assassination ring” from his office in the White House, where Wellstone appears to have been one of his targets. When we apply

victims-of-the-9-11-cover-up/

¹¹⁴<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2011/09/21/sen-paul-wellstone-more-proof-of-assassination/>

scientific reasoning to cases like this one, we discover that the truth may not be what the papers print or what our government tells us about them. The motivation to take him out must have been overwhelming.

One can look up the above article to find out details of what, most likely, happened. The gut feeling of a large number of investigative writers, that Wellstone was murdered, refuses to go away more than a decade after he died.

Another important reason for the murder of Paul Wellstone has been described by Don Jacobs and James Fetzner in the following words:¹¹⁵

No one disputes that, prior to the election of 2002, the United States Senate was divided 50-49 with the Democrats in control. The split had come about as a result of the decision by Vermont Senator James Jeffords to disavow his status as a Republican and declare himself an Independent. No one disputes that Georgia Senator Max Cleland lost an election he had been widely expected to win, where the votes were tabulated by electronic voting machines. The death of Minnesota Senator Paul Wellstone not only deprived the Democrats of a majority during

¹¹⁵Quoted on the website www.assassinationscience.com apparently from the book *American Assassination* by Don Jacobs and James H. Fetzner.

the lame-duck session after the election but the subsequent loss of Walter Mondale to Norm Coleman, whom Wellstone was defeating, cost them control of the Senate.

The death of Major General David Wherley Jr., who was the commander of the 113th Fighter Squadron at the Andrews AFB on 9/11, is also suspicious. According to press reports he was the one who gave the orders to scramble aircraft on that fateful day. However the aircraft were scrambled very late and he must have known why and on whose orders. He possessed dangerous knowledge. He and his wife were among the nine people who died in an accident in which a southbound train rammed into the rear of a stationary train near the Maryland border. This happened in June 2009, a year after he had retired. The death of Major General David Wherley Jr. raised a lot of eyebrows, in particular among those familiar with the elite pattern of murders. The elite can crash an entire aircraft just to eliminate a single person who is perceived as a threat to its grip on events. In 1945 General Patton was murdered in what was made to look like an accident. It was suspected that he was killed but the world had to wait till 2008 before the actual story was brought out.¹¹⁶

A WTC janitor Kenneth Johannemann was in the North Tower waiting for an elevator when an explosion occurred. He stated: "I was down in the basement, came

¹¹⁶Robert K. Wilcox: *Target Patton: The Plot to Assassinate General George S. Patton*; 2008

down, all of a sudden the elevator blew up, smoke, I dragged the guy out, his skin was hanging on, I dragged him out and I helped him to the ambulance.” On August 31, 2008 Johannemann was found dead of what were called self-inflicted gunshot wounds.

A former United Airlines pilot, Philip Marshall, who wrote a book on 9/11 and who had connections to the DEA and CIA at one time, was found dead with his two children in February 2013. All three died of gunshot wounds. The story that was put out was that Marshall had killed his two kids and then committed suicide. As a note on the website beforeitsnews.com put it:¹¹⁷

Marshall was also a former CIA and DEA pilot during the Iran/Contra Scandal. Marshall lived in fear for his life while writing his 9/11 Truther book implicating the United States government and George W. Bush in the ‘false flag’ attacks. Has the US government begun an all out assault on whistle blowers and so-called truthers? That would certainly put at least 50% of Beforeitsnews readers and reporters/contributors in jeopardy of a drone strike or ‘accident’ for merely seeking truth.

¹¹⁷ *9/11 Researcher Found Dead: Wrote Book Implicating Bush Administration In The 9/11 Attacks*; <http://beforeitsnews.com/9-11-and-ground-zero/2013/02/911-researcher-found-dead-wrote-book-implicating-bush-administration-in-the-911-attacks-2439534.html>; February 7, 2013.

Marshall's book on 9/11 was titled *The Big Bamboozle: 9/11 and the War on Terror*. It was published in February 2012 and a search on amazon.com (on July 24, 2013) reveals that it has a four star rating with 65 people having reviewed the book. This is quite a large number of reviews indicating that the book had drawn a fairly high interest among 9/11 readers. Marshall was writing another book which was expected to contain "explosive information."

Marshall had been associated with the famous drug trafficker and CIA informant Barry Seal who was shot dead in February 1986. Investigative writer Daniel Hopsicker wrote in his book:¹¹⁸

The most talked-about event in Barry Seal's much-talked life concerns the persistent rumor, shortly after his assassination, that he had been murdered when he threatened to make use of a videotape of a Drug Enforcement Agency (DEA) cocaine sting which had netted George Bush's two sons, Jeb and George W.

It therefore appears almost certain that Marshall had information that was "explosive". He had already implicated Bush in his book on the 9/11 tragedy and he was, most probably, privy to information about George Bush's criminal past involving drug running and was afraid that his book might lead him into trouble. He had

¹¹⁸Daniel Hopsicker: *Barry and the "Boys" - The CIA, The Mob and America's Secret History*; September 2001.

expressed the apprehension that he could be silenced. One may look at Michael Ruppert's article *Bush-Cheney Drug Empire*, posted on his website on October 24, 2000, to find out about the drug connections of these two luminaries of the U.S. political scene.¹¹⁹ Soon after the publication of this article these two became President and Vice-President respectively of the United States of America! One will further find that Barry Seal also operated from Mena airport in Clinton's home State Arkansas. Governor Bill Clinton's possible involvement in, or patronage of, money laundering and connection with Mena can be found in another article, *Crimes of Mena: Gray Money*, originally written by Mark Swaney in 1995, and posted by Ruppert on his website subsequently.¹²⁰

A former NSA officer Wayne Madsen who investigated the Marshall family death story came to the conclusion that it was "100% certain" that Marshall was eliminated in a "blackops hit." Wayne Madsen gave reasons for this conclusion in an interview given to Kevin Barrett on February 19, 2013. The transcript of the interview is available on many websites.¹²¹ According to

¹¹⁹Michael C. Ruppert: *Bush-Cheney Drug Empire*;
<http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ciadrugs/bush-cheney-drugs.html>; October 24, 2000.

¹²⁰Mark Swaney: *Crimes of Mena: Gray Money*;
www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ciadrugs/gray_money.html

¹²¹See e.g. "100% Certain": 9/11 Author Was Killed in Black Ops Hit; <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/02/20/100-certain-911-author-was-killed-in-black-ops-hit/>; February 20, 2013.

Madsen, “neighbors believe it was a professional murder, not the murder-suicide claimed by corrupt local police, who have presumably been told what to do and say by higher authorities working on behalf of ‘national security.’” Madsen points out that the neighbor’s reside practically “on top” of the Marshal residence and would have heard the gunshots. Since nobody heard any gunshots it seems that the gun was muffled. But the gun found with the bodies had no mufflers. Madsen stated in his interview:

... there were SUVs spotted in the driveway, people combing the house inside and outside. One night was with five or six guys with flashlights looking around the grounds behind the house, they were in the house. One vehicle with State of California tags, even though the Sheriff said it was a county matter and it had no state involvement in the investigation. And there was another SUV, license undetermined, with an array of communication antennas bristling from the roof of the vehicle, so there was a lot of attention after the yellow tape was removed and it was declared as no longer as a crime scene.

It is quite clear that the residence had been combed by these powerful, mysterious visitors who were looking for something. They were undoubtedly connected with U.S. government agencies because the police did nothing about it. It is very important to note that Marshall’s

computer had disappeared! We thus have another mysterious death of a 9/11 whistleblower.

The story of Dr. David Graham, a dentist who met and interacted with two of the alleged 9/11 hijackers Nawaf Alhazmi and Fayed Banihammad is very sad. He met them 10 months before 9/11 at the residence of a possible FBI informant. After this meeting Dr. Graham contacted the FBI in 2000 and informed it that the two hijackers to be had connections with the Barksdale AFB. After 9/11 he again met with the FBI and asked as to why no investigation had been conducted on his earlier information. Shots were fired outside his residence in June 2002 before he was due to testify before the 9/11 Commission. He planned to write *The Graham Report: The true story of three 9-11 hijackers who were reported to the FBI 10 months before 9-11*. He died in 2006. His family believes that he was poisoned most probably by adding poison to the milkshake that he took while passing through a small Texas town in 2004. What his family says must be based on what Dr. Graham felt and told them. The FBI agent dealing with Dr. Graham began suggesting that Dr. Graham had mental problems! This is a familiar technique to erode the credibility of a whistleblower. It appears that Dr. Graham made the mistake of trusting the FBI and in not keeping the idea of his book or report secret.¹²² According to Jeff Ferrel

¹²²See e.g. *Remembering the Victims of September 11th Cover-Up*; <http://beforeitsnews.com/alternative/2012/07/remembering-the-victims-of-september-11th-cover-up-2400142.html?currentSplittedPage=0>

a year after Dr. Graham's death, a complaint was filed with the Office of the Inspector General at the Department of Justice because Dr. Graham's death had not been investigated for one full year.¹²³ Although the fate of the complaint is unclear, one may safely assume that it was consigned to oblivion as there is no further information available about it.

The case of Lauro "LJ" Chavez, a former Sergeant of the U.S. Army, is very intriguing. The *Cincinnati Post* published a story on 9/11. Chavez responded by stating that "I read the story and it was a total debunk to make the actual people around the world who feel there was something not right about 9-11, to look like over reacting conspiracy theorists." In a letter that he wrote to *Veterans for 9/11 Truth* in 2006, he stated:¹²⁴

Honestly I could care less what is done with this letter, but I feel that I should speak my mind and Ill tell you some things that I have not told many people at all. From 1995 till 2002 I was a Sergeant in the United States Army. Not only this, but **I was stationed at United States Central Command, which is located at MacDill AFB in Tampa Florida. I was on active duty**

¹²³Jeff Ferrel: *Who Killed Dr. David Graham? Complaint filed with DOJ*; <http://www.informationliberation.com/?id=24079>; October 19, 2007.

¹²⁴Lauro "LJ" Chavez: *Sergeant Lauro "LJ" Chavez, 9/11 WhistleBlower Speaks Out In Cincinnati Post*; *Veterans for 9/11 Truth*; emphasis in original.

when 9-11 happened.¹²⁵ In the days prior to the tragedies, **we were involved in many exercises. Some of these exercises included the scenarios of hijacked planes crashing into, our building, the world trade center, the White House, Sears Tower, and the Pentagon. These drills or exercises as we called them, were classified Top Secret.** Having a Top Secret rated clearance I was dumbfounded that they would ever push a training exercise above the level of Secret. **Over my 8 years in the Army, I had participated in many exercises around the world, none of which were ever classified over the Secret level.**

Chavez further writes that the command structure of NORAD was such that the power to scramble aircraft in defense of North American airspace had always been with the military but some time before 9/11 all this was changed. The power to scramble was handed over by Bush to “a civilian person on his Staff team.” This person was of course Dick Cheney. Chavez wrote:

So, I was standing in the SCIF (Secure Compartmented Information Facility), which is basically this underground bunker command post for USCENTCOM, when the first plane hit. **We were watching the fly patterns**

¹²⁵Emphasis in original through this and next quote of Chavez.

of all the planes on the aerospace grid. This contained not only all commercial flights at the time, but all military flights, and fake enemy planes that were supposedly put on there for the exercise. Many of the planes sent to intercept the fake blips were scrambled from Andrews which is an air defense AFB for the East Coast. They were sent across the US and left very few planes to defend the capital. After the first plane hit the tower we were all in disbelief. After the initial shock was over, our questions were what are the odds this could happen for real, during a training exercise thats covering the same scenario? We were all at wits end. **Then to top all of this off, Cheney gave NORAD the order to stand down scrambling jets to intercept. A few moments later tower 2 was hit. Only after the Pentagon was hit, did he give the orders to scramble the jets to intercept the plane bound for the White House.**

What happened subsequently to Lauro Chavez is, apparently, unknown. It is very hard to find web references to Chavez in past five years or so which describe anything he said or wrote, or his fate, after the publication of the above mentioned letter.

We have described the fate of some of the 9/11 whistleblowers above. This is a sample that can give the reader

some idea of how the Elite owned U.S. government, in service of its corporate masters, deals with those who attempt to expose its dark deeds. There are many other whistleblowers who have either been silenced, or harassed, or victimized. It is a testimony to the strength of character of Americans that there is a cavalcade of heroic whistle blowers, one appearing after another, who have taken on the most powerful, the most sinister, the most vindictive, and the most sordid government on earth. These whistleblowers have stood up for the great principles of the American constitution, without caring for their lives. Sibel Edmonds, Susan Lindauer, Colleen Rowley, Gwenyth Todd, Bradley Manning, Gary McKinnon, Julia Davis and, more recently, Edward Snowden, and many others, continue to battle the Elite attempts to transform America into a dictatorship and use it for setting up the One World Government under Elite control. These great men and women have restored the respect of thoughtful people worldwide for America, a respect that has been tarnished by the criminal, immoral and unprincipled policies of the U.S. government, carried out at the behest of the Elite.

3.9 Foreknowledge

Any one who cares to study the literature pertaining to 9/11 objectively will have no doubt in his/her mind that the event had been planned well in advance. We have, in chapter 1, described the “clues” in this regard in Brzezinski’s writings, and above all the explicit state-

ment made by Nick Rockefeller to Aaron Russo who stated that Nick Rockefeller told him:¹²⁶

... eleven months before 9/11 happened there was going to be an event and out of that event we are going to invade Afghanistan to run pipelines through the Caspian Sea, we are going to invade Iraq to take over the oil fields and establish a base in the Middle East, and we'd go after Chavez in Venezuela.

What more evidence of foreknowledge and preplanning can one ask for? If the superior American judiciary had the integrity it once had, such a statement would not have gone unnoticed. Or is it, as Robert Gaylon Ross Sr. has stated, that the Elite “owns” the superior judiciary in the U.S.? Both Brzezinski and Nick Rockefeller are not U.S. officials, but are among those who control U.S. foreign policy through the Elite funded “think” tanks and other dark means.

Then there is the explosive statement, given to the BBC and reported by the BBC on September 18, 2001, by a former Pakistani Foreign Secretary Niaz A. Naik that he had been told by “senior U.S. officials” in July 2001 that war would be imposed on Afghanistan by October 2001. This information was conveyed to him at a U.N. sponsored international contact group meeting in Berlin. Why have these “senior officials” not been

¹²⁶Paul Joseph Watson: *Rockefeller Admitted Elite Goal of Microchipped Population* <http://www.prisonplanet.com/articles/january2007/290107rockefellergoal.htm>

questioned and grilled by the U.S. agencies and media? How did they know, months in advance that the U.S. would attack Afghanistan by October 2001? No one in authority in the West has followed this highly credible and potent lead. The statement of Niaz A. Naik has never been denied by the U.S. government. The only plausible explanation is that the Afghan war was pre-planned and 9/11 provided the public fury needed to attack Afghanistan just as Pearl Harbor provided the public reaction for U.S. entry in WW II.

Such wars do not take place in a month or in a few weeks. They are preplanned and well rehearsed, well in advance. In an article posted on the web Michael Ruppert, citing various sources pointed out:¹²⁷

Sept. 1-10, 2001 - In an exercise, called Operation "Swift Sword" and planned for four years, 23,000 British troops are streaming toward Oman. Although the 9-11 attacks caused a hiccup in the deployment, the massive operation was implemented as planned. At the same time two U.S. carrier battle groups arrive on station in Gulf of Arabia just off the Pakistani coast. Also at the same time, some 17000 U.S. troops join more than 23,000 NATO troops in Egypt for Opera-

¹²⁷Michael C. Ruppert: *'Oh Lucy! - You Gotta Lotta 'Splainin To Do' A Timeline Surrounding September 11th - If CIA and the Government Weren't Involved in the September 11 Attacks What Were They Doing?* Expanded and Revised September 4, 2002; www.fromthewilderness.com

tion “Bright Star”. *All of these forces are in place before the first plane hits the WTC.*¹²⁸
[Sources: The Guardian; CNN; Fox, The Observer, International Law Professor Francis Boyle, the University of Illinois.]

Are all these military preparations a mere coincidence? Troops and ships in such large numbers are in position just one day before 9/11 - is that a coincidence? The war had been planned years in advance and there is absolutely no doubt about it when one takes an overall view of the miscellaneous evidence. Such wars have to be preplanned and well rehearsed because failure in such wars has incalculable and dangerous consequences for the aggressors. Since the war was preplanned 9/11 was also preplanned. Without 9/11 the U.S. public, and even the docile and, in general, characterless Congress, would not have allowed the U.S. government to attack Afghanistan. 9/11 was absolutely essential for all the subsequent wars - in Afghanistan, Iraq, Libya, and proxy wars in Syria, Pakistan, etc.

There is a great deal of interesting information, dug out by researchers, and also brought out by whistleblowers, that the U.S. authorities, at various levels and in various organizations, knew about 9/11 beforehand. If the authorities knew about 9/11 before hand then it was either allowed to happen or was a complete inside job. In either case government complicity is established. A highly important intelligence agent and whistleblower

¹²⁸Emphasis added.

Susan Lindauer wrote in her 2010 book:¹²⁹

Tragically today, the vast majority of citizens around the world have no confidence that we 've been told the truth about 9/11. From that despair, the "9/11 Truth Movement" has emerged. Ordinary citizens have put together a Terror Timeline, culling information that the government would not provide.

For me, that's heart breaking to watch. *I know from personal experience the ripples of advance warnings that ran like wildfire through the intelligence community before 9/11.*¹³⁰

I recall my own desperate efforts to reach the Justice Department, at the urgent command of my CIA handler. And I know the White House floated the idea of War in Baghdad for months before the attack, because I was commanded to issue those threats myself, if a 9/11 scenario occurred and Baghdad failed to share intelligence with the U.S.

On the morning of my arrest, one more thing threatened pro-War Republicans. I had full knowledge of Iraq's efforts to cooperate with the 9/11 investigation, and how that effort had been snubbed.

¹²⁹Susan Lindauer: *Extreme Prejudice - The Terrifying Story of the Patriot Act And the Cover Ups of 9/11 and Iraq*; 2010; pp 90, 91.

¹³⁰Emphasis added.

She also wrote:¹³¹

On Friday, Sept 7, the State Department issued a worldwide alert – “*American citizens may be the target of a terrorist threat from extremist groups with links to [Osama bin Laden’s] al Qaeda organization.*”¹³² The report cited information gathered in *May 2001* as suggesting an attack was imminent. It warned “individuals in al Qaeda have not distinguished between civilian and military targets.”

In fact whistle blowers have provided reams of evidence of foreknowledge with regard to 9/11. Since this was known to intelligence agencies and since the State Department had issued an advisory on Sept 7, it is inconceivable that the military was unaware of all this. How come then the military response was non-existent on 9/11? Is this credible?

That someone knew beforehand about 9/11 is also confirmed by the mysterious sales and purchases of shares of American Airlines and United Airlines. As Michael Ruppert wrote on December 6, 2001 in his web magazine FTW (From the Wilderness):¹³³

¹³¹*Ibid*, p 26.

¹³²Emphasis in original throughout this quote.

¹³³Tom Flocco - Edited by Michael Ruppert: *Profits of Death – Insider Trading and 9-11* http://www.fromthewilderness.com/free/ww3/12_06_01_death_profits_pt1.html

On October 9th, FTW broke a story on insider trading connected to the 9-11 attacks on the World Trade Center that sparked worldwide controversy. In that story we reported how the Israeli Herzliya Institute for Counterterrorism had documented that unknown individuals – with accurate foreknowledge of the attacks – had purchased an obvious and unusually large number of “put” options on United and American Airlines shortly before the attacks.

Additional companies hit hard by the insider trading included Axa Re(insurance) and Munich Re as well as American investment giants Merrill Lynch and Morgan Stanley.

Put options are essentially a bet that a stock’s price will fall abruptly. The seller, having entered into a time-specific contract with a buyer, does not need to own the actual shares at the time the contract is purchased. Therefore, if a holder of the put option has a contract to sell a stock such as American Airlines for (e.g.) \$100 a share on a Friday and the stock falls to \$50 on Wednesday, they can purchase the stock, sell it on Friday and double their money. The person on the other end of the contract (the call) has an obligation to buy the shares at the agreed upon price. The bank handling the transaction as a broker is the only entity knowing the iden-

tities of both parties.

FTW also revealed that the A.B. Brown (Alex Brown) investment arm of the banking giant Deutschebank/A.B. Brown had been headed until 1998 by the man who is now the Executive Director of the Central Intelligence Agency - A.B. "Buzzy" Krongard. In fact, Krongard is but one name in a long history of CIA interconnections to stock trading and the world's financial markets. We also discussed, in detail, the evidence indicating that the CIA and other intelligence agencies monitor stock trading in real time for the purpose of identifying potential attacks of any nature that might damage the U.S. economy.

The above needs no further comment. It was a relatively straightforward job to find out the individuals who had purchased these "put" options. But if 9/11 was an inside job then understandably no one did - it was part of the usual cover up in such cases. As noted in the same article:

When asked about the status of the investigation into the disproportionate pre-attack stock option trades involving United and American Airlines, Merrill Lynch, Marsh and McLennan Insurance, Morgan Stanley, Citigroup, Bear Stearns, and American Express, etc. - all icons of American capitalism -

NYSE Communications Director Ray Pellicchia said, “We don’t even confirm that there is an ongoing investigation.”

Why? If there was an ongoing investigation one should have said yes and if there was none one could have said no. That of course would have led to further questions. And elements in control of NYSE did not want any further questions asked lest those with foreknowledge are found out and possibly apprehended. It is very much possible that these shady individuals were intimately tied to the controllers of NYSE. CIA is also very intimately tied with the NYSE as noted in the above mentioned article. It states that CIA executives hold, or have held, “top level executive management positions connected in some way to the stock market via either the SEC, NYSE, or other investment banking entities ...”

In addition to the above there are other questions that need to be answered. For instance San Francisco Mayor Willie Brown was to fly to New York on 9/11 but, as reported by the *San Francisco Chronicle*, he received advice from “his airport security” advising him that Americans should be cautious about air travel.¹³⁴ This information came a a “full eight hours before” the terrorist attacks. Who had tipped him? It is interesting that in 2008 Willie Brown denied this to a group of 9/11

¹³⁴The complete *San Francisco Chronicle* report can be read at: http://propagandamatrix.com/willie_brown_got_low_key_early_warning.html

researchers.¹³⁵ Why did he not deny it there and then in September 2001? Why did he wait seven years to deny this? Was he lying and if so why? This is another unanswered question.

James Doran wrote in *London Times* on September 27, 2001:¹³⁶

THE author Salman Rushdie believes that US authorities knew of an imminent terrorist strike when they banned him from taking internal flights in Canada and the US only a week before the attacks. On September 3 the Federal Aviation Authority made an emergency ruling to prevent Mr Rushdie from flying unless airlines complied with strict and costly security measures. Mr Rushdie told *The Times* that the airlines would not upgrade their security.

The FAA told the author's publisher that US intelligence had given warning of "something out there" but failed to give any further details.

The FAA confirmed that it stepped up se-

¹³⁵See Steve Watson: *Mayor Willie Brown Contradicts Earlier Statements About 9/11 No Fly Warning - Truth group confronts former San Francisco official at center of controversy*; Feb 25, 2008; <http://infowars.net/articles/february2008/250208Willie.htm>

¹³⁶James Doran: Rushdie's air ban; *London Times* Sep 27, 2001; the original link is inactive but is saved at: http://propagandamatrix.com/bin_ladens_trail_rushdie.html

curity measures concerning Mr Rushdie but refused to give a reason.

In the September 24, 2001 issue the weekly *Newsweek* reported:

Three weeks ago there was another warning that a terrorist strike might be imminent. But there was no mention of where. On Sept. 10, NEWSWEEK has learned, a group of top Pentagon officials suddenly canceled travel plans for the next morning, apparently because of security concerns.

They must have been tipped off by someone. Who tipped them? Why did they specifically cancel travel plans a day before 9/11? Did no other Pentagon officials travel on other days following the issuance of the advisory? Or did they too cancel their travel plans?

Then there is the question of mysterious messages received allegedly from Israel, for two individuals working for an instant messaging firm Odigo, warning them of an imminent attack on WTC. These messages were received two hours before the first attack. The firm Odigo is owned by Israelis and had offices close to WTC buildings. The Israeli newspaper *Haaretz* confirmed this fact in its Sep 26, 2001 issue.¹³⁷ Nothing came out subsequently about the source of these messages. Odigo did not deny the messages and said at the time that it

¹³⁷<http://www.haaretz.com/print-edition/news/odigo-says-workers-were-warned-of-attack-1.70579>

would cooperate with the FBI. It is therefore clear that someone in Israel knew of the attacks a couple of hours before they took place. Who? And how could he or she or they know about it while sitting in Israel? These questions are unanswered to date even though it should not have been difficult to locate the actual source of origin of these emails.

The Israeli connection to 9/11 has been pursued by various authors. Christopher Bollyn has published an entire book on the subject.¹³⁸ In an article posted in 2005 Bollyn wrote:¹³⁹

U.S. investigators and the controlled media have ignored a preponderance of evidence pointing to Israel's intelligence agency, the Mossad, being involved in the terror attacks of 9/11.

From the very morning aircraft smashed into the World Trade Center (WTC) and the Pentagon, news reports have indicated Israeli intelligence being involved in the events of 9/11 - and the planting of "false flags" to blame Arab terrorists and mold public opinion to support the preplanned "war on terror."

Shortly after the destruction of the twin towers, radio news reports described five "Mid-

¹³⁸Christopher Bollyn: *Solving 9-11: The Deception That Changed The World*; April 2012

¹³⁹Christopher Bollyn: *Mossad - The Israeli Connection To 911*; rense.com/general64/moss.htm

dle Eastern men” being arrested in New Jersey after having been seen videotaping and celebrating the explosive “collapses” of the WTC.

These men, from a phony moving company in Weekhawken, N.J., turned out to be agents of Israeli military intelligence, Mossad. Furthermore, their “moving van” tested positive for explosives. . . .

The Assistant Attorney General in charge of criminal investigations at the time was Michael Chertoff, the current head of the Dept. of Homeland Security. Chertoff the son of the first hostess of Israel’s national air carrier, El Al, is thought to be an Israeli national.

These agents were allowed to return to Israel on “minor visa violations.” What is one to make of it?

A very important piece of information pertaining to the Israeli connection concerns an Israeli company ICTS, owned by an Israeli Ezra Harel. This company employs ex-Shin Bet officers. On 9/11 this company provided passenger screening services to all the airports from where the planes were hijacked.¹⁴⁰ As the author of this article states:

It has been suggested that the incredible feat of hijacking four aircraft without a single ar-

¹⁴⁰ *All Israeli Airports Serviced by One Israeli Owned Company*; whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/ICTS.html

rest would require the resources of a nation-state. This is even more true with the revelation that at least one gun had managed to be aboard a hijacked plane. One company had automatic inside access to all of the airports from which hijacked planes departed on 9-11, and to the airports to be used by Richard Reid, the shoe bomber. An Israeli company. One that Mossad agents could easily find employment with or without the management knowing who they were or what their purpose really was.

But one thing is clear. By virtue of the Odigo warning, someone knew enough about the planned attacks to warn Odigo before the planes had even departed the airport gates, yet they *did not call the Israeli security company at the airports which could have stopped the flights from leaving.*¹⁴¹

The above mentioned article also provides another crucial insight into the Israeli factor in 9/11. It quotes from a post from rense.com:¹⁴²

Hours before the House version of the Patriot Act went to vote, “technical corrections” were inserted into the body of leg-

¹⁴¹Italics in original.

¹⁴²For the Richard Reid (“shoe bomber”) connection see: Stephen M. St. John: *911 Dutch Treat?* 15 November 2005; rense.com/general68/dutch.htm

isolation whereby foreign security companies such as ICTS-International would be immune from lawsuits related to 9/11. Talk about not being available for deposition! This “Patriot” act legislative sleight of hand occurred before the inception of the 9/11 Commission when Fearless Leader George W. Bush was still resisting the very idea IDEA of an investigation into 9/11. Without such discovery process, ICTS-International would never be compelled by a court of law to give testimony and show evidence related to the missing airport video surveillance tapes of 9/11 or any other aspect of security measures in place of 9/11.

Why the need for such legislation? It is also well known among intelligence circles in the U.S. that touching Israel is a career suicide. Why is this so? Why is it that Israel cannot be touched by the U.S. government? One may remember how the U.S.S. Liberty was attacked by Israel killing a very large number of Americans on board. Israel got away with this attack. Why? Taming Israel on its own is not a problem. The only way to understand the untouchability of Israel in the U.S. has to do with the fact that it is practically a Rothschild owned state and the Rothschilds control the U.S. through the Federal Reserve, as outlined in the first chapter.

On February 18, 2004 Professor Chossudovsky’s Cen-

ter for Global Research reported:¹⁴³

Barely six weeks prior to 9/11, Attorney General John Ashcroft decided not to travel on commercial airlines, due to “a threat assessment by the FBI”. This Threat assessment was apparently communicated to members of the U.S. Congress and the Senate.

Sen. Hillary Rodham Clinton confirmed in an interview with Snoeshoe Films that Ashcroft had advised members of Congress and the Senate as early as August 2001 not to travel on commercial airlines.

The more fundamental question is why, if there was a threat, did Ashcroft not warn the American public. Note his reply: he did not bother to inquire on the nature of the threat, stating that the information concerning the threat emanated from the FBI.

This news was first broken by CBS correspondent Jim Stewart on July 26, 2001, approximately six weeks *before* 9/11! As a result of the threat assessment Ashcroft traveled by a leased jet instead of commercial airlines.

The above is a varied sample of information indicating foreknowledge as well as preplanning with regard to 9/11. As Griffin has remarked the evidence for govern-

¹⁴³*Barely seven weeks prior to 9/11, Attorney General John Ashcroft decided not to travel on commercial airlines, due to “a threat assessment by the FBI”;* <http://globalresearch.ca/articles/ASH402A.html>

ment complicity in 9/11 is *cumulative*. A huge number of unexplained facts fall in place on the basis of one assumption viz. 9/11 was an inside job carried out with full complicity of important sections of the U.S. government. The official explanation rests on miracles and unpunished lapses and does not accord with information that is now available provided one takes out the time to look it up, study it and analyze it with an open mind (for Americans Griffin, based on his own experience, advises, at least a 30% open minded approach to 9/11).

3.10 Miscellaneous Facts and OCT

In this section we will deal with miscellaneous additional evidences and related questions that cannot be answered by the Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT). Many of these could have been fitted in the previous sections but some of these are so startling that it would be more helpful to put them together in a separate section.

In an extremely valuable and well written small book Arthur Naiman (with Gregg Roberts) has raised many questions. Two of these are very disturbing and are being quoted here. Firstly Naiman and Roberts raise the basic, but very painful question regarding the collapse of the Twin Towers. They ask: **Where are the people?** They write:¹⁴⁴

¹⁴⁴Arthur Naiman with Gregg Roberts: *9/11 The Simple Facts - Why The Official Story Can't Possibly Be True*; The Real Story

In a gravitational collapse, you'd expect to find bodies crushed between the floors. There were about 2750 victims in the Twin Towers but only 300 whole bodies were found. Not only were the buildings turned to dust but so, apparently were most of the victims. More than eleven hundred of them remain completely unaccounted for; no pieces of their bodies have been collected large enough to get DNA samples from (and that doesn't take much). How could fire and gravitational collapse account for such thorough devastation?

(In 2006 there was a gory footnote. More than 700 human bone fragments - each less than half an inch long - were found on top of the Deutsche Bank building, across the street from South Tower. How did they get there and how did they get so small?)

In the same book Naiman raises another question: **Where is everything else?** He points out that the Twin Towers contained approximately 4 million square feet of office space. There were "tens of thousands of desks, chairs, computers, printers, cubicles, filing cabinets, telephones, light fixtures, water coolers, toilets, sinks - equipment of every conceivable sort." He then quotes NY Fire Department firefighter Joe Casaliggi:¹⁴⁵

Series published by Soft Skull Press, 2011, pp 28, 29.

¹⁴⁵ *Ibid* p 29.

You have two 110-storey buildings. You don't find a desk. You don't find a chair. You don't find a telephone, a computer. The biggest piece of telephone I found was half of the keypad and it was about this big [holding his thumb and index finger about $2\frac{1}{2}$ " apart]. The building collapsed to dust.

Certainly the above two questions cannot be answered by alluding to fire and plane impact as the cause.

Naiman further states:¹⁴⁶

Aside from steel columns and girders, virtually the entire buildings and their contents were turned to powder and tiny chunks of debris. A four-inch layer of dust covered all of lower Manhattan. Here's how NY Governor George Pataki described it: "The concrete was pulverized . . . All of lower Manhattan, not just this site, from river to river, there was dust, powder . . . The concrete was just pulverized.

And it was *finely*¹⁴⁷ pulverized, much of it like talcum powder, in clouds that expanded five times the volume of each tower in 30 seconds. . . .

Ninety thousand tons of concrete and thousands of tons of office furniture, fixtures and equipment are missing from the debris pile

¹⁴⁶ *Ibid* pp 29, 30.

¹⁴⁷ Emphasis in original.

at the base of each building. What sort of force could have destroyed all that?

The questions raised above remain completely unanswered if one sticks to the official version. And, in passing, one may remark that if steel girders and office fixtures and concrete has been pulverized into fine dust, how come the passports and documents of the alleged hijackers, who struck the towers along with the planes, survived the catastrophe? Is this credible? It says a lot about the state of mind of the average American that this kind of thing was widely accepted.

We have already described molten steel in enormous quantities in the debris and in the hole beneath the towers. Its cause is an unanswered question if one remains within the official theory viz. ordinary fire and plane impact brought down the WTC buildings. Further it is also important to note that the “last fire in the debris pile wasn’t extinguished until December 19, 2001 - more than three months after 9/11.”¹⁴⁸ Yet a NIST spokesman continued to insist: “I know of absolutely nobody, no eyewitnesses who said so.” Was he lying or indulging in sophistry?

Writers also point out that hidden in appendix C of the FEMA Report is a fact of great significance, a fact that, despite the the fundamental NIST Report assertion that there was no evidence of a demolition job with the three WTC buildings, controverts this claim without any doubt. FEMA leaves it as an unexplained

¹⁴⁸*Ibid* p 32.

mystery requiring further analysis. In a 2004 post at the WPI (Worcester Polytechnic Institute) website it is stated:¹⁴⁹ “*metallurgical studies on WTC steel brought back to WPI reveal that novel phenomenon - called eutectic reaction - occurred at the surface causing intergranular melting capable of turning a solid steel girder into Swiss cheese.*” The article further states:

Material science Professors Ronald R. Beiderman and Richard D. Sisson Jr. confirmed the presence of eutectic formations by examining steel samples under optical and scanning electron microscopes. A preliminary report was published in *JOM*, the journal of Minerals, Metals and Materials Society. A more detailed analysis comprises Appendix C of FEMA report. The *New York Times* called these findings “perhaps the deepest mystery uncovered in the investigation.” The significance of the work on a sample from building 7 and a structural column from one of the twin towers becomes apparent only when one sees these heavy chunks of damaged metal.

A one-inch column has been reduced to half-inch thickness. Its edges - which are curled like paper scroll - have been thinned to almost razor sharpness. Gaping holes - some

¹⁴⁹In the journal WPI transformations;; *The “Deep Mystery” of Melted Steel*;
www.wpi.edu/News/Transformations/202Spring/steel.html

larger than a silver dollar let light shine through a formerly solid flange. The Swiss cheese appearance shocked all the fire-wise professors, who expected to see distortion and bending and not holes.

This fact is of the deepest significance. And explaining it away is not at all difficult when one combines this fact with the discovery of nanothermite, sulfur, etc. in the surviving steel samples. Dr. Steven Jones¹⁵⁰ of Brigham Young University, a physicist, was eventually forced to quit his job for his work on 9/11 steel and dust. This incidentally shows that the U.S. academia is not really free to express its views even on academic matters sometimes. He was analyzing dust samples from WTC explosions. Along with a team of international scientists working under the leadership of Professor Niels Harrit of the University of Copenhagen, he analyzed four dust samples from WTC. The joint research effort revealed the presence of unignited and partially ignited nanothermite, a high grade explosive developed at highly advanced U.S. laboratories. *The existence of nanothermite in WTC dust is a scientifically established fact, regardless of its implications.* The very high temperatures needed to create a Swiss cake structure can not be generated by any ordinary fire, including jet fuel fire. We quote from Naiman:¹⁵¹

¹⁵⁰See, e.g. Steven E. Jones: *Why Indeed Did the WTC Buildings Collapse?* www.wtc7.net/articles/stevenjones_b7_051122.html

¹⁵¹Arthur Naiman with Gregg Roberts: *9/11 The Simple Facts - Why The Official Story Can't Possibly Be True*; The Real Story

A typical controlled demolition uses high-energy explosives like RDX or C4, but they make a lot of noise and produce bright flashes of light. If you wanted to try to conceal what you were doing, you'd probably use *thermite* or one of its close cousins - military-grade incendiaries that cut through steel like hot knives through butter but are quieter and less visible than C4 or RDX (although they are still quite loud and visible).

Thermite can reach temperatures of 4500° F in just two seconds (steel melts at 2750° F). Adding sulfur to thermite makes thermate, which produces even faster results.

Nanothermite (also known as *superthermite*) is a mixture of ultrafine aluminium and iron oxide developed at highly advanced “national security” labs like Lawrence Livermore and Los Alamos. It explodes even more quickly. If you put nanothermite into a goop called *sol-gel*, it can be cast to shape, like clay. (As you might expect, nanothermite isn't commercially available, and it's not the sort of thing you can mix up in your backyard.)

Apparently Dr. Steven Jones and his team, as well as the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) found

Series published by Soft Skull Press, 2011, pp 37, 38. We have briefly described the nanothermite issue in a previous section when we quote from the press conference of Richard Gage and Mike Garvel.

“**iron rich microspheres**” in WTC dust. Jones calculated that there were about 10 tons of such iron rich microspheres in the WTC dust. He concluded that the only way such microspheres could be formed was from *aerosolization of enormous quantities of steel or iron* from an explosion. Naiman points out that when Dr. Steven Jones and his colleagues ignited the nanothermite samples from WTC dust they found that it led to microspheres of the kind that were found in the iron rich WTC dust earlier.

Naiman also mentions a very important experiment conducted publicly at the 2007 Burning Man Festival in Nevada by John Parulis. We quote:¹⁵²

John Parulis ignited 80 pounds of commercial thermite under a large steel sculpture of the word TRUTH. He then sent samples of the remains to Dr. Jones, who used electron microscopy to compare it to the World Trade Center dust. The iron microspheres in both samples matched closely.

This is enough proof that thermite, or its variation nanothermite, was used in WTC to demolish the buildings. The very existence of nanothermite in WTC dust, the tons of microspheres, the existence of Swiss cheese type damage to steel - all these are established facts. The case for controlled demolition is so strong that Naiman has remarked: “There isn’t a jury in the country which,

¹⁵²*Ibid*, p 40.

if presented with this evidence, wouldn't agree." That however presumes that the jury sits with an open mind and does not hide behind the cloak of State Secrets Privilege.

It is also highly significant that trillions of dollars were missing from various places. In his book Michael Ruppert has produced a table showing a breakup of \$4.6 trillion that was stolen from various sources. He wrote:¹⁵³ "This is taxpayer money. This is retirement money for medical care. This is the wealth of America and this is being stolen." Catherine Austin Fitts has estimated that the amount stolen from the people of U.S. is around 10 trillion dollars. In an interview with Lars Schall she spoke of "fraud endemic in the Federal mortgage operations, trillions missing from government agencies and connections to drug trafficking and 'black budgets'." Her article on 9/11 profiteering, written in 2004, can be seen at numerous websites.¹⁵⁴ She further stated:¹⁵⁵

Actually I wrote an article about this, the 20 largest areas of profit on 9/11. 9/11 was extraordinarily profitable for Wall Street, they

¹⁵³Michael C. Ruppert: *Crossing the Rubicon: The Decline of the American Empire at the End of the Age of Oil* - New Society Publisher, 2004; p 499.

¹⁵⁴Catherine Austin Fitts: 9-11 Profiteering: A Framework for Building the "Cui Bono?" Unanswered Questions; www.globalresearch.ca 22 March, 2004.

¹⁵⁵Lars Schall: *9/11 Was A Fantastically Profitable Covert Operation*; September 3, 2011; www.larsschall.com/.../911-was-a-fantastically-profitable-covert-operation

of course got a kind of “Get Out of Jail Free card” ... In addition, the largest broker of government bonds, Cantor Fitzgerald, was destroyed, and there was a great deal of money missing from the federal government in the prior four or five years. If you look at the amount of funds involved, it is hard to come to a conclusion other than massive securities fraud was involved, so I find it very interesting that this happened.

Further the IRS and other government agencies and departments were carrying out investigations into these crimes. The WTC 7 building housed offices of the IRS, Securities and Exchange Commission, Secret Service as well as the CIA and the Mayor’s Office of Emergency Management.¹⁵⁶ With the destruction of WTC 7 the records into these investigations were also destroyed. Is it a coincidence that records of audit into missing trillions at the Pentagon were destroyed and also investigation records into missing trillions were destroyed at WTC 7?

In addition to the above the issue of training and protection of the alleged terrorists of Arab or mid-eastern

¹⁵⁶For a complete list of offices in WTC 7 see: *What Was In Building 7?*; www.wtc7.net/background.html; It states: “Built in 1985, it was formerly the headquarters of the junk-bond firm Drexel Burnham Lambert which contributed to the Savings and Loans collapse, prompting the \$500-billion taxpayer-underwritten bailout of the latter 1980s. At the time of its destruction, it exclusively housed government agencies and financial institutions.”

origin, their ties with U.S. agencies, as exposed by whistleblowers and writers, has been repeatedly raised. In his book Kevin Fenton¹⁵⁷ has established beyond any doubt that the terrorists that he dealt with in his book were repeatedly protected from the FBI by the CIA. Why? Then there is the question of why U.S. authorities ignored repeated warnings from their own agents as well as other governments. As Susan Lindauer pointed out in her book, information about an impending attack had spread like “wild fire” through the intelligence community prior to 9/11. Yet the superior officers of the agencies themselves, and the leadership at the highest level refused to budge. Why?

Instead of going into details we quote Mindy Kleinberg, one of the members of the 9/11 victim families. While addressing the 9/11 Commission, Mindy Kleinberg said:¹⁵⁸

It has been said that the intelligence agencies have to be right 100% of the time. And the terrorists only have to get lucky once. This explanation for the devastating attacks of September 11th, simple on its face, is wrong in its value, because the 9-11 terrorists were not just lucky once. They were lucky over

¹⁵⁷Kevin Fenton: *Disconnecting the Dots - How CIA and FBI Officials Helped Enable 9/11 and Evaded Government Investigations*; Trine Day 2011.

¹⁵⁸Arabesque: *10 Questions about 9/11 Answered*; February 7, 2008; <http://arabesque911.blogspot.com/2008/02/10-questions-about-911-answered.html>

and over again. *When you have this repeated pattern of broken protocols, broken laws, broken communication, one cannot still call it luck.*¹⁵⁹ If at some point, we don't look to hold the individuals accountable for not doing their jobs, properly, then how can we ever expect for terrorists to not get lucky again?

This is despite the fact that it has been noted that the alleged plan of the hijackers had too many flaws for successful execution. For details one can refer to the book of Naiman.¹⁶⁰

There is an additional mystery - of the 19 names put forward as hijackers many turned up alive after 9/11! The details of such a discrepancy can be found in many places. One may for instance see the post at whatreally-happened.com.¹⁶¹ In the aforementioned reference, and others, the following seven alleged hijackers are found to be alive after 9/11: Wail al Shehri, his brother Waleed al Shehri, Abdul Aziz al Omari, Mohand al Shehri, Saeed al Ghamdi, Ahmad al Nami and Salem al Hazmi. In addition Mohammad Atta's father held a press conference in Cairo a few days after 9/11 saying that he had received a call from his son *after* 9/11 asking him not

¹⁵⁹Emphasis in original.

¹⁶⁰Arthur Naiman with Gregg Roberts: *9/11 The Simple Facts - Why The Official Story Can't Possibly Be True*; The Real Story Series published by Soft Skull Press, 2011, pp 52-55.

¹⁶¹*At Least 7 of the 9/11 Hijackers are Still Alive*; whatreally-happened.com/WRHARTICLES/hijackers.html

to worry as he was alive and well. Doubts have been expressed as to whether the hijackers were even on the planes (see for instance the references cited in Naiman's book mentioned above).

Citing Hoffman, Naiman has stated:¹⁶²

According to Hoffman, "no video of any of the nineteen hijackers at any of the three originating airports has been made public." In fact, there's little or no credible evidence that Arab hijackers were involved in the September 11th attacks at all, and substantial evidence that some of the one's named weren't involved. . . .

None of the flight crews on the four planes radioed Air Traffic Control about hijackings in progress, or punched in the four-digit hijacking code. Flight attendant Betty Ong made a call from Flight 11. Only 4½ minutes of it have been made public, in which Ms. Ong described a stabbing but didn't mention Arab hijackers.

There is also the question as to why, contrary to normal practice, did flights with such a low percentage of filled seats take off. Normally flights with a low passenger occupancy are canceled (see Naiman). According

¹⁶²Arthur Naiman with Gregg Roberts: *9/11 The Simple Facts - Why The Official Story Can't Possibly Be True*; The Real Story Series published by Soft Skull Press, 2011, pp 54-55.

to Naiman and others Flight 11 had 81 passengers (including hijackers) for 181 seats, Flight 175 had only 56 passengers against 181 seats, Flight 77 had 58 passengers for 200 seats and Flight 93 had only 38 passengers for a seating capacity of 200!

An important piece of suppressed information concerns the involvement of George W. Bush's brother in the security firm entrusted with the WTC security. Marvin P. Bush was associated as a principal with the security company Securacom.¹⁶³ What is even more significant is the fact that the mainstream media has suppressed this vital piece of information. Apparently a Kuwaiti-American investment firm backed Securacom. It is very intriguing that Securacom "provided security for the World Trade Center, United Airlines and Dulles International Airport." To provide security for an airport as well as an airline is considered "unusual" according to security experts. The article on whatreallyhappened.com, quotes Wayne Black, himself head of a security firm, as stating this. Further:

As Black points out, "when you have security contract, you know the inner workings of everything." And if another company is linked with the security company, then "What's on your screen is on their computer."

¹⁶³Information about this issue may be found on numerous websites. One may see e.g. *9/11 Security Courtesy of Marvin Bush*; whatreallyhappened.com/WRHARTICLES/911security.html; or one may look at: *9-11 Research: WTC Security*; 911research.wtc7.net/wtc/background/security.html

Further, the WTC had heightened security in the days close to 9/11 but on September 6, 2001 bomb-sniffing dogs were abruptly removed [*NY Newsday* quoted in the article]. Further:

On the weekend of 9/8, 9/9 there was a ‘power down’ condition in WTC tower 2, the south tower. The power down condition meant there was no electrical supply for approx 36 hours from floor 50 up . . . “Of course without power there were no security cameras, no security locks on many doors and many, many ‘engineers’ coming in and out of the tower.” [Wing TV]

. . . Surveillance tapes and maintenance logs are among the missing evidence as investigators try to figure out why the World Trade Center collapsed, federal officials said Monday . . . The lost records probably contain vital information that could help answer questions, Sunder said. Investigators are trying to locate copies of many destroyed documents from the building’s owners and city agencies. [FortWayne.com]

Nobody has ever heard anything about these surveillance tapes from WTC (as well as from Pentagon and businesses around Pentagon). It is also intriguing that workers in the WTC south tower, who were trying to exit, were sent back by security personnel and announcements that the south tower was safe. Had they been

allowed to exit the loss of life in the south tower would have been very minimal. Someone wanted maximum casualties. Who? Most likely those who wanted the American people to get so angry that they could ride on the wave of fury and launch the war.

Griffin and others have established beyond reasonable doubt that General Myers has repeatedly lied about his whereabouts and about what he knew or did not know when.¹⁶⁴ According to the official version, and according to Myers, he was with Senator Max Cleland *from 8:40 - 9:40 AM*, discussing his (i.e. Myers') confirmation. Myers also said that nobody told him that WTC had been hit by a hijacked Boeing (he said that from what he understood a small plane had hit the WTC - a very similar language was first used by President Bush too!). Myers also said that nobody informed him of the second hit on the WTC! Further, if Myers is to be believed, nobody informed him even when the Pentagon was hit. Such statements, in themselves, from an acting Joint of Chiefs of Staff, are impossible to believe. Even a third world military chief would do much better than that. Obviously Myers was lying. Fortunately Richard Clarke clearly contradicted this and said that it was *shortly before 9:28 AM* that he (i.e. Clarke) said to Gen. Myers that he hoped NORAD had scrambled jet fighters and AWACS, to which Myers replied: "Not a pretty picture Dick . . . Otis has launched two birds towards New York. Langley is trying to get two up now."

¹⁶⁴David Ray Griffin: *9/11 Ten Years Later When State Crimes Against Democracy Succeed*; HAUS Publishing, 2011, pp 198-200.

Further, as noted by Griffin, Myers contradicted his own statements too, which means that he had lied or had amnesia. In an interview of September 13, 2001 he said: "I spoke, after the second tower was hit, I spoke to commander of NORAD, General Eberhart. But in an interview of October 17, 2001 he stated that no one told him of the attacks until *after* the Pentagon had been hit! The question then is why has Myers lied and why has he not been held accountable? Griffin draws the following conclusion from Myers' lies:¹⁶⁵

And because of Myer's lying, we can say, independently of what hit the Pentagon, that the US military - in the person of the highest-ranking military officer in the Pentagon - directed the attack on the Pentagon.

Such lies would lead to a conviction in any court of law. But the superior U.S. judiciary, by and large, comprises of carefully screened judges, with longstanding ties to the agencies and/or corporations and/or secret societies.

It is not just Myers who lied about what he knew when. Rumsfeld too can face the dock if there is any life left in the U.S. justice system. Rumsfeld said that he was not in the video conference system until after the Pentagon had been attacked. Richard Clarke's book contradicts this. Further, Robert Andrews an acting assistant secretary of defense at the time of 9/11, CIA liaison to the White House and the Department of Defense,

¹⁶⁵ *Ibid*, p 200.

and a former Green Beret, has confirmed that Richard Clarke's account is correct - in other words his former boss has lied blatantly. We quote from Griffin:¹⁶⁶

After the second attack on the World Trade Center at 9:03, Andrews stated in a 2004 interview, Rumsfeld went across the hall from his office to the Executive Support Center (ESC), where he joined Clarke's teleconference. Knowing that "Secretary Rumsfeld would need the most up-to-date information" he gathered various kinds of data available at the Pentagon's counterterrorism center in order "to take it to him in the Executive Support Center." Andrews added: "I was there in the [Executive] Support Center with the Secretary when he was talking to Clarke on the White House video-teleconference."

We may equally quote Barbara Honneger¹⁶⁷:

Immediately after the second World Trade Center attack of 9:03, Secretary of Defense Rumsfeld left his office on the Potomac side of the Pentagon and went (merely) across the hall on the same floor to his Executive Support Center (ESC), which is set up for teleconferencing. There, he joined the now-

¹⁶⁶ *Ibid*, p 197.

¹⁶⁷ Barbara Honneger: *The Pentagon Attack Papers* updated January 11, 2011; <http://physics911.net/pdf/honegger.pdf>

famous teleconference of top government officials run by White House NSC counterterrorism ‘czar’ Richard Clarke out of the White House Situation Room area media room. Clarke, in his book *Against All Enemies*, confirms that Rumsfeld was among the first officials on this teleconference shortly after the second WTC tower was hit. Clarke’s account and Andrews’ confirmation of it are thus completely at odds with the Official Theory and the 9/11 Commission report, which claim that no one could “find” Secretary Rumsfeld until approximately 10:30 a.m. when he walked into the National Military Command Center (NMCC) in the Pentagon. The fact that Rumsfeld, the military’s top civilian official, was on Clarke’s teleconference along with the top official of the Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Director Jane Garvey, also unmasks the total lie of the official story that Air Force interceptors weren’t scrambled in time “because the military and the FAA couldn’t talk to each other” that morning. The top-most officials of the Pentagon and the FAA were talking to one another constantly and being recorded on Clarke’s teleconference from as early as 9:15 a.m.

So Rumsfeld also lied blatantly to the 9/11 Commission and to the people of the U.S. Why? Griffin comments:¹⁶⁸

Rumsfeld's false account shows that regardless of what position is taken on what damaged the Pentagon, the attack was engineered by the Pentagon under the leadership of then-Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld.

Finally we also point out that stand down orders, not to shoot down the hijacked aircraft had indeed been given. We have discussed this in the section on Cheyney's role in 9/11. Charles E. Lewis had, at one time, been associated with LAX Security. LAX Security involves the "Los Angeles World Airport Police Department, the LAPD, and sometimes the FBI and/or the California Highway Patrol."¹⁶⁹ Lewis had heard things which were finally communicated to Prof. David Ray Griffin in 2005. But since Lewis wished not be named Griffin could not fully use the vital information he had provided. Finally in 2008 Lewis decided to go public on what he had heard. Although Lewis had left LAX Security two months before 9/11 he, upon hearing about the second attack on WTC, realized that the U.S. was "under attack". He immediately decided to go to the Airport Operations (APO) because "I was the only person who would know how to fix certain parts of the new security system if

¹⁶⁸David Ray Griffin *Ibid*, p 197.

¹⁶⁹Charles E. Lewis: *What I Heard LAX Security Officials Say During the 9/11 Attacks*; Sept 7, 2008; 911truth.org/article.php?story=2008071025531345

problems developed. Especially crucial were the systems at Guard Post II, for which I managed the design changes and construction.” Lewis writes:

I rushed to LAX Guard Post II. Arriving at about 6:35 AM (PT), I explained my purpose for being there to the Security Guards. I then heard some very interesting things.

As on other days, there was “chatter” on LAX Security walkie-talkies and I could easily hear what Security was saying when they were outside the guard shack . . . On some of the walkie-talkies I could hear both sides of the conversation; on others only one . . .

At first LAX Security was very upset because it seemed to Security that none of the FAA’s Air Traffic Controllers (ATCs) tracking the hijacked airliners had notified NORAD as required. More chatter revealed that ATCs had notified NORAD, but that NORAD had not responded because it had been ordered “to stand down”. This report made Security even more upset, so they tried to find out who had issued that order. A short time later the word came down that the order had come “from the highest level of the White House.” Security was puzzled and very upset by this and made more attempts to get more details and clarification, but these were not forthcoming while I was still there.

The person in charge at the White House was Dick Cheney who had, as described in the section on Cheney's role, repeatedly been asked by a young officer, as the hijacked planes approached the Pentagon, as to whether the stand down orders still held. So Cheney stands guilty of issuing stand down orders so that the attack on the U.S. could continue.

The behavior of President Bush is itself confounding. He continued to stay on at the elementary school classroom reading, and as Naiman points out:¹⁷⁰ "Officials provided not two, not three, but *seven* different accounts of how Bush learned about the first plane hitting the World Trade Center." Differing versions of the same thing indicate that at least six, if not all seven, versions are wrong! Why and what is being concealed?

In conclusion Dick Cheney allowed the attacks to take place by issuing stand down orders to NORAD, Myers and Rumsfeld lied blatantly about what they knew when, and about their location at crucial times, misleading the U.S. public and the docile and compromised 9/11 Commission. The WTC buildings were brought down through the use of nanothermite, which is not available in the open market. Eyewitness accounts at the WTC buildings and at the Pentagon describing undeniable bomb explosions have been suppressed by the media and ignored by the 9/11 Commission as well as in FEMA and NIST reports. Many of the alleged hijackers are alive and there is no concrete evidence about

¹⁷⁰p 62 of Naiman's book cited previously.

any one of them being on board the allegedly hijacked planes. The Official Conspiracy Theory (OCT) itself is a blatant lie, thrust upon the American people by the government and the corporate media, at a time when they were in a state of shock and in a state of heightened suggestibility. As Richard Gage founder of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth stated in an interview:¹⁷¹

The denial is just too thick. And I completely underestimated this. I am no psychologist. But I thought this was a rational problem that rational people would respond rationally to. Not so! We are emotional beings. We will not listen to rational evidence. In fact we will rationalize irrational thoughts to justify our own world view.

However, gradually, an increasing number of people in the U.S. are questioning the OCT, and the ranks of the whistleblowers are gaining numbers and strength. On the other hand, the credibility of the Government of the United States of America has suffered very badly worldwide. The outside world now knows that the U.S. government has lied about 9/11, about trillions of dollars stolen from the people of the United States, about Afghanistan, about Iraq, about al Qaeda, about Central Asia, about Libya and about Syria. It stands naked before the world with not even a fig leaf to cover.

¹⁷¹Face to Face with Richard Gage: Founder of Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth ; www.youtube.com/watch?v=POiXd47MCHo, 26 June 2012.

Index

- 10 Downing Street, 61
- 9/11, 5, 21, 40, 45, 50, 55–57, 60, 61, 102, 129, 173, 320, 332
 - and Central Asia, 105
 - and Pearl Harbor, 40, 105
 - and wars, 321
 - insider trading, 324
 - preplanned, 32, 321
- 9/11 Commission, 3, 6, 253, 268, 269, 273, 355
- 9/11 Truth Movement, 8
- 9/11 Truth Movement, tenacity of, xi
- 9/11 Truth, Actors and Artists for, xi
- 9/11 Truth, Architects and Engineers for, xi, 258, 262, 264, 356
- 9/11 Truth, Scholars for, xi, 57
- 9/11 Truth, Scientists for, xi
- ABC, 256
- Abourezk, James G., 50
- Abraham, Prophet, 148
- Act, Federal Reserve, 74
- Act, Freedom of Information, 18, 37, 205
 - also see FOIA, 171
 - also see FOIA, 115
- Act, John Warner Defense Authorization, 154
- Act, Military Commissions also see MCA, 154
- Act, National Defense Authorization, 157
 - also see NDAA, 154
- Act, Patriot, 37, 331
- Act, Posse Comitatus, 154
- Adachi, Ken, 131
- Adams, Samuel, 157, 158

INDEX

- Adelman, Kenneth, 134
- Aeon Corp, 266
- Afghan war
 - and 9/11, 320
- Afghanistan, 36, 39, 50, 55, 57, 61, 92, 106, 107, 109, 142, 321, 356
- Africa, 103
- Ahmed, Nafeez, 110, 112, 113
- Ahmed, Nafeez Mossadeq, 32
- AIC, 72
- Airport Operations, also see APO, 353
- Akins, James, 50
- al Ghamdi, Saeed, 345
- al Hazmi, Salem, 345
- al Nami, Ahmad, 345
- al Omari, Abdul Aziz, 345
- al Qaeda, 6, 8, 26, 28, 29, 34, 35, 42, 58, 107, 109, 112, 156, 323, 356
 - abstraction of terrorism, 34
 - and Gladio B, 111
 - and U.S. Oil interests, 107
 - CIA asset, 106
 - CIA creation, 109
 - in the Islamic Maghreb
 - also see AQIM, 110
 - US-NATO foot-soldiers, 109
- al Shehri, Mohand, 345
- al Shehri, Wail, 345
- al Shehri, Waleed, 345
- al-Zwahiri, Ayman, 111
 - and NATO, 111
- Albright, Madeliene, 149
- Alhazmi, Nawaf, 314
- Ali, Shaukat, xii
- Allbaugh, Joe, 253
- Allen, Gary, 69
- Allende, S., 148
- AlQaeda, 58
- America, 39, 84
 - infiltration of, 106
- American Express, 325
- American Free Press, 69, 212
- American Historical Association, 65
- American International Corporation, 74
 - also see AIC, 72
- American Medical Association, 133
- American Mercury, 63

INDEX

- American Psychological Association, 133
- American Society of Civil Engineers, 274
- Anderson, Walter S., 175, 187
- Andrews AFB, 317
- Andrews, Robert, 350, 351
- APO, also see Airport Operations, 353
- AQIM, 110
 - also see al Qaeda in the Islamic Maghreb , 110
- Arkansas, 312
- Arkin, William M., 165, 166
- Armitage, Richard, 134
- ASCE, 274
- Ashcroft, John D., 6, 46, 47, 333
 - avoids commercial flights, 333
- AT&T, 134
- ATC, 204, 206, 354
- Atlantic Fleet, 177
- Atta, Mohammad, 345
- Avery, Dylan, 213, 295, 300, 301
- Axa Re, 324
- Azerbaijan, 107, 111, 112
- Bailey, Abe, 85
- Baker, George F., 72
- Baku, 111
- Balkans, 111
- Banihammad, Fayed, 314
- Bank of America, 17, 134
- Bank of England, 17, 70, 82, 97
- Bank of France, 86
- Bank of International Settlements, 68
- Barkley, Alben, 194
- Barksdale AFB, 314
- Barnett, Jonathan, 285
- Barrett, Kevin, 28, 312
- Bartmer, Craig, 290
- Baruch, Bernard, 79, 80
- Basle, 68
- Bay of Pigs, 129
- BBC, 259, 286, 288, 289, 296, 319
 - smears Jennings, 298
- Beamer, Todd, 230, 231
- Bear Stearns, 325
- Bechtel, 132
- Behn, Sosthenes, 173
- Beiderman, Ronald R., 338
- Beit, Alfred, 85
- Belgian Relief Commission, 86

INDEX

- Belgium, 112
- Belhadj, Abdel Hakim, 110
- Belmont, August, 72
- Bemas, Jason, 295
- Berger, Samuel, 134
- Berle, Adolf Jr., 194
- Berlin, 319
- Bernanke, Ben, 85
- Bernays, Edward L., 11, 14, 15, 146
- Beveridge, John, 148
- Bewkes, Jeffery L., 41
- Bilberberg Group, 95
- Bilderberg, 17, 94
- Bilderberg Group, 93
- Bilderberger, 94
- Bilderberger Group, 131
- bin Laden
 - family and NATO, 111
- bin Laden, Osama, 26, 27, 42, 58, 59, 111, 323
 - video, 27
- Black, Edwin, 148
- Black, Wayne, 347
- Blair, Tony, 55
- Blood, Jack, 301
- Bloomberg, 163
- Blumenson, Martin, 145
- Boeing Co, 134
- Bohrer, David, 256
- Bollen, Christopher,, 94
- Bollyn, Christopher, 212, 213, 329
- Bologna railway station
 - bombing, 21, 24
- Bolshevik, 73
- Bolshevik Revolution, 72, 75, 120
- Bolsheviks, 72, 73, 84, 114
- Bongardt, Steve, 36
- Bosnia, 107
- Boston, 206, 245
- Bowman, Robert, 5, 6
- Boyle, Francis, 321
- BP Amoco, 17, 18
- Breckner, 123
- Brezhnev, L, 117
- Brigham Young University, 339
- Britain, 97, 175
 - attacks US in 1812, 78
- British South Africa Co, 97
- Brookings Institution, 110, 134
- Brotherhood of Death, 65
- Brown, Tim, 167
- Brown, Willie, 326
- Bryce, 81
- Brzezinski, Zbigniew, 99, 101, 103–105, 117, 130,

- 134, 164–167, 318, 319
- on Eurasian wealth, 113
- Burger, Ron, 276
- Burnett, Deena, 242
- Burnett, Tom, 230, 242
- Burning Man Festival, 341
- Bush, George H. W., 50, 139, 311
- Bush, George W., 4, 5, 29–31, 42, 47, 51, 53, 55, 58, 60, 65, 139, 140, 157, 199–201, 203, 209, 219, 231, 235, 242, 244, 252, 269, 303, 307, 310, 311, 316, 332, 347, 349, 355
- Skull and Bones, 65
- Bush, Jeb, 51, 53, 311
- Bush, Marvin P., 347
- Bush-Cheney Drug Empire, 312
- Business Week, 94
- Bykov, Ilya, 245
- C4, 340
- Cairo, 345
- Camp David, 257
- Cantor Fitzgerald, 343
- Cantril, Hadley, 131
- Capitalist-Communist dichotomy, 74
- Capitol Beltway, 209
- Card, Andy, 257
- Carnegie Corporation, 85, 133, 134
- Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 64, 119
- Carnegie Foundation for Advancement of Teaching, 118
- Cartalucci, Tony, 133, 135
- Carter, James E., 139
- Casaliggi, Joe, 335
- CBR, 91
- CBS, 47, 235, 333
- Center for Public Integrity, 57
- Central Asia, 36, 37, 55, 56, 102, 105, 111, 113, 356
- al Qaeda activities, 107
- and Gladio B, 112
- energy resources, 113
- Central Asian States, 103
- destabilization, 25
- Central Asian war, 130

INDEX

- Central Board of Revenue, 91
- Central Intelligence Agency
 - also see CIA, 29
 - assassination ring, 307
 - PEOC arrival time, 255
 - stand down order, 254, 255, 355
 - threatens Wellstone, 307
- CFR, 93–95, 97–101, 103, 119, 130, 131, 139, 140, 164
- also see
 - Council on Foreign Relations, 84
 - and US Presidential elections, 139
 - Educational Committee, 122
 - endorses World Government, 119
 - Psycho political ops, 131
 - Secret Teams, 94
 - Special Groups, 94
 - textbook control, 122
 - War and Peace Studies, 94
- Chandler, Warren A., 120
- Chase Bank, 172
- Chatham House, 13
- Chavez, “LJ” Lauro, 257, 258
- Chavez, H., 168, 319
- Cheney, Dick, 42, 51, 53, 58, 140, 209, 222, 235, 242, 252–258, 316, 353, 355
- Chertoff, Michael, 330
- Chevron, 17, 18, 134
- Chiang Kai Shek, 176
- Chicago Law School, 8
- Child, Julia, 21
- Chin, Larry, 4
- China, 51, 60
 - steel shipped to, 286
- Chossudovsky, M., 32, 34, 42, 45, 61, 107–109, 155, 166, 332
- Christ, Jesus, 148
- Christianity, 125, 126, 148
 - Elite attitude to, 153
 - forbids usury, 69
- Churchill, Winston, 11, 12, 16, 17, 81
- CIA, 11, 17, 20, 21, 25, 28, 31, 36, 40, 42, 43, 50, 55, 100, 106, 109, 114, 129, 132, 134,

INDEX

- 136, 148, 202, 247,
- 310, 311, 322, 325,
- 326, 343, 344, 350
- and NYSE, 326
- and stock trading, 325
- drug money, 114
- drugs, 28
- global drug traffic, 114
- Cimino, Jim, 307
- Cincinnati Post, 315
- Citi, 134
- Citigroup, 17, 325
- Civil War
 - and bankers, 78
- Clark, Wesley, 134
- Clarke Investigation, 186
- Clarke, Richard, 256, 352
 - contradicts Myers, 349
 - time Cheney in PEOC, 256
- Clausen Investigation, 186
- Cleland, Max, 4, 308, 349
- Clinton, Bill, 50
- Clinton, Hillary R., 333
- Clinton, William J., 29, 37, 49, 59, 139, 174
- CNN, 217, 268, 286, 321
- Cobb Jr., John B., 126
- Coca Cola Co, 134
- Coghlan, Andy, 20
- cognitive infiltration, 8
- Colby, Bill, 21
- Cold War, 51
- Coleman, John, 12, 15, 131, 132, 151
- Coleman, Norm, 309
- College Park, 197
- Columbia University, 122
- Communism, 63, 106
- Communism, Soviet, 76
- Communist Capitalist
 - dichotomy, 106
- Communist Party of US, 116
- Congress, 3, 73, 173
- Copenhagen
 - University of, 339
- Cottrell, Richard, 22, 23, 33
- Council on Foreign Relations, 17, 93, 95, 97, 119, 135, 137
 - also see CFR, 84
 - also see CFR, 130
- Cox, Christopher, 209
- Cramer, Glen, 235
- Crane Files, 197
- Credit Suisse, 69
- cryptology blackout, 193
- Cuba, 38, 39, 92

INDEX

- Cuban crisis, 74
- Cuneo, Ernest, 21
- Daimler, 134
- Dalafi, H.R, 153
- Danner, Samuel D., 212–214
- Darrow, Clarence, 118
- David Ray Griffin, 39
- Davis, Julia, 318
- Davison, Henry P, 74
- Dawes settlement, 80
- DEA, 310, 311
- Dearborn, 173
- Demarco, Peter, 291
- Department of Homeland Security
 - also see DHS, 161
- depleted uranium, 214, 217
- Desert Storm, 207
- Deutsche Bank
 - human bones, 335
- Deutsche Bank Broup, 134
- Deutschebank/A.B. Brown, 325
- Dewdney, A.K., 239, 240
- Dewey, John, 118, 119, 122
- DHS, 161–164, 167
 - Israelisation of, 162
- Diana, Princess, 148
- DOD, 246
- Dodd, Norman, 64
- Donovan, William J., 20, 191
- Doran, James, 327
- Downing Street, 82
- Drexel Burnham Lambert, 343
- Drug Enforcement Agency
 - see DEA, 311
- Dulles Airport, 200, 208, 209, 211
- Dulles International Airport and Securacom, 347
- Dulles, Allen F., 20, 128, 129
- Dutch East Indies, 176
- Dykes, Aaron, 297
- Eagleburger, Lawrence, 43
- East Asia, 54
- Eberhart, Ralph, 350
- Eckert, Beverly, 303, 305
- Economist, 94
- Edmonds, Sibel D., 6, 25, 105, 110–113, 318
- EFNI, 167
- Egypt, 103
- eight-action plan, 179, 187, 188, 194

INDEX

- Einstein, Albert, 128
- Eisenhower Medal, 6
- Eisenhower, Dwight D., 139
- El Al, 330
- ElBaradei, M, 134
- Elite, 10, 12, 16, 17, 21, 41, 44, 45, 50, 51, 54, 57, 58, 68, 71, 77, 78, 89, 91, 95, 96, 99, 100, 103, 106, 112, 113, 115, 117–120, 123–125, 128, 136, 141, 142, 144, 145, 149, 155, 162, 163, 165, 167–169, 202, 205
 - attitude towards
 - traditional religion, 153
 - sidelines traditional Jews, 154
- Elliott, Tom, 266, 267
- Emory University, 120
- EMT, 214
- Engdahl, William, 62
- Engineering Consent, 15
- England, 78
- Erlangers Ltd, 97
- ESC, Executive Support Center, 351
- Estulin, David, 93, 94, 131
- Eurasia, 54, 102, 103
- Eurasian Balkans, 104
- Europe, 112
- European Forum For New Ideas, 167
- eutectic reaction, 338
- Exxon Mobil, 17, 18, 134
- FAA, 203–206, 238, 245–249, 327, 352, 354
- Faisal, King, 50
- Famine of Bengal, 153
- Farmer, Frances, 214
- Farmer, John, 3, 4
- Fascism, 63
- FBI, 6, 25–27, 36, 110, 116, 194, 202, 230, 232, 233, 241–243, 247, 273, 274, 314, 329, 333, 344, 353
- contradicts Olson, 242
- ignores Rodriguez, 268
- no hard 9/11 evidence against bin Laden, 59
- FDR, 21, 37, 171, 174, 178–180, 187, 188, 191, 192

INDEX

- also see Roosevelt, 174, 176, 180, 191
- freemason, 144
- Federal Emergency Management Authority
 - also see FEMA, 164, 253
- Federal Reserve, 17, 84–86, 90, 91
 - Rothschild control of, 332
- Federal Reserve Bank of New York, 74
- Federal Reserve Board, 82, 86
- Federal Reserve System, 137
- FEMA, 164, 274, 286
- FEMA Report, 337
 - Appendix C, 338
- FEMA, ignores eyewitness accounts, 355
- Fenton, Kevin, 36, 202, 344
- Ferrel, Jeff, 314
- Fetzner, Jim, 57, 58, 307, 308
- Fitts, Catherine Austin, 32, 59, 342
- Flight 11, 347
- Flight 175, 347
- Flight 77, 210–212, 242, 243, 249, 347
- Flight 93, 230, 235, 238, 347
 - phone calls mystery, 239, 240
- Flight AA 11, 203, 206
- Flight AA 77, 208
- Flight UA 175, 206
- Flight UA 93, 230
- Flocco, Tom, 32, 323
- FOIA, 6, 115, 174, 197, 205
- Ford, 114
- Ford Foundation, 162
- Ford, Gerald R., 139
- Foreign Affairs, 99, 101
- Fortune 500, 18, 110
- Foundation, Bill & Melinda Gates, 134
- Foundation, Ford, 134
- Foundation, Rockefeller, 85, 134
- Fox, 321
- Fox News, 134, 217
- France, 78
- Franchesci-Bichierai, L, 60
- Freedman, Benjamin H., 79, 80
- Freedom of Information Act, 174
- Freud, Sigmund, 11
- Friedman, Milton, 85
- From the Wilderness

INDEX

- FTW, 323
- FTW, 325
- From the Wilderness, 323
- Fuchek, Greg, 276
- Furlong, Craig T., 270
- Gaffney, Mark H., 213
- Gage, Richard, 262, 340, 356
- Gallop, April, 225, 226
- Ganser, Daniele, 112
- Garvel, Mike, 340
- Garvey, Jane, 210, 352
- Gates, Frederick T., 119, 120
- GEB, 118
- General Dynamics Corp, 134
- General Education Board
 - also see GEB, 118
- General Electric, 114, 134
- General Motors, 114
- George, David Lloyd, 80, 81
- Georgia, 107
- Gergen, David, 98
- Germany, 79, 80, 84, 175
 - comparison with USA, 145
- Geyh, Alison, 276
- Gladio, 22, 33, 111
- Gladio B, 25, 105, 111–113
- Glanz, James, 283
- Glasgow, William, 94
- GlaxoSmithKline, 134
- Glick, Jeremy, 230, 232
- Global War on Terrorism
 - also see GWOT, 45
- Goering, H., 173
- Goldberg, Arthur, 21
- Goldman Sachs, 134
- Gonzalez, Servando, 9, 137, 140
- Google, 134, 288
- Gore, Albert Arnold, 140
- Graham, David, 314
- Gravel, Mike, 262
- Great Depression, 85, 86
- Greenwald, Glenn, 9
- Gregory, Kathi C., 263
- Grew, Joseph, 183, 184
- Griffin, David Ray, 8, 9, 31, 32, 39, 47, 48, 125, 126, 129, 199–201, 203, 204, 209, 210, 219, 227, 231, 239, 241, 242, 244, 255, 256, 262, 280, 291, 292, 333, 334, 349
- Griffin, Edward, 64
- Griscom, 285
- Ground Zero, 277
 - chaplain, 278

- heat , 278
- molten steel, 276, 277
- Guantanamo, 39
- Guaranty Trust, 72
- Guardian, 52, 246
- Guerin-Serac, Yves, 23, 25
- Guggenheim Foundation, 64
- Guiliani, Rudy, 292
- Gulf of Arabia, 320
- Gull, Shamaila, xii
- GWOT
 - also see Global War on Terrorism, 45
- H &R Block, 134
- Haaretz, 328
- Haas, Ed, 26
- Habeus Corpus, 155
- Haffner, Sebastian, 146
- Hamburg Bank, 20
- Hamilton, Lee H., 3, 33
- Hammerskjold, Daag, 148
- Hanif, Chaudhry M., xiii
- Harel, Ezra, 330
- Harriman, E.H., 72
- Harrit, Niels, 339
- Hart, Thomas, 182, 186
- Harvard Law School, 8
- Harwood, Richard, 98, 99
- Hassan, M.H.A, 153
- Hassan, Shahid, 91
- Hawaii, 173, 176, 185, 188
- Healey, Dennis, 66
- Henderson, Dean, 17, 18, 20, 32
- Herbst, R., 266, 267, 269, 272
- Herzliyya Institute, 324
- Hess, Michael, 292, 293
- Hewitt, Henry K, 186
- Hewlett Packard, 114, 134
- High Cabal, 11, 16, 17, 43, 46, 49, 60, 71, 136
- Higham, C, 173
- Hills, Carla, 134
- Hirsch, Seymour, 307
- Hitachi, 134
- Hitler, Adolf, 20, 39, 78, 79, 86, 146
 - and the bankers, 78
- Hoffman, Jim, 346
- Holder, Anthony, 94
- Holland, 175
- Holocaust, 149
 - Bengali, 153
 - Iraqi, 153
 - Jewish, 152
 - Muslim, 152
- home foreclosures, 141

INDEX

- Honneger, Barbara, 223, 225, Institute for International
 227, 228, 351 Education
 on Rumsfeld, 351 also see IIE, 119
- Honolulu, 194 Institute of Pacific Relations,
 84
- Hoover Institute, 132
- Hoover, Herbert, 86 Intercollegiate Socialist So-
 ciety
- Hopsicker, Daniel, 311 also see ISS, 118
- House of Commons, 82
- Howe, Frederick C., 75 Internal Revenue Service, 91
- Hull, Cordell, 180, 183, 184 International Criminal Court
- Humphrey, Hubert H., 139 also see ICC, 153
- Hunt Alternatives Fund, 133 International Crisis Group,
 133
- Huntington, Samuel, 99, 164
- Hussain, Syed Mowahid, 50 Iran, 50, 92, 103, 106
- Hussain, Syed Mushahid, 50 Iran-Contra, 49
- Hussein, Saddam, 53, 56, Iraq, 39, 50, 55–57, 61, 92,
 58 103, 106, 142, 321
- and AlQaeda, 58 IRS, 91, 343
- Hylan, John F., 18 Iserbyt, Charlotte, 117, 120,
 122, 128
- HYPO, Station, 44
- Islam, 125, 148
- ICC Elite attitude to, 153
 forbids usury, 69
- also see International Criminal Court, 153
- Icke, David, 154 Islamic fundamentalism, 130
- ICTS, 330 Islamophopia, 35
- ICTS-International, 332 Israel, 112
- IMF, 91, 108 Rothschild state, 56, 162
- Ingersoll, Royal E, 182, 183, Israel and Rothschilds, 332
- 188 Israel, Jared, 245
- ISS, 118

INDEX

- Italy, 21, 24, 175
- ITT, 173
- Jackson, Andrew, 63
- Jacobs, Don, 308
- Japan, 36–39, 44, 60, 175, 176, 183, 188, 194
- Jefferson, Lisa, 230
- Jeffords, 308
- Jekyll Island, 74
- Jennings, Barry, 293–302
 - official explanation unacceptable, 299
- JFK, 21, 23, 129, 139, 148, 273, 301, 302
- Johannemann, Kenneth, 309, 310
- John Kerry, 65
 - Skull and Bones, 65
- Johnson, Lyndon B., 139
- JOINT, 194
- JOM, 338
- Jones, Alex, 32
- Jones, Steven E., 285, 339–341
- Journal of 9/11 Studies, 271, 272
- Judaism, 125
- Kaiser, 132
- Kaiser Wilhelm Instt of Psychiatry, 12
- Kamal, Shahid, xii
- Kamran, Mujahid, xiii
- Kazakhstan, 103
- Kean Commission, 3, 33, 129, 203
- Kean, Thomas, H., 3, 33
- Keith, Jim, 10, 13, 14, 40, 168
- Kennan, George F., 72
 - Peace Prize, 6
- Kennedy, John F., 38, 39
- Kentucky, 210
- Khalilzad, Zalmay, 99
- Kimmel, Husband E., 44, 177, 180, 184–193
- King, Ernest, 195
- King, Jon, 148
- King, Martin Luther, 116, 148
- Kisner, Homer, 189, 190
- Kissinger, Henry, 99, 100, 117, 140, 166
- Kitson, Arthur, 81–83
- Kleinberg, Mindy, 344
- Knox, Dudley W., 175
- Knox, Frank, 180
- Knuth, E.C., 81, 83, 87, 89

INDEX

- Kohlberg Kravis Roberts & Co, 134
- Kosovo, 107
- Krugman, Paul, 85
- Kuhn Loeb, 73
- Kyrgyzstan, 107
- Libya, 50, 92, 106, 109, 110, 321, 356
- Libya Islamic Fighting Group
also see LIFG, 110
- LIFG
also see Libya Islamic Fighting Group, 110
- Lincoln, Abraham, 78
- Lindauer, Susan, 149, 318, 322, 344
- Lippmann, Walter, 15
- Lockheed Martin, 134
- Loeb, James, 13
- Loftus, John, 32, 247
- Logan Airport, 200, 203, 206
- London, 81, 84, 97, 119
- London Bombings, 208
- London Times, 327
- London, Jack, 118
- Long, Breckenridge, 181
- Los Alamos, 340
- Los Alamos National Laboratory, 241
- Los Angeles, 208
- Los Angeles Police Department
also see LAPD, 31
- Lumumba, Patrice, 148
- MacDill AFB, 315
- Laden, Osama bin, 26, 28–30
Most Wanted list, 26
- Lamont, Thomas, W., 62
- Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, 271, 272
- Langley, 238, 349
- Langley AFB, 237
- Lankford, Shelton F., 5
- LAPD, 28, 31, 104, 353
- Lawrence Livermore, 340
- LAX Security, 353
- Lazard Freres, 97
- LDEO, 271
- League of Industrial Democracy, 118
- Leahy, Patrick, 46, 47
- Leahy, William, 187
- Legge, Frank, 278, 279
- Lenin, V.I., 71, 73, 74, 78
- Lewis, Charles E., 353, 354
- Libby, Lewis, 53

INDEX

- MacKenzie, Deborah, 20
- MacQueen, Graeme, 271, 272
- Madsen, Wayne, 312, 313
- Magley, Robb, 237
- Makow, Henry, 32
- Mali, 110
- Manchuria, 39
- Manning, Bill, 274
- Manning, Bradley, 318
- Marder, Howard, 297
- Marrs, Jim, 3, 32, 84, 85, 100, 211, 223, 250, 273, 286
- Marshall, George, 180, 187
- Marshall, Philip, 310–313
- Marx, Karl, 71
- Marxism, 63
- Maryland, Univ of, 197
- Matsuoka, Yosuke, 178
- Maxon, Yale, 186
- MCA, 154
- McCain, John, 140
- McCarthyism, 116
- McCollum, Arthur H., 174, 175, 177–179, 182, 184, 187, 188, 190
 - eight-action plan, 187
 - letter to White House, 175
- McFadden, Loius T., 74
- McFadden, Louis T., 88
- McGovern, George, 139
- McGraw, Onalee, 124
- McKeown, John F, 182
- McKinnon, Cynthia, 32
- McKinnon, Gary, 318
- McKinsey & Co, 134
- McMahon, Dennis P., 295, 296
- McMaster, R.E., 169
- McMichael, J., 259–261
- Meacher, Michael, 31, 52, 53, 199, 246
- Mena airport, 312
- Merrill Lynch, 324, 325
- Meyssan, Thiery, 32, 218–220
- MI6, 111, 114, 148, 151
- Michigan, 173
- Microsoft, 134
- Middle East, 56
- Miller, Wally, 234
- Minerals, Metals and Materials Society, 338
- Mineta, Norman, 255, 256
 - testimony, 254
- Minh, Ho Chi, 21
- Mir, Ferrukh, 96
- MKULTRA, 40
- Moldavia, 77

INDEX

- Moller, Per Stig, 228
- Mondale, Walter, 309
- Montesquieu, 156
- Morgan Chase, J.P., 17
- Morgan Grenfell, 97
- Morgan Guaranty Trust, 72
- Morgan Stanley, 133, 324, 325
- Morgan, J.P., 62, 74, 78
- Morgan, J.P. & Co, 74
- Moro, Aldo, 21
- Morton, Levi, 72
- Moses, Prophet, 148
- Mossad, 329, 331
- Moynihan, Daniel P., 138
- MP, 199
- MSNBC, 226
- Mt. St. Helen's, 277
- Muhammad, Prophet, 148
- Mullins, Eustace, 2, 20, 21, 67, 71, 73, 79, 80, 84, 90, 97, 105, 117, 137, 139, 149, 169
- Munawar, Raja, xii
- Munich Re, 324
- Murphy, Vincent, 187
- Murrah State Building, 225 and Pentagon, 226
- Myers, Richard B., 349, 350
- ignorance of attack on Pentagon, 349
- ignorance of attack on WTC, 349
- lies, 350, 355
- Naik, Niaz A., 319, 320
- Naiman, Arthur, 334-336, 339, 341, 345-347, 355
- nanothermite, 264, 265, 339, 340, 355
- Napolean, 77
- Napoleani, Loretta, 113
- Napoleonic wars, 78
- NASA, 275
- National Environmental Health Association, 276
- National Institute for Safety Technology, 268
- National Institute of Standards also see NIST, 268
- National Security Agency also see NSA, 136
- National Socialism, 78, 84
- NATO, 6, 17, 25, 45, 113, 147, 167

INDEX

- attack on Pakistani checkpoint, 32
 - post, 113
- NATO, Libya operations, 110
- NATO, transports mujahideen to Central Asia, 111
- Nazis, 84, 146
- NBC, 257
- NBC News, 257
- NDAA, 154, 155
- NEADS, 206, 207
- Needleman, Rafe, 61
- Nelson, O.A., 122
- neocons, 51
- Nevada, 341
- New Jersey, 172, 200
- New Scientist, 19
- New World Order, xii, 51, 144, 154
 - derailment threat, 167
 - in 1940, 144
 - middle class destruction, 143
- New York, 82, 119, 204, 207, 238
 - elevators blown off, 273
- New York City, 237, 238
- New York Times, 3, 18, 33, 58, 192, 193, 338
- Newark Airport, 200, 230
- News Corporation, 134
- Newsweek, 328
- Nimo, Kurt, 32
- NIST, 262, 268, 269, 272, 273, 279, 289, 291, 297, 337
- NIST Report, 337
- NIST, ignores eyewitness accounts, 355
- Nixon, Richard M., 49, 50, 100, 139
- NMCC, 246
- NMCC, National Military Command Center, 352
- Nobel Resolve, 207
- NORAD, 201, 204, 206, 238, 245, 316, 317, 349, 350, 354, 355
- Norman, Montagu, 86
- North Africa, 110
- North Korea, 92
- North Tower, 269
- Northeast Air Defense Sector
 - also see NEADS, 206, 207
- Northrop Grumman, 134
- Northwoods
 - documents, 38
 - Operation, 38

INDEX

- Noyes, Leigh, 196
- NSA, 136, 197, 312
 - withdraws documents, 197
- NSC, 352
- NWO, xii, 10, 16, 82, 99, 142
- NY Newsday, 348
- NYC, 238
- NYSE, 326
 - and CIA, 326
- O'Brien, Danielle, 211
- O'Reilly, Pat, 306
- Obama, Barack H., 8, 140, 154–158
- Oceania, 103
- OCT, 224, 356
- OCT, Official Conspiracy Theory, 356
- Odigo, 328, 331
- Odom, William, 59
- Office of National Preparedness, 253
- Office of Naval Intelligence, 174
- Office of Strategic Services, 31
- Official Conspiracy Theory
 - also see OCT, 224
- Ohio, 210
- Oklahoma City bombing, 21
- Oklahoma State bombing, 225
- Olson, Barabara, 242
- Olson, Barbara, 242
- Olson, Ted, 242
- Oman, 320
- One World Government, 51, 144
- Ong, Betty, 346
- ONI, 174, 175, 184
- Open Society Institute, 133
- Operation Bright Star, 321
- Operation Gladio, 25
- Operation Gladio B, 104
- Operation Swift Sword, 320
- Oregon Truth Alliance, 207
- Orwell, George, 54, 64, 76
- OSS, 20, 21, 31
 - gala reunion, 21
- Oswald, Lee Harvey, 129
- Otis, 238
- Oval Office, 181, 182
- Pacific, 172, 174–176, 182, 183
 - British bases, 179
 - North, 189
 - Pacific Base F, 179

INDEX

- Pacific Fleet, 177, 180, 188, 189
- Pakistan, 50, 103, 106, 113, 321
- Palme, Olaf, 22
- Panasonic, 134
- Papaherakles, Pete, 69
- Papcun, George, 241
- Paris, 79, 172, 173
- Paris Peace Conference, 85
- Parulis, John, 341
- Parvez, Amjad, xii
- Pataki, George, 336
- Patton, George, 144, 148
- Paul, Ronald Ernest Ron, 138
- Pax Americana, 53, 170
- Paye, Jean-Claude, 155, 156
- Pearl Harbor, 37, 40, 41, 43, 44, 105, 171–174, 182–185, 187, 189–192, 194, 196, 252, 320
 - eight-point plan, 175
 - inquiries, 186
 - provocation by U.S., 38
- Pellecchia, Ray, 326
- Penatgon, 228
- Pennsylvania, 200, 232, 238
- Pentagon, 39, 42, 111, 200, 208–225, 238, 245, 257, 316, 317, 329, 343, 348, 352, 355
 - 125 workers killed, 210
 - 2.3 trillion missing, 229
 - anti-missile battery failure, 219
 - bomb explosions, 222
 - clocks, 224, 225
 - depleted uranium at, 214
 - destabilization of Central Asia, 111
 - first attack time, 224
 - official attack time, 224
 - sensors off, 221
- Pentagon Attack Papers, 223
- Pentagon bombing
 - and Murrah Building, 226
- PEOC, 254–257
 - also see President's Emergency Operations Center, 253
- PepsiCo, 134
- Peres, Shimon, 134
- Perkal, Don, 226
- Peters, Ralph, 104
- Petraeus, David, 59
- Philip Morris, 114

INDEX

- Phillipines, 176
- Piazza della Loggia bombing, 24
- Piazza Fontana bombing, 24
- Pilger, John, 32
- PNAC, 51, 53
- Poland, 39, 167
- Police State USA, 46
- Polya, Gideon, 152, 153
- pop-up cruises, 179, 180
- Posel, Susanne, 32, 164
- Pound, Ezra, 20, 67, 71, 76, 80, 84, 97, 169
- Powell, Colin, 42, 241
- President's Emergency Operations Center, 255
 - also see PEOC, 253
- Presstitutes, 106
- Priest, Dana, 165, 166
- Progressive Education Association, 119
- Progressive Educators of America, 122
- Project for New American Century, 53, 170
 - also see PNAC, 51
- Prouty, Fletcher L., 11, 136
- Prudential Assurance Co, 97
- Pulitzer Prize, 95, 171
- Purbaugh, Lee, 236
- Quigley, Carroll, 68, 69, 78
- Qureshi, Shazia, xii
- Rabaul's Simpson Harbor, 179
- Rand School of Social Sciences, 118
- Rather, Dan, 47
- Rawlings, John, 14
- Raytheon, 134
- RDX, 340
- Reagan, Ronald W., 49, 139
- Red Cross Mission, 74
- Redmayne-Titley, Brett, 161
- Reece Committee, 64
- Reece, Carol, 64
- Reese, 14
- Reichsbank, 86
- Reid, Richard, 331
- Reidel, Bruce, 110
- Reuters, 97
- Reynerart, Luc, 11
- Rhodes, Cecil, 13
- Rice, Condoleezza, 58
- Rice, Condoleezza, 209, 256
- Richardson, James O, 176, 177, 181, 182, 185
- RIIA, 13, 84, 85, 95, 97, 103

INDEX

- Rist, Charles, 86
- Roberts, Gregg, 334, 339, 345, 346
- Roberts, Leslie, 277
- Roberts, Paul Craig, 32, 106, 160
- Robins, Raymond, 74
- Rochefort, Joseph J., 44, 186
- Rockefeller Brothers Fund, 133, 134
- Rockefeller empire, 172
- Rockefeller Foundation, 65
- Rockefeller Jr., John D., 118
- Rockefeller Sr., John D., 118
- Rockefeller, David, 100
- Rockefeller, John D., 72
- Rockefeller, Nelson, 100
- Rockefeller, Nick, 67, 168, 319
- Rockefellers, 11, 103
- Rodriguez, W., 267–269
- Rogers, Henry H., 72
- Rokke, Douglas, 250
- Rolland, Romain, 128
- Romney, Mitt, 140
- Roosevelt, Franklin D., 38, 63, 78, 174, 177, 252
- Root, Elihu, 73
- Ross Sr., Robert Gaylon, 17, 319
- Ross, Gordon, 270
- Rothschild, 56, 86, 99–101, 162, 163
- control of RIIA, 97
- dictum, 151
- family wealth, 69
- House of, 97
- Rothschild and Israel, 332
- Rothschild Zionist, 154
- Rothschild, Edmond de, 85
- Rothschild, Evelyn de, 163
- Rothschild, House of, 88
- Rothschild, Jacob, 134
- Rothschild, Mayer A., 1
- Rothschild, N.N. & Sons, 97
- Rothschild, Nathaniel, 134
- Rothschilds, 11, 92, 103, 147
- rule US, 137
- Rotterdam, 12
- Round Table Group, 13
- Rousseau, A., 271
- Rove, Karl, 257
- Rowley, Colleen, 318
- Royal Dutch, 17, 18
- Royal Institute of International Affairs, 13, 97, 135
- also see RIIA, 84

INDEX

- Rumsfeld, Donald, 51, 53, 58, 209, 210, 221, 222, 229, 350–353
- engineers attack on Pentagon, 353
- lies, 350, 353, 355
- predictive powers, 209
- Ruppert, Michael C., 28, 29, 31, 32, 46, 56, 59, 77, 104, 114, 128–130, 147, 201, 206, 208, 227, 243, 247, 248, 251, 305, 306, 312, 320, 323, 342
- Rushdie, Salman, 327, 328
- Russert, Tim, 257
- Russia, 51, 73, 74, 84, 106
- Russo, Aaron, 67, 168, 319
- Salam, A., 152
- Salmachia, Anthony, 267
- Sami, Javed, xii
- San Francisco, 230
- San Francisco Chronicle, 326
- Sanders, Bernie, 142, 150
- Sargant, William, 40, 199
- Sarnoff-Roosevelt deal, 186
- Sarwar, Mansoor, xii
- Sassoon, E.D. Co, 97
- Saudi Arabia, 103, 112
- Schacht, Hjalmar, 86
- Schall, Lars, 342
- Schiff, Jacob, 72
- Schroeder Henry J Co, 97
- Schroeder, Henry J., 20
- SCIF, 316
- Scott, Peter Dale, 23, 32, 36, 55, 107, 145, 146, 198
- Seal, Barry, 311, 312
- Sears Tower, 316
- SEC, 326
- Secret Service, 256
- US, 17, 29
- Secret Team, 136, 137
- Securacom, 347
- Securities and Exchange Commission, 343
- Servando's Conspiracy Law, 9
- Seven Sisters, 18
- Shah, Mowahid Hussain, 49
- Shaheen, John, 21
- Sharif, Ahsan, xii
- Shell, 17, 18, 134
- Shenon, Philip, 192
- Shin Bet, 330
- Short, Walter C, 191–193
- Siemens, 134
- Sinclair, Upton, 1, 118

INDEX

- Singapore, 175, 176
- Sisson Jr., Richard D., 338
- Situation Room, 256
- Skull and Bones, 65
- Skype, 134
- Smithsonian Institute, 225
- Snoeshoe Films, 333
- Snowden, Edward, 102, 318
- Socialism, 63
- Sony, 114
- Soros Fund, 134
- Soros, George, 134
- South Tower, 335
- Southern Education Board,
119, 120
- Soviet Russia, 74
- Soviet Union, 22
- Special War Fund, 73
- Spengler, Oswald, 152
- Spingola, Deanna, 1, 92, 132,
137, 268
- Spitteler, Carl, 128
- St. John, Stephen M., 331
- Stackpole, Mathew T., 262
- Stamp, Charles Josiah, 69,
70
- Standard Chartered Bank,
134
- Standard Oil, 172
- Standley, Jane, 286
- Stanford Research Institute,
132
- Star Wars, 6
- Stark, Harold R., 179, 180
- Stark, Harold R., 180
- State Secrets Privilege, 142
- Steiner, Carl W., 240, 241
- Stevenson, Adlai, 139
- Stewart, Jim, 333
- Stewart, Payne, 244
- Stimson, Henry L., 38, 180
- Stimson, Henry L., 191
- Stinnett, Robert B., 37, 44,
171, 172, 174, 175,
177–183, 185–191,
193, 195–197
- Strong, Benjamin, 86
- Stubblebine, Albert N., 221
- Sudan, 92
- sulfur, 339, 340
- Sullivan and Cromwell, 20
- Sun Insurance Office Ltd,
97
- Sunday Times, 110, 111
- Sunstein, Cass R., 8
- Supreme Court
US, 17
- Sussex University, 12
- Sutton, Antony C., 65, 75,
76, 78, 149

INDEX

- Swaney, Mark, 312
- Switzerland, 68, 84
- Syria, 50, 103, 106, 321, 356
- Szamuely, George, 245, 246
- Tacitus, 170
- Taliban, 156
- Target, 134
- Tarpley, Webster, 32, 103
- Tavistock, 13, 14, 151, 152
- Tavistock Institute, 12, 13, 15, 40, 131
- tax-exempt foundations, 133
- Temasek Holdings Ltd, 134
- Ten Commandments, 124
- Tenet, George, 42
- Terrorism, 102
- Terrorism Civilization
 - dichotomy, 106
- Texaco, 17, 18
- Thatcher, Margaret, 21
- The Guardian, 321
- The Observer, 321
- thermate, 340
- thermite, 340, 341
- Third Reich, 148
- Thompson, William Boyce, 74
- Thorndike, Edward, 122
- Threadneedle Street, 82
- Thurmond, Strom, 186
- Time, 44
- Time Warner Inc, 41
- Todd, Gwennyth, 318
- Toland, John, 171
- Tomb, Rex, 26
- Torah, 153
- Toynbee, Arnold, 14
- Toyota, 134
- Trilateral Commission, 17, 93, 101, 139
- Trimpe, Herb, 278
- Trotsky, L., 71, 78, 150
- Truman, Harry S.
 - freemason, 144
- Tumulty, Joseph, 73
- Turbeville, Brandon, 160
- Turkey, 103, 112
- Twin Towers, 299, 335
 - where are the bodies, 334
- Twombly, H McK, 72
- U.S., 15, 17, 18, 21, 26, 28, 30–32, 35–38, 40, 41, 43–45, 48
 - bases in Central Asia, 37
 - criminalization of State, 45

INDEX

- lives lost at Pearl Harbor, 44
- military doctrine overhaul, 46
- Pearl Harbor coverup, 37
- Police State, 46
- U.S. government, lies, 356
- UK, 12, 22, 63, 82, 92, 112
- Umar, Sonia, xii
- UN, 94, 167
- United Airlines
 - and Securacom, 347
- United States, 84, 187
- University of Illinois, 321
- USA Today, 210
- USAF, 204, 243
- USCENTCOM, 316
- Uzbekistan, 107
- Vanderbilt, Frederick W., 72
- Vanderbilt, William, 72
- Vanderlip, Frank, 74
- Veale, William, 127
- Veliz, Teresa, 269
- Venezuela, 168, 319
- Verizon, 134, 230
- Vermuele, Adrian, 8
- Veterans Today, 162
- Vickers Armstrong Ltd, 97
- Vickers, Vincent C., 83
- Vidal, Gore, 32, 172
- Vinciguerra, Vincenzo, 24
- Visa Inc., 134
- voice morphing, 240
- von Buelow, Andreas, 32
- Wall Street, 20, 78, 82, 84, 120, 129
- Wallace, Henry, 144
- Walsh, William, 273
- Warburg, Max, 79
- Warburg, Paul, 13, 79
- Warren Commission, 129
- Washington, 5, 246
- Washington D.C., 208, 228, 230, 238
- Washington Post, 165
- Watergate, 49
- Watson, Paul Joseph, 4, 127, 167, 168, 290, 319
- Watson, Steve, 327
- WB, 91
- Webb, Gary, 50
- Wells Fargo, 17
- Wells, H.G., 144
- Wellstone, Paul, 305–309
- Westinghouse, 134
- Westminster, 82
- Wherley Jr., David, 309

- Whirlpool, 114
- White House, 4, 8, 29, 32, 39, 43, 44, 61, 73, 79, 98, 159, 173–175, 180, 196, 209, 210, 218–220, 252, 253, 256, 257, 269, 307, 316, 317, 322, 352
 - anti-missile anti-aircraft failure, 220
 - Office of Information and Regulatory Service, 8
 - Video Teleconferencing Center, 256
- Whitney, Harry P., 73
- Wilcox, Robert K., 309
- William Arkin, 240
- Wilson, Woodrow, 62, 73, 79, 150
- Wing TV, 348
- Wolfowitz, Paul, 51, 53, 58, 108
- Wolverton II, Joe, 159
- Woodner, Beverly, 21
- Woolsey, James, 43
- World Bank, 91, 108
- World Order, 20, 67, 85, 98
- World Trade Center, 238
 - and Securacom, 347
- World War I, 62, 74, 86, 128, 189
 - also see WW I, 12
- World War II, 44, 105, 248
 - also see WW II, 86
- WPI, 338
- WTC, 42, 204, 209, 229, 230, 244, 257, 258, 261, 263, 264, 268, 269, 271, 273, 286, 321, 328–330, 341, 353, 355
 - hot spots in debris, 275
 - molten steel, 275, 277
 - nano-thermite in dust, 264
 - North Tower, 261
 - power down condition, 348
 - security relaxed, 348
 - seismic events, 270
 - South Tower, 261
 - surveillance tapes missing, 348
 - testimony of survivors, 265
- WTC 1, 271
- WTC 2, 271

- WTC 7, 271, 279–284, 286,
288, 289, 291, 297–
299, 343
- WTC metal
 - Swiss cheese, 339
- WTC security, 347
- WTC steel
 - Swiss cheese, 338
- WTC, nanothermite, 355
- WW I, 62, 79
- WW II, 22, 62, 96, 174, 320

- Xerox, 134

- Yale University, 65
- Yamamoto Admiral, 184
- YouTube, 288

- Zeigler, 122, 123
- Zinn, Howard, 32, 115–117
 - high security risk, 116
 - and FBI, 116
 - and Vietnam War, 116
 - criticizes FBI, 116
- Zionism, 63
- Zwicker, Barrie, 32